ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

VOLUME III TECHNICAL APPENDICES



PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

AT

Farrankelly, Delgany, Greystones, Co. Wicklow

Prepared by



Planning & Development Consultants Chartered Town Planners & Chartered Surveyors

In Conjunction with

ROD Engineers/Openfield/Byrne Environmental/CSR Landscape Architects/IAC

September 2019

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Client:	Cairn Homes Properties Ltd.
Project Title:	Farrankelly SHD
Document Title:	Environmental Impact Assessment Report Volume II
Document No:	17010EIARVol3F01

Rev.	Status	Author(s)	Reviewed By	Approved By	Issue Date
D01	Draft	EIAR TEAM	RK	RK	23-8-2019
F01	Final	EIAR TEAM	RK	RK	18-9-2019

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Archaeology

Appendix 13.1	Geophysical Report
Appendix 13.2	Testing Report
Appendix 13.3:	Recorded Monuments within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.4:	RPS/NIAH Sites within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.5:	Stray Finds within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.6:	Legislative Framework Protecting the archaeological Resource
Appendix 13.7:	Legislation Framework Protecting The Architectural Resource
Appendix 13.8:	Impact Assessment and the cultural Heritage Resource
Appendix 13.9:	Mitigation Measures and the Cultural Heritage Resource
Appendix 13.10:	Plates
Appendix 13.11	Figures13.1-3.6

Appendix B Utilities

Utility Maps

Appendix C Material Assets - Traffic

EIAR TTA Appendix A Traffic Surveys EIAR TTA Appendix B Traffic Generation & Distribution EIAR TTA Appendix C Junction Capacity Analysis EIAR TTA Appendix D DMURS Compliance

Appendix D Land and Soils

Ground Investigations Report Farrankelly 29-11-18

Appendix E Biodiversity

Species List

APPENDIX A – ARCHAEOLOGY

Appendix 13.1	Geophysical Report
Appendix 13.2	Testing Report
Appendix 13.3:	Recorded Monuments within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.4:	RPS/NIAH Sites within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.5:	Stray Finds within the Surrounding Area
Appendix 13.6:	Legislative Framework Protecting the archaeological Resource
Appendix 13.7:	Legislation Framework Protecting The Architectural Resource
Appendix 13.8:	Impact Assessment and the cultural Heritage Resource
Appendix 13.9:	Mitigation Measures and the Cultural Heritage Resource
Appendix 13.10:	Plates
Appendix 13.11	Figures13.1-3.6

Appendix 13.1 Geophysical Report

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

FARRANKELLY,

DELGANY

COUNTY WICKLOW

LICENCE NUMBER: 15R0124

09/11/2015

CLIENT:

IAC LTD.



124 Oaklawn West, Leixlip, County Kildare Tel: 0879062729. info@jmlsurveys.com



Geophysical Survey Consultants

J. M. Leigh Surveys 124 Oaklawn West, Leixlip, Co. Kildare Tel: 01 615 4647 Mobile: 0879062729 www.jmlsurveys.com

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY SUMMARY SHEET FARRANKELLY, COUNTY WICKLOW

Site Name	Farrankelly, County Wicklow	Ref No.	15028
Townland	Farrankelly	Licence No.	15-R-0124
County	Wicklow	Licence Holder	Joanna Leigh
ITM (centre)	E728470/N710330	Purpose	Pre-planning investigation
Client	IAC Ltd.	Planning No.	NA
Closest RMP	WI013:076	Classification	Habitation Site
ІТМ	E729133/N710271	Location	c.500m to the west of application area
Townland	Charlesland		
Current land use	Application area contained wir pasture or overgrown vegetati	thin 8 fields, compris ion.	ing of: harvested cereal crop, tall
Survey Type	Gradiometer scanning and tar	geted detailed surve	ey.

Summary of Results

Gradiometer scanning identified an area of increased background response in the south-west of the application area, correlating with the location of several curving crop marks identified through aerial photography. Detailed gradiometer survey in this area identified two clear circular ditch-type responses, measuring c.11.25m and 12.25m in diameter and several other responses of potential interest.

The circular ditches appear to be contained within a larger ill-defined circular feature (possible enclosure). Increased magnetic response to the south-east of this may represent a spread of burnt material indicative of activity, although natural broad striations in the data are also evident here.

To the north-east of the possible circular enclosure there are a cluster of magnetically strong responses. Although no clear archaeological pattern is evident they correlate with the location of a former kiln, marked on historic mapping. It is possible that the remains of this feature are represented here.

Scanning and detailed survey throughout the remainder of the application area identified large areas of modern magnetic disturbance and broad natural responses. No further clear responses indicative of archaeological activity were recorded.

Report Date 09/11/2015

Report Author Joanna Leigh

Geophysical Survey Report Farrankelly, County Wicklow

1 Introduction

- 1.1 A geophysical survey has been conducted by J. M. Leigh Surveys as part of a pre-planning investigation at a site in the townland of Farrankelly, County Wicklow, to the south of Delgany village. This survey forms part of a wider archaeological study undertaken by IAC Ltd.
- 1.2 The application area totals c.18 hectares. Parts of the application area were unsuitable for geophysical survey, comprising of established woods and dense vegetation. The areas available for survey are contained within 8 fields (Fields 1 8). Figure 1 at a scale of 1:2,500 presents a site location diagram.
- 1.3 Recently harvested cereal crop and tall pasture covered much of the application area. There is a gentle rolling topography which slopes steeply in places, towards the Three Trouts River. Much of the site lies in a scenic landscape and raised areas have panoramic views. The highest point is located in the east of Field 5, which has sea views to the north and east.
- 1.4 There are no recorded monuments within the application area. However, archaeological potential in the south-west of Field 3 (Figure 1) was identified by a series of semi-circular crop marks detected through aerial photographic analysis (EIS report, Irish Archaeological Consultancy Ltd. 2015). A clear semi-circular shape can be seen (c. 12m diameter) while a larger arcing semi-circular cropmark (c. 55m diameter) partially encloses the smaller one. In addition, a lime kiln is marked in the south of this field on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, 1839. The EIS report identified Field 3 as of archaeological potential.
- 1.5 There are thirteen recorded monuments within 500m of the application area. Most of these were identified during archaeological works for residential developments and local road schemes. The monuments recorded suggest a possible Bronze Age landscape, with several ring-ditches, barrows and pits identified.
- 1.6 The main aim of the geophysical survey was to locate and identify any geophysical response that may suggest the presence of archaeological remains within the application area. A preliminary gradiometer scan was undertaken to identify anomalies of possible interest. These were then investigated through detailed gradiometer survey. The survey was conducted under licence 15-R-0124 issued by the Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

2 Survey ground conditions and further information

- 2.1 Survey ground conditions on the whole were suitable, comprising of harvested cereal crop and pasture. Areas in the north-west and north of the site comprised of very steep topography which restricted survey. In addition, overgrown vegetation in the north of the application area also restricted fieldwork.
- 2.2 Post and wire fencing along some of the fields produced localised magnetic disturbance but this did not impact on the overall survey results.

3 Survey Methodology

3.1 A preliminary gradiometer scan of the available areas was conducted. Anomalies and areas of potential interest were subject to detailed recorded gradiometer survey to investigate the results of the gradiometer scanning and the potential for sub-surface archaeological remains. In total, five areas (Areas A-E) were subject to detailed gradiometer investigation. The location of the detailed survey areas is presented in Figure 2, at a scale of 1:2,500.

Preliminary Gradiometer Scanning

- 3.2 Gradiometer scanning is a fast and effective technique for identifying areas of potential archaeological interest. Scanning is used in conjunction with targeted detailed survey and is effective when assessing large areas.
- 3.3 The gradiometer instrument is set to scanning mode. 10m traverses of the application area are undertaken. The magnetic fluctuations on the instrument display panel are monitored and any anomalies of potential interest observed are marked in the field for further investigation through detailed gradiometer survey. Detailed survey grids are accurately positioned with a GPS VRS instrument.

Detailed Gradiometer Survey

3.4 A detailed gradiometer survey detects subtle variations in the local magnetic field and measurements are recorded in nano-Tesla (nT). Some archaeological

features such as ditches, large pits and fired features have an enhanced magnetic signal and can be detected through recorded survey.

3.5 Data was collected with a Bartington Grad 601-2 instrument. This is a specifically designed gradiometer for use in archaeological



prospection. The gradiometer operates with a dual sensor capacity making survey fast and effective.

- 3.6 The instrument is calibrated in the field to ensure a constant high quality of data. Extremely sensitive, these instruments can detect variations in soil magnetism to 0.01nT, affording diverse application throughout a variety of archaeological, soil morphological and geological conditions.
- 3.7 All data was collected in 'zigzag' traverses. Grid orientation remained constant throughout each field to facilitate the data display and interpretation. Data was collected with a sample interval of 0.25m and a traverse interval of 1m, providing 1600 readings per 20m x 20m grid. The survey grid was set-out using a GPS VRS unit. Survey tie-in information is available upon request.

4 Data display

- 4.1 The results of the preliminary gradiometer scan are presented in Figure 1 at a scale of 1:2,500. The location of the subsequent targeted detailed survey is presented in Figure 2, at the same scale.
- 4.2 Figures 3 and 4 present the detailed gradiometer survey in Areas A-D as a summary greyscale image and accompanying interpretation diagram, both at a scale of 1:1,250. The greyscale and interpretation for Area E is presented in Figures 5 and 6, also at a scale of 1:1,250.
- 4.3 Letters in parentheses in the text of the report refer to specific responses highlighted in the detailed gradiometer survey interpretation diagrams (Figure 4 & 6).
- 4.4 The raw gradiometer data was used to aid the interpretation of the results and is displayed as raw data xy-trace plots and greyscale images. This archive data can be provided upon request.
- 4.5 The display formats referred to above and the interpretation categories are discussed in the summary technical information section at the end of this report.

5 Gradiometer Scanning Results & Further Information (Figure 1)

Field 1

- 5.1 Gradiometer scanning in Field 1 was restricted by overgrown vegetation in the north of the field, which was also located on a steep north facing slope. The extent of the vegetation was restricted by a former track-way which curved through the field, heading south to Field 2. A further steep slope in the east of the field was also overgrown. A deep hollowed area in the centre of the field may result from local quarrying and this topographic feature was unsuitable for survey.
- 5.2 No clear anomalies of potential interest were identified in Field 1 and poor ground conditions restricted the available area. No detailed survey was undertaken in Field 1.

Field 2

- 5.3 The former track-way in Field 1 continues into Field 2, running along the western extent of the field. Field 2 is largely level with no clear topographic features, although the ground starts to slope to the north at the northern extent of the field. Field 2 comprised of harvested cereal crop at the time of survey.
- 5.4 Gradiometer scanning in Field 2 identified largely disturbed ground throughout. The disturbance is typical of modern activity and it is suspected that this field was used as the site depot for the newly constructed adjacent housing estate. Detailed survey Areas A and B were positioned here to confirm the modern disturbance.

Field 3

- 5.5 Field 3, which comprised of harvested cereal crop, has a natural raised area in the south of the field. Electricity posts and overhead cables traverse the field and are parallel to the change in slope, where the topography drops steeply then gently slopes to the north.
- 5.6 The previously identified crop marks are located within the raised area in the south of the field. Gradiometer scanning identified a clear increase in background response and clusters of anomalies of potential archaeology throughout the south of the field. Detailed gradiometer Area C was positioned across the raised area and extended throughout Field 3 to fully investigate the potential for archaeological remains here.

Field 4

- 5.7 Field 4 was subdivided into three by broken post and wire fencing. The northern half of the field comprised of long pasture and has a steep north facing slope. The western extent, also pasture, has a steep west facing slope. The southern part of the field is level with no distinct topographical features and comprised harvested cereal crop at the time of survey.
- 5.8 Gradiometer scanning in Field 4 identified no clear anomalies although a broad spread of increased background response was observed in the south-east of the field. Detailed survey Area D was positioned here to investigate.

Field 5

5.9 Field 5, located in the east of the application area, comprises of a rolling topography which predominantly slopes downwards to the west. The highest point is located in the east of the field, with vistas overlooking the coastline and Irish Sea. Gradiometer scanning in the south of Field 5 identified more magnetic disturbance, similar to that in Field 2. The magnetic background in the eastern half of Field 5 presented no magnetic disturbance and no clear anomalies of interest. Detailed survey Area E was positioned in the east of Field 5 to test the absence of anomalies.

Field 6

5.10 Field 6 comprised of pasture and was notably difficult to scan due to the near vertical north facing slope. No anomalies were detected and magnetic disturbance indicative of modern pipes was observed in the west and east of the field. No detailed survey could be positioned here due to the extreme slope.

Field 7

5.11 Field 7 forms part of a lawn area. Modern magnetic disturbance from landscaping was noted and no detailed survey was conducted here.

Field 8

5.12 Field 8, immediately adjacent to a watercourse, is susceptible to flooding and was notably wet at the time of survey. Modern disturbance resulting from drains leading into the watercourse resulted in significant magnetic disturbance across the field. This area was not deemed suitable for detailed gradiometer survey.

6 Detailed Survey Results

Areas A & B

6.1 Detailed survey in Areas A and B confirmed the results of the scanning. The data comprises of large magnetic disturbance. No archaeological information can be derived from these results and it is possible that subtle archaeological features remain undetected. The magnetic disturbance may mask any responses indicative of archaeology in Field 2.

Area C

- 6.2 Detailed survey in Area C has identified responses of clear archaeological potential. The responses correlate with crop marks identified through aerial photographic analysis.
- 6.3 Responses of interest are located in the south of Field 3, across the natural raised level area. Two clear circular responses (1) are indicative of circular ditched archaeological features. The two circles are c.8m apart east to west. The eastern circle measures c.11.25m and the western one measures c.12.25m.
- 6.4 To the north of the responses (1) there is a faint circular negative response (2). This is barely discernable in the data and may reflect natural variations. However, an archaeological interpretation must also be considered. The negative signature may suggest a banked circular feature, or represent a significantly plough damaged feature. Although interpretation is cautious this trend may be of archaeological origin.
- 6.5 Enclosing the circular responses (1) and the negative trend (2) are a series of positive and negative tends (3). These are ill-defined but may represent a bank (negative trend) and ditch (positive trend) outer enclosure measuring c.55m. This is speculative but must be considered.
- 6.6 An area of increased magnetic responses (4) appears to extend to the south-east from (3). This may represent an area of activity and spread of material. Although this is poorly defined it is possible that archaeological features, such as pits and burnt spreads, are located within the increased response.
- 6.7 The extent and origin of (4) is confused by a broad spread of magnetic response(5). This is indicative of natural variations. Similar responses (6) and (7) have a

similar orientation and have no clear archaeological form. These responses are interpreted as natural in origin.

6.8 To the immediate south of (7) there is a cluster of responses (8). Although there is no clear pattern the responses have a magnetic signature indicative of burnt features. It is speculated that the responses represent large pits or perhaps the remains of a lime kiln, as indicated on historic mapping in this area. These responses are considered to be of interest.

Area D

- 6.9 A broad spread of magnetic variation (9) is similar to responses (5), (6) and (7).These are indicative of natural variations.
- 6.10 A broad negative response (10) correlates with the location of an electricity post and is not of archaeological interest.

Area E

- 6.11 Another broad response (11) orientated north to south has no clear archaeological form and is interpreted as natural in origin.
- 6.12 Ferrous response (12) is located at two manhole covers and is interpreted as modern in origin.
- 6.13 A faint negative linear trend (13) is not thought to be of interest. It is likely that a modern plastic service pipe is represented here.

7 Discussion & Conclusion

- 7.1 Gradiometer scanning identified an area of increased background response in the south-west of the application area, correlating with the location of several curving crop marks, previously identified through aerial photography (IAC Ltd 2015).
- 7.2 Detailed gradiometer survey in this area identified two clear circular ditch-type responses, measuring c.11.25m and 12.25m in diameter. There is a circular negative trend to the north which may be of interest, although this may equally be natural in origin. The circular responses appear to be contained within a larger circular feature (possible enclosure). This is represented by faint linear trends and its extent is poorly defined. This suggests the enclosure feature may be significantly plough damaged.
- 7.3 A large spread of increased magnetic background response is evident to the south-east of the possible enclosure. This is suggestive of activity, although a natural broad response here confuses interpretation. Nevertheless, it is possible that burnt spreads and pit type features are located here.
- 7.4 To the north-east of the possible circular enclosure there are a cluster of magnetically strong responses. Although no clear archaeological pattern is evident this correlates with the location of a former lime kiln, marked on historic mapping. It is possible that the remains of this feature are represented here.
- 7.5 Scanning and detailed survey throughout the remainder of the application area identified large areas of modern magnetic disturbance and broad natural responses. No further responses indicative of archaeological activity were recorded.
- 7.6 Consultation with a licensed archaeologist and with the Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht is recommended to establish if any additional archaeological works are required.

Technical Information Section

Data Display & Presentation

Gradiometer Survey

XY Trace

The data are presented as a series of linear traces, enabling a semi-profile display of the respective anomalies along the X and Y-axes. This display option is essential for distinguishing between modern ferrous materials (buried metal debris) and potential archaeological responses. The XY trace plot provides a linear display of the magnitude of the response within a given data set.



Greyscale*

As with dot density plots, the greyscale format assigns a cell to each datum according to its location on the grid. The display of each data point is conducted at very fine increments, allowing the full range of values to be displayed within the given data set. This display method also enables the identification of discrete responses that may be at the limits of instrument detection. In the summary diagrams processed, interpolated data is presented. Raw data is presented in the archive drawings along with the xy-trace plots.



Interpretation

An interpretation of the data is made using many of the plots presented in the final report, in addition to examination of the raw and processed data. The project managers' knowledge and experience allows a detailed interpretation of the survey results with respect to archaeological potential.



*XY Trace and raw greyscale plots are presented in archive form for display of the raw survey data. Summary greyscale images of the interpolated data are included for presentation purposes and to assist interpretation.

Glossary of Interpretation Terms

Archaeology

This category refers to responses which are interpreted as of clear archaeological potential, and are supported by further archaeological evidence such as aerial photography or excavation. The term is generally associated with significant concentrations of former settlement, such as ditched enclosures, storage pits and associated features.

? Archaeology

This term corresponds to anomalies that display typical archaeological patterns where no record of comparative archaeological evidence is available. In some cases, it may prove difficult to distinguish between these and evidence of more recent activity also visible in the data.

? Industrial

Such anomalies generally possess a strong magnetic response and may equate with archaeological features such as kilns, furnaces, concentrations of fired debris and associated industrial material.

Area of Increased Magnetic Response

These responses often lack any distinctive archaeological form, and it is therefore difficult to assign any specific interpretation. The resulting responses are site specific, possibly associated with concentrations of archaeological debris or more recent disturbance to underlying archaeological features.

Trend

This category refers to low-level magnetic responses barely visible above the magnetic background of the soil. Interpretation is tentative, as these anomalies are often at the limits of instrument detection.

Ploughing/Ridge & Furrow

Visible as a series of linear responses, these anomalies equate with recent or archaeological cultivation activity.

? Natural

A broad response resulting from localised natural variations in the magnetic background of the subsoil; presenting as broad amorphous responses most likely resulting from geological features.

Ferrous Response

These anomalies exhibit a typically strong magnetic response, often referred to as 'iron spikes,' and are the result of modern metal debris located within the topsoil.

Area of Magnetic Disturbance

This term refers to large-scale magnetic interference from existing services or structures. The extent of this interference may in some cases obscure anomalies of potential archaeological interest.

Bibliography

English Heritage (2008) 'Geophysical guidelines: Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation.' Second Edition.

Gaffney, C. Gater, J. & Ovenden, S. (2006) 'The use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations.' IFA Paper No. 6'

Gaffney, C & Gater, J (2003). 'Revealing the buried past: Geophysics for Archaeologists.' Tempus Publishing Limited.

National Soil Survey of Ireland (1980) *General soil map second edition (1:575,000)*. An Foras Taluntais

IAC Ltd (2015) Farrankelly EIS Report, unpublished.

List of Figures

Figure	Description	Paper Size	Scale
Figure 1	Site Location Diagram	A3	1:2,500
Figure 2	Survey Location Diagram	A3	1:2,500
Figure 3	Summary Greyscale Image: Areas A-D	A3	1:1,250
Figure 4	Summary Interpretation: Areas A-D	A3	1:1,250
Figure 5	Summary Greyscale Image: Area E	A4	1:1,250
Figure 6	Summary Interpretation: Area E	A4	1:1,250
Archive R	aw data Plots		
A1.01	Raw data xy-trace plot: Areas A-D	A0	1:500
A1.02	Raw data greyscale images: Areas A-D	A0	1:500
A1.03	Raw data xy-trace plot: Area: E	A3	1:500
A1.04	Raw data greyscale images Area: E	A3	1:500



Scanning notes

Modern trackway

Modern Magnetic Disturbance

Overgrown Vegetation

Increase in background magnetic response

Scale @ A3:	1:2,500
Figure:	1
Licence No.:	15-R-0124
Issue Date:	09.11.2015



Detailed gradiometer survey

Scale @ A3:	1:2,500
Figure:	2
Licence No.:	15-R-0124
Issue Date:	09.11.2015





Scale @ A3:	1:1,250	
Figure:	4	
Licence No.:	15-R-0124	
Issue Date:	09.11.2015	J





Appendix 13.2 Testing Report



ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT AT FARRANKELLY, CO. WICKLOW

LICENCE NUMBER: 17E0292

FOR: CAIRN HOMES

I.T.M.: 728504,710233

LICENCEE: ROB LYNCH AUTHORS: ROB LYNCH & ENDA LYDON

SEPTEMBER 2017

IRISH ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONSULTANCY LTD ARCHAEOLOGY & CONSERVATION CONSULTANTS T: (01) 201 8380 E: archaeology@iac.ie Dublin I Belfast IAC.ie

ABSTRACT

Irish Archaeological Consultancy Ltd undertook an archaeological test trenching assessment of a proposed residential development at Farrankelly, Co. Wicklow. This assessment was undertaken by Rob Lynch and Enda Lydon of IAC Ltd under licence 17E0292 as part of a pre-planning assessment of the site. Testing commenced on the 26th June and was completed on the 5th July 2017.

A total of 64 trenches were mechanically investigated across the test area. Testing followed on from a geophysical survey of the site, which was carried out as part of an earlier phase of works by Joanna Leigh in 2015 (Licence Ref.: 15R0124).

Prior to testing crop marks had been identified within the southwest corner of the proposed development. Detailed gradiometer survey in this area identified two clear circular ditch-type responses, measuring c.11.25m and 12.25m in diameter and several other responses of potential interest. The circular ditches appeared to be contained within a larger ill-defined circular feature (possible enclosure).

Three areas of archaeology were identified in the testing assessment (Archaeological Areas 1–3). The remains are likely to be Bronze Age in date and are similar to other sites that have been recorded within the surrounding landscape.

Archaeological Area 1

The core of Archaeological Area 1 comprises the two circular anomalies, an outer enclosure and associated features as identified in the geophysical survey and aerial photography in the southwestern portion of the site.

Central to Archaeological Area 1 are two ring-ditches (one c. 15m diameter and one 12.5m dimeter) enclosed by an outer enclosure with a projected diameter of c. 50m.

Peripheral archaeological activity to the southeast and north include groups of pits and a ditch representing peripheral archaeological activity that is considered to be associated with the ring-diches and enclosure.

Archaeological Area 2

Archaeological Area 2 comprises a spread of charcoal-rich material, a possible kiln and a large pit and a group of charcoal-rich pits.

Archaeological Area 3

Archaeological Area 3 comprises two charcoal-rich isolated pits.

Ground disturbances associated with the proposed residential development at Farrankelly would have a permanent and direct negative impact on the archaeology identified in Archaeological Areas 1–3. It is not possible to avoid the archaeological remains within the layout of the proposed development.

While it is recognised that The National Monuments Service of the Department of the Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht pursue a policy of preservation *in situ* of archaeological remains where practicable, the nature of this development at Farrankelly does not allow for preservation *in situ*.

It is therefore recommended that Archaeological Areas 1–3 are preserved by record by (archaeological excavation) in advance of development. This should be undertaken under licence to the National Monuments Service of the DoCHG. It is the developer's responsibility to ensure that full financial provision is made available for the required field work and any post excavation works.

It is possible that there may be further negative impacts on previously unrecorded archaeological features or deposits—outside of Archaeological Areas 1-3—that have the potential to survive beneath the current ground level. This will be caused by ground disturbances associated with the proposed development.

It is recommended that all topsoil stripping associated with the proposed development be monitored by a suitably qualified archaeologist. If any features of archaeological potential are discovered during the course of the works, further archaeological mitigation may be required such as preservation *in-situ* or by record. Any further mitigation will require approval from the National Monuments Service of the DoCHG.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACTI
CONTENTS
1 INTRODUCTION
2ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND22.1Background22.2Summary of Previous Archaeological Fieldwork42.3Cartographic Analysis52.4Aerial Photographic Analysis62.5Summary of Geophsyical Results6
3ARCHAEOLOGICAL TESTING73.1General.73.2Testing Results.73.3Conclusions.13
4IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION STRATEGY.154.1Impact Assessment
5 REFERENCES
APPENDIX 1 CONTEXTSI
APPENDIX 2 FINDS REGISTERV
APPENDIX 3 RMP SITES WITHIN THE SURROUNDING AREAVI
APPENDIX 4 STRAY FINDS WITHIN THE SURROUNDING AREAVII
APPENDIX 5 LEGISLATION PROTECTING THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE
APPENDIX 6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT & THE CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCEXI
APPENDIX 7 MITIGATION MEASURES & THE CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE XIII
FIGURES

PLATES

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site location
- Figure 2 Extract from the RMP map showing the proposed development area
- Figure 3 Plan of proposed development
- Figure 4 Plan of proposed development showing location of test trenches and Archaeological Areas
- Figure 5 Detail of Field 3, Archaeological Area 1 with geophysical survey background
- Figure 6 Detail of Field 2, Archaeological Area 2
- Figure 7 Detail of Field 1, Archaeological Area 3
- Figure 8 Detail of Field 5, with geophysical survey background

LIST OF PLATES

- Plate 1 Testing area, facing northwest
- Plate 2 Testing area, facing northeast
- Plate 3 Testing area, facing northeast
- Plate 4 Testing area, Field 5, facing east
- Plate 5 Archaeological Area (AA)1, Trench 1, facing south
- Plate 6 AA1, Trench 6, Ditch C29, facing northwest
- Plate 7 AA1, Trench 8, facing south
- Plate 8 AA1, Trench 12, Ditch C33, facing south-southeast
- Plate 9 AA1, Trench 12, Ditch C33 and C34, facing northwest
- Plate 10 AA1, Trench 12, Ring-Ditch C34, facing north
- Plate 11 AA1, Trench 13, facing southwest
- Plate 12 AA1, Trench 15, Ditch C46, facing west
- Plate 13 AA1, Trench 16, Ditch C46, facing southeast
- Plate 14 AA1, Trench 16, C37, facing west
- Plate 15 AA1, Trench 17, Ditch C41 and Pits C42, C43 and C44 facing northnorthwest
- Plate 16 AA1, Trench 17, Ring-Ditch C41 (northeast side of trench), facing northeast
- Plate 17 AA1, Trench 18, Ditch C8, facing northwest
- Plate 18 AA1, Trench 20, facing northeast
- Plate 19 AA1, Trench 22, C3 and C4, facing northwest
- Plate 20 AA1, Trench 24, C2, facing west-northwest
- Plate 21 AA2, Trench 29, facing west
- Plate 22 AA2, Trench 33, C23, facing west
- Plate 23 AA2, Trench 44, Spread C19, facing northwest
- Plate 24 AA2, Trench 45, Kiln C17, facing north
- Plate 25 AA3, Trench 52, C24, facing east
- Plate 26 AA3, Trench 52, C25, facing northwest
- Plate 27 AA3, Trench 50, Ditch C26, facing east-southeast
- Plate 28 AA1, Trench X2, Ditch C8 facing southwest

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

The following report details the results of a programme of archaeological testing undertaken at Farrankelly, County Wicklow, prior to a proposed residential development. This assessment has been carried out to ascertain the potential impact of the proposed development on the archaeological resource that may exist within the proposed development area. The assessment (Licence Ref.: 17E0292) was undertaken by Rob Lynch and Enda Lydon of Irish Archaeological Consultancy Ltd, on behalf of Cairn Homes.

Test trenching commenced at the site on 26th June 2017 and was completed on the 5th July. This was carried out using a 13 tonne 360 degree tracked excavator, with a flat, toothless bucket, under strict archaeological supervision. A total of 64 trenches (totalling 2850 linear meters) were mechanically investigated across the test area.

This is a pre-planning assessment and the results will be submitted with a planning application for the site. Testing follows on from a geophysical survey of the site, which was carried out as part of an earlier phase of works by Joanna Leigh in 2015 (Licence Ref.: 15R0124).

1.2 THE DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development will be residential in nature. A provisional plan of the development is outlined in Figure 3.

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 BACKGROUND

The proposed development area is formed by three fields under arable crop, a narrow valley under pasture and a rough field of pasture that has been subject to disturbance by dumping and possible small scale quarrying (Fields 1–4; Plates 1–4).

A total of 13 sites are recorded in the Sites and Monuments Record within a 500m radius (Figure 2). These sites, largely discovered during recent construction works at Charlesland, comprise a significant Bronze Age ritual landscape including two ringditches (WI013-077 and WI013-105), an urn burial (WI013-112) and a cremation pit (WI013-113). Three structures dating from broadly the same period have also been excavated in the vicinity of the proposed development area (WI013-076, WI013-114 and WI013-115). Pits, spreads and a kiln of medieval date have also been excavated within 500m of the proposed development area (WI013-077).

Of the 13 sites, only one is proposed for inclusion at the next revision of the Record of Monuments and Places. This consists of a ring ditch (WI013-105), half of which is preserved outside of the construction corridor of the R774, c. 450m to the south-southeast of the proposed development area.

2.1.1 Prehistoric Period

Mesolithic Period (c. 7000–4000BC)

The Mesolithic Period (c. 7000-4000BC) is the earliest time for which there is clear evidence for prehistoric activity in Ireland. During this period people hunted, foraged and gathered food and appear to have had a mobile lifestyle. Small numbers of these flakes have been found at Dalkey Island, Dun Laoghaire, Rathfarnham and Loughlinstown to the north and at St. Bride's Head close to Wicklow Town and Brittas Bay to the south. These sites may indicate small-scale transient settlement along the riverbanks and seashores (Stout 1994). There is no evidence for Mesolithic activity in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Neolithic Period (c. 4000-2500BC)

During the Neolithic period (c. 4000–2400 BC) communities became less mobile and their economy became based on the rearing of stock and cereal cultivation. This transition was accompanied by major social change. Agriculture demanded an altering of the physical landscape. Forests were cleared and field boundaries constructed. There was a greater concern for territory, which saw the construction of large communal ritual monuments called megalithic tombs, which are characteristic of the period. The main focus of Neolithic tomb building in Wicklow is located in the north of the county, close to the Dublin border. There are 20 passage tombs located within this area and most of these are situated above the 240m contour.

A number of pits containing early Neolithic pottery and saddle quernstones were retrieved during excavation in Charlesland (WI013-076) c. 190m east of the proposed

development area. Early Neolithic activity, in the form of two structures, was identified in Killincarrig townland during recent excavations c. 950m east of the proposed development area (Whitty 2011; Licence Ref.: 10E025). Further Neolithic activity in the wider landscape includes early Neolithic habitation sites at Sea Road, Kilcoole, c. 2.5km to the south-southeast (Bennett 2008:1318, Licence Ref.: 06E0670 ext.) and on the shores of the Poulaphuca Reservoir (Corlett 2009).

Bronze Age Period (c. 2500–800BC)

The Bronze Age (c. 2400-800BC) in Ireland was marked by the use of metal for the first time. As with the transition from Mesolithic to Neolithic, the transition into the early Bronze Age was accompanied by changes in society. Megaliths were replaced in favour of individual, subterranean cist or pit burials that were either in isolation or in small cemeteries. These burials contained inhumed or cremated remains and were often, but not always, accompanied by a pottery vessel. Different forms of burial barrows were also being constructed during this period, as well as ceremonial monuments such as henges. Unenclosed cemeteries are also known from this period and are termed 'flat cemeteries'.

Activity during this period is well attested in the archaeological record within the immediate vicinity of the proposed development area. A significant Bronze Age ritual landscape including two ring-ditches (WI013-077 and WI013-105), an urn burial (WI013-112) and a cremation pit (WI013-113) were excavated within 500m to the east and south of the proposed development area. Three structures dating from broadly the same period have also been excavated in the vicinity of the proposed development area (WI013-076, WI013-114 and WI013-114). A substantial early Bronze Age burnt mound was also excavated c. 650m east of the proposed development lands at Farrankelly. This was associated with four troughs and numerous pits and postholes (WI013-079). A set of possible music pipes carved from yew wood were found at the base of the one of the wicker-lined troughs (Molloy, 2004).

Occupation in the Charlesland area continued into the late Bronze Age with several excavated sites dating to this period. A late Bronze Age socketed looped axe and Beaker pottery were retrieved from site WI013-075 c. 670m east-southeast of the proposed development site.

Iron Age Period (c. 800BC - AD400)

Compared to the rest of Irish prehistory, there is very little evidence in Ireland, as a whole, representing the Iron Age. As in Europe, there are two phases of the Iron Age in Ireland; the Hallstatt and the La Tène. The Hallstatt period generally dates from 700BC onwards and spread rapidly from Austria, across Europe, and then into Ireland. The later Iron Age or La Tène also originated in Europe during the middle of the 5th century BC. For several centuries the La Tène Celts were the dominant people in Europe, until they were finally overcome by the Roman Empire. While many ring-ditches may have continued in use into the Iron Age, there are no confirmed Iron Age sites within the vicinity of the proposed development area.

2.1.2 Early Medieval Period (AD 400–1100)

During this period the area surrounding Greystones was located within the territories of the Uí Dúnchada, the ruling branch of which was the Mac Gilla Mo Cholmóc (Simpson 1994). At the time of the Anglo-Norman invasion the ruler Domhnall sided with the Anglo-Normans and as such managed to retain much of his land.

The ringfort or rath is considered to be the most common indicator of settlement during the Early Medieval Period (c. 400–1160 AD). Although there are no definite recorded ringforts within the landscape surrounding the proposed development, there are two enclosures located 135m to the west-northwest (WI013-010) and c. 140m northwest (WI013-009).

2.1.3 Medieval Period (AD 1100-1600)

There are a number of recorded medieval sites within the wider area surrounding the proposed development area. In the 19th century, the Ordnance Survey recorded a possible Anglo-Norman motte or earthwork castle close to the church of Ballynerrin (WI013-011). It is possible that one of the enclosure sites listed to the east-northeast of the development area (WI013-009 and 010) may represent the site of a former castle (Molly 2009, 150). A number of medieval sites have also recently been excavated (2002–2004) in the wider landscape, within 500m to the southeast of the development area. These include field systems, kilns, the truncated remains of an animal enclosure and a moated medieval farmstead (WI013-111).

2.2 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL FIELDWORK

A review of the Excavations Bulletin (1970–2016) has revealed that a substantial number of archaeological excavations have been undertaken in the townlands surrounding Farrankelly, although no previous investigations have been carried out within the proposed development lands (with the exception of geophysical survey – please see below). The majority of excavations were carried out in advance of the construction of the Greystones southern access route (GSAR) and the development of residential housing in Charlesland.

Five test-trenches were excavated in 2001, c. 160m to the east of the proposed development area in advance of the construction of extensive housing units. Trenches 1, 3 and 4 revealed curving linear features, one of which contained fragments of prehistoric pottery. Trench 2 revealed burnt mound material. Trench 5 revealed a wide curving ditch over 17m in diameter. It was not possible to correlate exactly the features located during testing with those visible on the aerial photograph, but testing revealed two definite circular enclosures (Bennett 2001:1350, Licence Ref.: 01E1132). Further testing in this area in 2001 and 2002 encountered nothing of archaeological significance (Bennett 2001:1351, Licence Ref.: 01E1133 and Bennett 2002:1963, Licence Ref.: 01E1133).

Two structures with a series of associated pits and postholes were excavated c. 160m to the east off the proposed development area in 2003. These proved to be Bronze Age in date (Bennett 2003:2076, Licence Ref.: 03E0146).
A series of pits, post holes, stake holes, hearths, troughs and burnt spreads were uncovered during topsoil stripping in 2004 c. 300m east of the proposed development area as part of the construction work associated with Charlesland Residential Development (Bennett 2003:2081, Licence Ref.: 03E1188).

The remains of an isolated Bronze Age urn was excavated c. 350m southeast of the proposed development area as part of the construction work associated with Charlesland Residential Development (Excavation Licence 04E0387). Several features of medieval date were also excavated although nothing of a structural nature was found.

A ring ditch, a large cremation pit, two structures and a cluster of pits and postholes were excavated c. 460m to the south-southeast of the proposed development area in 2003 (Bennett 2003:2077, Licence number: 03E0147).

A total of 12 prehistoric pits dispersed over a large area were excavated c. 460m south-southeast of the proposed development area as part of the construction work associated with the GSAR. Six of the pits contained burnt stones suggesting that hearths where stones were heated must have been located in this general vicinity. The excavated pits were not utilised for *in-situ* burning but appear to represent negative features where material derived from adjacent hearths was dumped (Bennett 2004:1864, Licence Ref.: 04E0466).

A curving arc of ditch, two slot-trenches and a number of pits and postholes were excavated c. 480m south-southeast of the proposed development area, prior to the construction of the GSAR. One of the slot-trenches contained a barbed and tanged arrow head and prehistoric pottery and one of the pits contained a fragment of prehistoric pottery (Bennett 2003:2082, Licence Ref.: 03E1550).

Monitoring of road construction work for the GSAR took place between February and March 2004. The GSAR is located c. 490m south of the proposed development area. The roadway traversed the townlands of Farrankelly, Priestsnewtown and Kilpedder East, crossing 12 fields. Four sites were identified and investigated, all of which were located in the townland of Priestsnewtown. The sites included two burnt spreads and several clusters of pits and postholes predominantly prehistoric in date (Bennett 2004:1863, Licence Ref.: 04E0128).

The southern half of a circular ditch (diam. c. 12m) was excavated c. 500m south of the proposed development area. No internal features or finds were uncovered. The remaining half is preserved outside of the road-take. A stone lined hearth was excavated c. 22m to the east (Bennett 2004:1884, Licence Ref.: 04E0467).

2.3 CARTOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

A review of the historic mapping shows that the proposed development area once formed part of a demesne-like landscape associated with Farrankelly House, which is situated to the north and east of the site. The first edition 6-inch OS map (Co. Wicklow Sheet 13, 1837) shows a lime kiln within the area of the potential prehistoric enclosures in the southwest corner of the site. This is not marked on later editions and no clear remains were identified within the geophysical survey that may relate to this feature (25-inch OS map, 1911). There are no other major changes to note within the historic cartography that relate to the proposed development area.

2.4 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

A review of the aerial photographic resource has shown that the crop marks of the enclosures are present within the 2013 Google Earth coverage, but are not apparent within the other sources (OSI and Bing Maps). No other features of archaeological potential were noted within the remaining part of the proposed development area.

2.5 SUMMARY OF GEOPHSYICAL RESULTS

Following the identification of crop marks within the southwest corner of the proposed development area, a geophysical survey was carried out by Joanna Leigh in 2015 (Licence Ref.: 15R0124). Gradiometer scanning identified an area of increased background response in the southwest of the application area, correlating with the location of several curving crop marks. Detailed gradiometer survey in this area identified two clear circular ditch-type responses, measuring c.11.25m and 12.25m in diameter and several other responses of potential interest. The circular ditches appear to be contained within a larger ill-defined circular feature (possible enclosure). Increased magnetic response to the southeast of this may represent a spread of burnt material indicative of activity, although natural broad striations in the data are also evident here.

3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL TESTING

3.1 GENERAL

Test trenching took place between 26th June and 5th July 2017, using a 13 tonne 360 degree tracked excavator equipped with a flat, toothless bucket under strict archaeological supervision. A total of 64 trenches were excavated within the area of proposed development (Figure 4). These trenches were positioned to target the key geophysical anomalies identified in the geophysical survey and to provide a representative assessment of the wider development area. Any investigated deposits were preserved by record. This was by means of written, drawn and photographic records.

The test trenches were excavated to determine, as far as reasonably possible, the location, extent, date, character, condition, significance and quality of any surviving archaeological remains threatened by the proposed development. Test trenching was also carried out to clarify the nature and extent of existing disturbance and intrusions and to assess the degree of archaeological survival in order to formulate further mitigation strategies. These are designed to reduce or offset the impact of the proposed development scheme.

3.2 TESTING RESULTS

A total of 59 trenches were originally proposed for excavation across the site, all of which were excavated. In addition to these a further 5 were added (X1–X5) along with extensions to some of the original trenches (Figures 4–8). Within Archaeological Area 1 the natural subsoil was generally a mid to pale brown stony sandy clay and may account for some geophysical survey responses which turned out to be non-archaeological in nature. The topsoil was on average 0.5m deep and comprises a dark brown loam/clay.

TRENCH	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	ORIENTATION	DETAILS	
1	45	2	0.55	North–south	Northeast-southwest drain (Plate 5).	
2	25	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
3	25	2	0.5	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
4	14.8	2	0.4	NNE–SSW	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
5	45.6	2	0.65– 0.7	North–south	ith Oval pit (C27) containing charcoal. Small pit (C containing charcoal and scorched clay.	
6	14.8	2	0.65	Northeast- southwest	A northwest-southeast linear feature (C29) ran across the centre of the trench and possibly is the continuation of the northwest-southeast linear C30 in Trench 7 to the south (Plate 6). It measures 1.15m in width and 0.52m deep. A possible pivot stone was recovered from the fill of C29 .	
7	49.6	2	0.5–0.6	East–west	Linear feature (C30) was recorded 7m from the western end of the trench. It is likely that this represented a continuation of C30 located in	

TABLE 1: Test Trench Results

TRENCH	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	ORIENTATION	DETAILS	
					Trench 6 to the north. The end of another north– south orientated linear (C31) was identified c. 12m to the east of C30 .	
8	44.6	2	0.65	North–south	Large oval pit (C32) containing charcoal (2.5m in length by 1.83m wide) (Plate 7).	
9	15	2	0.55– 0.58	Northwest– Nothing of archaeological interest. southeast		
10	15	2	0.35	Northwest– Nothing of archaeological interest. southeast		
11	15	2	0.35– 0.4	ENE–WSW Nothing of archaeological interest.		
12	20.1	2	0.42– 0.49	Northwest– southeast A northwest–southeast ditch (C33) ran ald length of the trench. It measures c. 20m ir by 1.55m in width by 0.49m in depth (F and 9). C33 appeared to truncate a possii ditch (C34). A section through the ditch revealed concave sides and base and me 0.95m in width by 0.26m in depth (Pla Charcoal and burnt bone noted were reco the fill.		
13	11.1	2	0.4	Northeast– southwest An east–west narrow linear feature (recorded near the middle of the trench (Immediately to its south was an oval which contained inclusions of charcoal.		
14	14.3	2	0.8	Northeast- southwestA small portion of a northwest-southea (C46) was recorded at the northeast end trench. This is the continuation of th exposed in Trenches 15 and X1 to the e Trench 16 to the north. An irregular sha (C40) which contained occasional charco was also recorded. It measures 2m in le 0.52m in width		
15	14.3	2	1	Northeast– southwest	An east-west linear feature (C39) was recorded at the northeast end of the trench. Immediately to the south was a large ditch (C46) which was also recorded in Trenches X1, 14 and 16 (Plates 12 and 13). A section revealed concave sides and a flat base. It measured 2.4m in width by 1.3m in depth and contained fills with charcoal inclusions.	
16	16.1	2	0.5	East–west	A northeast-southwest ditch (C46) was identified at the western end of the trench. It is the continuation of the same ditch in trenches X1, 14 and 15. At this location it measured 2.4m in width with charcoal rich bands recorded along its sides. Two pits (C37 and C38) both with diameters of c.0.95m were recorded c. 3m from the eastern end of the trench (Plate 14). A flint blade and a piece of flint debitage were recorded as surface finds.	
17	20.1	2	0.38– 0.4	ENE-WSW	A possible ring ditch (C41) was recorded 3m from the east end of the trench and was identified again 4m from the west end of the trench. At the	

TRENCH	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	ORIENTATION	DETAILS
					eastern end of the trench it was orientated north-south while its fill contained charcoal and burnt bone. Here it measured up to 1.58m in width. At the western end of the trench it turned southeast-northeast and measured c. 1.5m in width with scorched clay and charcoal inclusions. Three small charcoal rich pits (C42, C43 and C44) were recorded to the east (Plates 15 and 16).
18	14.6	2	0.6	Northwest– southeast	A large ditch (C8) was identified in the northwest half of the trench (Plate 17). This is the continuation of the same ditch recorded in Trench X4 to the north and Trenches X2 and X3 to the southwest. A section revealed concave sides and a flattish base. It measured 3.6m in width by 1.21m in depth.
19	15	2	0.35	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
20	15	2	0.6	Northeast– southwest	Nothing of archaeological interest (Plate 18)
21	50.4	2	0.5	Northwest– southeast	A narrow north northeast-south southwest linear feature (C9) was recorded 4m from the northwest end of the trench. A section revealed steep sides and a flat base. A shallow pit (C10) was identified c. 7m to the west. In the southeast of the trench two pits (C11 and C12) and a possible shallow pit feature (C13) were recorded.
22	50.7	2	0.5	Northwest– southeast	Three pits (C3 , C4 and C5), a small spread (C6) measuring c. 0.2m diameter and a possible stakehole (C7) was recorded (Plate 19).
23	14.5	2	0.4	Northwest– southeast	Pit (C14) exposed extending 1.08m from the southeast side of the trench and measures 0.15m in depth.
24	11.6	2	0.35	East–west	A charcoal-rich circular pit (C1) measuring 0.5m diameter and charcoal rich pit (C2) measuring 0.6m diameter were recorded (Plate 20). Small fragments of burnt bone were recorded in this pit.
25	10.6	2	0.45	NNW–SSE	An irregular shaped pit (C15) which contained occasional charcoal flecks was recorded in the middle of the trench. A shallow linear feature (C16) was identified c. 1m to the south of C15 which measures 0.52m–0.85m in width by 0.15m in depth.
26	25.2	2	0.3– 0.38	East–west	A single pit (C44) was partially exposed c. 3.1m from the western end of the trench. It contains occasional charcoal and some possible heat affected stone.
27	45	2	0.5	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
28	15.7	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.
29	75	2	0.3–0.5	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest (Plate 21).
30	75	2	0.3–0.5	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.

TRENCH	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	ORIENTATION	DETAILS	
31	75	2	0.5	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
32	73.7	2	0.25– 0.4	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
33	50	2	0.55– 0.6	North–south A small pit (C20) was recorded 15m from southern end of the trench. Two pits (C2: C22) were recorded immediately to the no C20. A large charcoal rich pit (C23) conta scorched clay inclusions was identified c. 6.! the north of C22 (Plate 22).		
34	49.3	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
35	46.3	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest. Two northeast–southwest plough furrows were noted c. 15m from the western end of the trench.	
36	25	2	0.6	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
37	38.6	2	0.7	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest. A single northeast–southwest plough furrow was noted c. 5m from the western end of the trench. It measures 0.35m in width by 0.12m in depth.	
38	73.6	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
39	25	2	0.6	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
40	50	2	0.5	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
41	49	2	0.6	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest. A single east- west plough furrow was noted.	
42	75	2	0.6	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
43	75	2	0.6	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
44	75	2	0.5–0.6	North–south	A large irregular shaped spread of burnt material (C19) was recorded in the southern end of the trench (Plate 23). It measures c. 10.5m (north–south) by 8m (east–west) by 0.18m in depth (where investigated). It was truncated by modern disturbance to the southeast.	
45	74.2	2	0.45	North–south	A possible kiln (C17) was recorded c. 15m from the northern end of the trench (Plate 24). It comprised of black charcoal rich clay which was bounded by a ring of red scorched clay. It measures 1.35m by 1.1m.	
46	73.6	2	0.75	North–south	A large pit (C18) measuring 3m in length by 2.4m in width by 0.3m in depth was recorded.	
47	75	2	0.8	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
48	72.9	2	0.85	East–west	Nothing of archaeological interest. A treebole was noted c. 20m from the eastern end of the trench.	
49	48.8	2	0.7	East-west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
50	97.3	2	0.6–0.9	East-west	An east-west ditch (C26) was recorded at the western end of the trench. (Plate 27)	
51	100	2	0.9	East-west	Nothing of archaeological interest.	
52	98	2	0.7	East-west	Two small oval pits (C24 and C25) (Plates 25 and 26).	

TRENCH	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	ORIENTATION	DETAILS
53	100	2	0.45– 1.1	Northeast– southwest	Nothing of archaeological interest. A dump of modern concrete rubble was noted c. 16m from the southwest end of the trench.
54	75	2	0.75– 1.5	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest. The northern portion of the trench had modern disturbance with occasional deposits concrete noted.
55	72.9	2	0.45– 0.78	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
56	50	2	0.45– 0.9	North–south Nothing of archaeological interest.	
57	50	2	0.4–0.9	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
58	46.4	2	0.45– 1.2	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
59	48.7	2	0.5	North–south	Nothing of archaeological interest.
TRX1	6.4	2	0.6	NNW-SSEAn east-west portion of a ditch C46 was for 0.8m into the trench from the north the trench. C46 continued roughly where it was identified in Trenches 14, A NNE-SSW ditch (C45) measuring width by 0.75m in depth ran diagonally trench. It appeared to truncate C46.	
TRX2	10.9	2	0.7–0.8	Northwest– A northeast-southwest portion of C8 southeast recorded in the southeast half of the southeast (Plate 28). It measures c. 2.5m in width an also noted in Trenches X3, X4 and 18 t northeast.	
TRX3	8.4	2	0.7	Northwest– southeast A northeast to southwest portion of a wide (C8) was identified in the centre of the tr measures 3.1m wide at this location and w recoded in Trench X2 to the south ea Trenches 18 and X4 to the northeast.	
TRX4	12.7	2	0.48	WNW–ESE A north–south ditch (C8) measuring 3.4m i was recorded in the central portion of the This ditch was recorded in Trenches 18, X2 to the southeast.	
TRX5	16.3	2	0.42	Northeast– southwest	Nothing of archaeological interest.

Archaeological Features

Three areas of archaeology were identified in the testing assessment (Archaeological Areas 1–3).

Archaeological Area 1

The core of Archaeological Area 1 comprises the two circular anomalies, an outer enclosure and associated features as identified in the geophysical survey and aerial photography in the southwestern portion of the site (Figure 5; Plates 5–20 and 28). The circular geophysical anomalies (1¹) which were identified by geophysical survey as

¹ These refer to the Geophysical Survey reference numbers

possible circular ditches were tested by Trenches 12 and 17. These were found to represent ring-ditches (Ring-Ditch C34 and Ring-Ditch C41).

Ring-Ditch C34 is c. 15m in diameter and is defined by a ditch measuring 0.95m–1.2m wide. It corresponds to the western circular response (1) as identified in the geophysical survey. An east–west extension to Trench 12 was excavated to investigate the ring ditch further as the northern return of C34 was not identified within the trench. At this location an excavated section revealed concave sides and base with a depth of 0.3m. The fill of this ditch comprises sands/clays with charcoal and burnt bone.

A faint circular negative response (2), possibly representing a banked feature or alternatively variations in the natural subsoil, was identified in the geophysical survey to the north of C34. This was area was not tested as it lay within the exclusion zone of overhead power lines.

Ring-Ditch C41 is c. 12.5m in diameter and is defined by a ditch measuring 1.4m–1.6m in width. It corresponds to the eastern circular geophysical response (1). Its fill is similar to that of C34, comprising of sandy clays with concentrations of charcoal and burnt bone. Three small pits (C42, C43 and C44) were identified in the interior of this ring-ditch. Each contained charcoal-rich soils and measure 0.24m–0.31m in diameter. These may represent the remains of a truncated structure within the ring-ditch or alternatively they could represent truncated cremation pits.

Both ring-ditches are enclosed by an enclosure as identified in Trenches 18, X2, X3 and X4 as a large ditch (C8). This partially respects the large enclosing geophysical anomaly (3) which was highlighted by geophysical survey as a potential ditched and banked circular feature. This also corresponds to crop marks identified in aerial photography. A section through this ditch in Trench 18 revealed it to be 3.6m wide and 1.2m deep. Its fills comprise of pale to mid-brown clays with charcoal inclusions. The eastern component of this enclosure is in line with the geophysical results however the southern and western components are unclear. It is projected that the overall diameter of the enclosure is 50m while it was recorded for c. 26m during testing.

To the southwest of C8 a wide curving ditch (C46) was identified in Trenches 14, 15, 16 and X1 and turned clockwise west-northwest direction for c. 18m. It is 2.4m wide and filled with pale to mid brown clays which contained some concentrations of charcoal. A section through this ditch in Trench C15 revealed that the ditch has concave sides and a flattish base with a slight stepping noted along the top of the northern side. While C46 does not correspond with the geophysical response (3) it does consistently share the same width (2.4m) of C8 as recorded in Trench X2.

A narrow linear feature and two large pit features (C37 and C38) were recorded immediately to the north of ditch C46 within Trench 15. They measured c. 1m in diameter and may represent internal features of the large enclosure.

To the northwest of C46, a 2m wide ditch (C33) was recorded for c. 20m within Trench 12. While it was not recorded on geophysical survey it appeared to truncate the Ring-Ditch C34 and may also be the continuation of the ditch C46. Both of which may indicate a multi-phase use of the site.

Peripheral Features

To the southeast of the enclosure groups of isolated pits (C1–C7, C10–C15) and linear features (C9 and C16) were identified in Trenches 21–26. These potentially represent peripheral, but associated, archaeological activity associated with the ring-ditches/enclosure. Charcoal-rich pits (C27, C28 and C32) and the linear ditch C29/C30 were also identified to the north in Trenches 5, 6, 7 and 8. To the southwest of the enclosure two pits (C35 and C40) and a linear feature (C36) were also identified. This again may represent peripheral archaeological activity that is considered to be peripheral archaeological activity associated with the ring-diches and enclosure.

Archaeological Area 2

Archaeological Area 2 comprises a spread of charcoal-rich material (C19) identified in Trench 44 and a distinct group of archaeological pits (C20–C23 and C18) in Trenches 33 and 46 (Figure 6; Plates 21–24). The spread (C19) measures c. 10m length and 8m in width while an exploratory slot showed its depth as 0.28m. In addition to these a possible kiln measuring c. 1.3m in diameter was identified in the northern end of Trench 45. Finally a large pit (C18) measuring 3m in length by 2.4m in width by 0.3m in depth was recorded in Trench 46.

Archaeological Area 3

Archaeological Area 3 comprises two charcoal-rich isolated pits (C24 and C25) and a shallow ditch (C26) (Figure 7; Plates 25–27). The pits identified were identified in Trench 52 and ranged in size from c. 0.65m-1m in diameter. A ditch (C26) was recorded in Trench 50 and likely represents the remains of a post-medieval field boundary.

3.3 CONCLUSIONS

Testing at Farrankelly has identified three area of archaeology across the proposed development area the most extensive of which is Archaeological Area 1 in Field 3. This area is defined by two ring-ditches and outer enclosure with associated pits and other archaeological features as previously identified in aerial photography and geophysical survey. It is possible that the ring-ditches are prehistoric (possibly Bronze Age) in date and as the outer enclosure respects these it is suggested that this is contemporary.

Archaeological Areas 2 and 3 represent dispersed archaeological activity across the development area some of which may be contemporary with the ring-ditches and enclosure while some features—notably the kiln in Trench 45—are likely to date to the early medieval or medieval period.

The archaeology discovered in this testing assessment is similar in nature to, and possibly an extension of, the recently discovered archaeological sites in the immediate area at Charlesland (see Section 2.2). At Charlesland a significant Bronze

Age ritual landscape including two ring-ditches, an urn burial, a cremation pit, structures, and burnt mounds have been excavated in advance of residential and road development. In this context it would be considered that the archaeology at Farrankelly would be of local significance only.

4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION STRATEGY

Impacts can be identified from detailed information about a project, the nature of the area affected and the range of archaeological resources potentially affected. Archaeological sites can be affected adversely in a number of ways: disturbance by excavation, topsoil stripping; disturbance by vehicles working in unsuitable conditions; and burial of sites, limiting access for future archaeological investigation.

4.1 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- Ground disturbances associated with the proposed residential development direct and negative impact on the archaeology identified in Archaeological Areas 1–3. It is not possible to avoid the archaeological remains within the layout of the proposed development.
- It is possible that there may be further negative impacts on previously unrecorded archaeological features or deposits—outside of Archaeological Areas 1–3—that have the potential to survive beneath the current ground level. This will be caused by ground disturbances associated with the proposed development.

4.2 MITIGATION

• While it is recognised that The National Monuments Service of the Department of the Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht pursue a policy of preservation *in-situ* of archaeological remains where practicable, the nature of this development at Farrankelly does not allow for preservation *in-situ*.

It is therefore recommended that Archaeological Areas 1–3 are preserved by record (archaeological excavation) in advance of development. This should be undertaken under licence to the National Monuments Service of the DoCHG. It is the developer's responsibility to ensure that full financial provision is made available for the required field work and any post excavation works.

• It is recommended that all topsoil stripping associated with the proposed development be monitored by a suitably qualified archaeologist. If any features of archaeological potential are discovered during the course of the works, further archaeological mitigation may be required such as preservation *in-situ* or by record. Any further mitigation will require approval from the National Monuments Service of the DoCHG.

Please note that all recommendations are subject to approval by the National Monument Section of the Heritage and Planning Division, Department of Culture, Heritage, and the Gaeltacht.

5 **REFERENCES**

Bennett, I. (ed.) 1987–2010 *Excavations: Summary Accounts of Archaeological Excavations in Ireland*. Bray. Wordwell.

Department of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands. 1999a *Framework and Principles for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage*. Dublin. Government Publications Office.

Department of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands. 1999b *Policy and Guidelines on Archaeological Excavation*. Dublin. Government Publications Office.

Environmental Protection Agency. 2015 *Draft Advice Notes on Current Practice (in the preparation of Environmental Impact Statements)*. Dublin. Government Publications Office.

Environmental Protection Agency. 2015 *Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Statements*. Dublin. Government Publications Office.

Harbison, P. 1992 *Guide to the National and Historic Monuments of Ireland*. Dublin. Gill and Macmillan.

Institution of Field Archaeologists 2014a Standards & Guidance for Field Evaluation.

Institution of Field Archaeologists 2014b Standards & Guidance for Archaeological Excavation.

Institution of Field Archaeologists 2014c Standards & Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief (Monitoring).

Lewis, S. 1837 (online edition) Topographical Dictionary of Ireland.

Molloy, B. 2004 Unique prehistoric musical instrument discovered in Co. Wicklow. Archaeology Ireland, 18 (2), 5.

Molloy, B. 2009 The excavation of a medieval enclosure at Charlesland, Co. Wicklow, in Corlett and Potterton (eds), *Rural Settlement in Medieval Ireland*. P 149–56.

Unique prehistoric musical instrument discovered in Co. Wicklow. Archaeology Ireland, 18 (2), 5.

National Monument Section, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs. *Sites and Monuments Record*, County Wicklow.

National Museum of Ireland. *Topographical Files*, County Wicklow.

Simpson, L. 1994 Anglo-Norman settlement in Uí Briúin Cualann, 1169–1350, in Hannigan, K. and Nolan, W. (eds) Wicklow History and society p. 190-235

Stout, M. 1997 The Irish Ringfort. Dublin. Four Courts.

Whitty, Y. 2011 IDA Killincarrig, Greystones, Co. Wicklow: Final Excavation Report 10E025. Report prepared by IAC Ltd for IDA Ireland.

CARTOGRAPHIC SOURCES

Ordnance Survey maps of County Wicklow 1837, 1911

ELECTRONIC SOURCES

www.excavations.ie – Summary of archaeological excavation from 1970–2016

www.archaeology.ie – DoCHG website listing all SMR sites with aerial photographs

www.osiemaps.ie – Ordnance Survey aerial photographs dating to 1995, 2000 & 2005 and 6-inch/25-inch OS maps.

www.googleearth.com – Aerial photographs of the proposed development area

www.bingmaps.com – Aerial photographs of the proposed development area

APPENDIX 1 CONTEXTS

CONTEXT	TRENCH	LENGTH	WIDTH	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	
NO.	NO.	(m)	(m)	(m)		
1	24	0.5	0.5	NA	Pit. Sub-circular in plan. It was filled with an orangey brown charcoal rich clay.	
2	24	0.6	0.6	NA	Pit. Circular in plan. It consisted of black charcoal rich silty clay and contained occasion fragments of burnt bone.	
3	22	0.65	0.5	NA	Pit. Sub-circular in plan. Orientated NE–SW. Filled with a dark brown silty clay with occasional charcoal inclusions.	
4	22	0.5	0.2	NA	Pit. Sub-rectangular in plan. (NE–SW). Filled with dark brown silty clay with occasional charcoal inclusions.	
5	22	0.85	0.75	NA	Pit. Tear drop shape in plan. Orientated (NW–SE) Extended into northeast baulk. The fill was mid- brown silty clay with concentrations of charcoal.	
6	22	0.2m	0.18	N/A	Small charcoal spread. Irregular shape in plan	
7	22	NA	0.8 d.	NA	Stakehole. The fill consisted of dark brown silty clay.	
8	18, X2, X3, X4	(Total length) 26	2.4–3.6	1.2	Ditch. Curving NE–SW to N–S. Two fills were identified in Trench 18. The upper fill was consistent in the four trenches. Upper fill: Pale to mid-brown sandy clay of friable compaction with occasional to moderate charcoal and occasional stone inclusions (20mm–30mm d.). It measured 0.5m in thickness. Lower fill: Greenish brown silty clay with moderate stone inclusions (20mm–90mm d.). It measured 0.7m in thickness. The cut comprised of gently sloping concave sides becoming steep as it narrowed in towards a flattish base.	
9	21	2.15	0.55	0.45	Linear feature. Orientated NNE–SSW. The fill consisted of mid-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal inclusions. It was steep sided with a flattish base.	
10	21	0.82	0.45	0.12	Possible pit. Oval in plan. Orientated NNE–SSW. The fill consisted of mid-brown silty clay which contained occasional charcoal inclusions. The cut comprised of gently sloping sides and a concave base.	
11	21	0.65	0.5	0.08	Possible pit. Oval in plan. Orientated NNE–SSW. Its fill consisted of mid-brown silty clay with rare charcoal inclusions. The cut comprised of concave sides and an irregular base.	
12	21	1.2 m	1.1	0.3	Large pit. Sub-circular in plan. It was filled with mid- brown silty clay. Occasional charcoal inclusions. Concave sides.	
13	21	2.15	0.8	0.28	Linear feature. Orientated N–S. The fill consisted of mid-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal and frequent stone inclusions. The fill became more gravelly towards the northern side of the trench. The cut comprised of moderately sloped sides and an uneven base.	

CONTEXT NO.	TRENCH NO.	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION	
14	21	1.5	1.08	0.15	Possible pit. Roughly orientated E–W. The fill consisted of stony brown silty clay containing rare flecks of charcoal. The cut comprised of concave sides and base.	
15	25	1.55	0.85	0.18	Possible pit. Orientated NW–SE. Irregular in plan. Th fill consisted of mid-brown silty clay containing occasional charcoal flecks. The cut comprised of concave sides and an irregular base that tapered in towards the middle of the feature.	
16	25	2.15	0.52– 0.85	0.14	Linear feature. Orientated WNW–ESE. The fill consisted of a mid-brown stony clay fill. The cut comprised of a southern side with a gentle slope at the top which became steep towards the base. The northern side had a steep slope. The base was flattish.	
17	45	1.35	1.1	N/A	Possible kiln. It consisted of a black charcoal rich clay which was bounded by a ring of scorched red clay on the N, S and E sides	
18	46	3	2.4	0.3	Large pit. Orientated N–S. It predominantly consist of greyish brown sandy clay of friable compaction a contained moderate charcoal inclusions.	
19	44	10.5	8	0.18	Burnt spread. Orientated N–S. Irregular in plan. It consisted of a mid to dark grey clay containing large stone inclusions. It was charcoal rich on the N side with moderate charcoal inclusions to the SW. Mode disturbance was noted impacted upon its SE edge.	
20	33	0.25	0.17	N/A	Pit. Sub-circular in plan. It consisted of brown silty clay.	
21	33	0.43	0.4	N/A	Pit. Circular in plan. It consisted of black silty clay.	
22	33	0.6	0.35	N/A	Pit. Sub-oval in plan. It consisted of black silty clay.	
23	33	1.05 d.	N/A	N/A	Large pit. Circular in plan. It consisted of sandy clay that contained frequent charcoal and occasional red scorched clay inclusions. It was dark greyish brown in colour with a pale brown mottling.	
24	52	0.66	0.55	0.15	Pit. Sub-oval in plan. Orientated NW–SE. Two fills were noted. The upper fill (0.06m in thickness) consisted of friable greyish brown clayish sand that contained moderate charcoal inclusions. The lower fill was friable mid-brown clayish sand that contained occasional charcoal (0.09m in thickness).	
25	52	1	0.97	0.09	Pit. Sub-circular in plan. It consisted of pale brown clayish sand of friable compaction. A concentration of charcoal was noted in the centre of the feature.	
26	50	16	0.96	0.25	Shallow ditch. Possibly remains of a field boundary. Orientated ESE. The fill consisted of a mid-brown clayish sand of friable compaction. It contained inclusions of occasional charcoal, occasion stone (0.05m d.) and moderate roots. The cut comprised of concave sides and base.	

CONTEXT NO.	TRENCH NO.	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION	
27	5	2.6	1.25	N/A	Large pit. It extended into E baulk. It was mid-greyish brown silty clay of firm compaction. It contained occasional charcoal inclusions.	
28	5	0.33	0.22	N/A	Pit. Oval in plan. Orientated NW–SE. The fill consisted of mid brown sandy clay of friable compaction. Concentrations of charcoal and occasional scorched clay were also noted. The cut comprised of concave sides and base.	
29	6	2.15	1.15	0.52	Ditch. Orientated NW–SE. It consisted of pale brown silty clay that contained occasional charcoal inclusions. The cut comprised of concave sides and base.	
30	7	2.15	1.63	0.28	Ditch. Orientated NNW–SSE. The fill was composed firm mid-brown sandy clay. Occasional charcoal and occasional to moderate sub-round and sub-angular stones (0.03m–0.15m d.) were also noted. The cut comprised of concave sides and a straight base. C30 possibly represents the continuation of C29 at a poi where the ditch faded out.	
31	7	0.65	0.45– 0.6	N/A	Possible furrow. Orientated NE–SW. It consisted of friable mid-brown clayish sand containing occasional charcoal and stone inclusions (0.05m–0.08m d.).	
32	8	2.5	1.83	N/A	Large pit. Orientated ENE–WSW. Extended into E and W baulks. It consisted of firm mid-brown sandy clay which contained occasional to moderate charcoal inclusions.	
33	12	20.1	1.55– 2.04	0.49	Ditch. Orientated NNW–SSE. Three fills were identified. The upper fill consisted of pale brown stony sandy clay and measured 0.16m in thickness. The middle fill was composed of plastic pale grey silty clay which contained occasional charcoal inclusions and measured 0.24m in thickness. The lower fill was composed of gritty brownish grey clayish sand that measured 0.09m in thickness. A section revealed that its western side was concave and a concave base. C33 appeared to cut C34 .	
34	12	c. 42.4	0.95– 1.25	0.26	Ring ditch. Circular in plan. The fill was composed of friable pale brown clayish sand. It contained occasional burnt bone and charcoal inclusions. It appeared to have been truncated by C33 . Its cut comprised of concave sides and base.	
35	13	0.7	0.6	N/A	Possible pit. It was filled with pale brownish grey sand which contained occasional charcoal inclusions.	
36	13	3	0.58	N/A	Linear feature. Orientated E–W. It was filled with friable greyish brown silty sand which contained occasional charcoal inclusions.	
37	16	1	0.95	N/A	Pit. Subcircular in plan. It contained a friable mid- brown sandy clay fill.	
38	16	0.96	0.7	N/A	Pit. Oval in plan. Its fill consisted of dark brown friable sandy clay which contained occasional charcoal inclusions.	

CONTEXT NO.	TRENCH NO.	LENGTH (m)	WIDTH (m)	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION	
39	15	2.15	0.7	0.6	Linear feature. Orientated E–W Its fill consisted of friable pale brown sandy clay which contained occasional charcoal inclusions. The cut comprised of concave sides and base.	
40	14	2	0.52	N/A	Pit. Irregular in plan. It consisted of firm pale brown sandy clay which contained occasional charcoal inclusions.	
41	17	c. 40.2 (length of circumf erence) c. 12.5 d.	0.8– 1.58	N/A	Ring ditch. Circular in plan. Its fill consisted of gritty dark greyish brown silty clay. It contained inclusions of occasional to moderate charcoal, occasional burnt bone, scorched clay and moderate stone (c. 0.03m– 0.42m d.).	
42	17	0.31 d.	N/A	N/A	Small pit. Circular in plan. Its fill consisted of dark brown charcoal rich clay.	
43	17	0.24 d.	N/A	N/A	Small pit. Circular in plan. Its fill consisted of dark brown charcoal rich clay.	
44	17	0.28 d.	N/A	N/A	Small pit. Circular in plan. Its fill consisted of dark brown charcoal rich clay.	
45	X1	c. 6.15	1.05	0.75	Ditch. Orientated NNE–SSW. Its fill consisted of mid to dark brown stony clay with charcoal inclusions. A grey silt was identified overlying the base. The cut comprised of steep sides that tapered into a concave base. C45 appeared to truncate the ditch C46	
46	14, 15, 16, X1	18.1	2.4	1.3	Large ditch. Arcing ENE–WSW clockwise to SSE–NNW. Three fills were identified in Trench 15. The upper fill consisted of pale brown sandy clay which occasional charcoal and moderate stone inclusions. It measured 0.5m in thickness. The middle fill was pale grey silty sand with occasional to moderate stone inclusions. It measured 0.5m in thickness. The lower fill was pale brown sandy clay and measured 0.3m in thickness. In Trench 16 the upper fill consisted of mid brown sandy clay with concentrations of charcoal running along the inside edge on its opposing sides. In Trench 15 the cut comprised of concave sides and a flattish base. A slight stepping noted along top of the northern side.	

APPENDIX 2 FINDS REGISTER

FIND NUMBER	CONTEXT	FULL NAME	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION
17E0292:1:1	C1	Flint blade	Flint	Flint blade.
17E0292:1:2	C1	Flint flake	Flint	Flint flake
17E0292:29:1	C29	Pivot stone	Stone	Possible pivot stone

APPENDIX 3 RMP SITES WITHIN THE SURROUNDING AREA

RMP NO.	TOWNLAND:	CLASSIFICATION	DISTANCE TO SITE
WI013-076	Charlesland	Habitation site	c. 190m east
WI013-097	Charlesland	Excavation - miscellaneous	c. 290m east
WI013-112	Charlesland	Urn burial	c. 360m southeast
WI013-098	Charlesland	Kiln - corn-drying	c. 380m east
WI013-111	Charlesland	Excavation - miscellaneous	c. 400m east
WI013-104	Farrankelly	Excavation - miscellaneous	c. 460m south
WI013-105	Priestsnewtown	Ring-ditch	c. 450m south
WI013-110	Charlesland	Fulacht Fiadh	c. 470m east-southeast
WI013-113	Charlesland	Cremation pit	c. 490m south
WI013-114	Charlesland	Structure	c. 490m south
WI013-115	Charlesland	Structure	c. 490m south
WI013-077	Charlesland	Ring-ditch	c. 490m south
WI013-073	Farrankelly	Habitation site	c. 500m south

APPENDIX 4 STRAY FINDS WITHIN THE SURROUNDING AREA

Information on artefact finds from the study area in County Wicklow has been recorded by the National Museum of Ireland since the late 18th century. Location information relating to these finds is important in establishing prehistoric and historic activity in the study area.

MUSEUM NO	1988:236
TOWNLAND	Killincarrig
PARISH	Greystones
BARONY	Rathdown
FIND	Decorated Viking Ring Pin
FIND PLACE	During metal detecting
DESCRIPTION	No information in file
REFERENCE	NMI

MUSEUM NO	1988:237
TOWNLAND	Killincarrig
PARISH	Greystones
BARONY	Rathdown
FIND	Decorated ring of a small ring brooch
FIND PLACE	During metal detecting
DESCRIPTION	No information in file
REFERENCE	NMI

MUSEUM NO	1988:238
TOWNLAND	Killincarrig
PARISH	Greystones
BARONY	Rathdown
FIND	Bronze Palstave
FIND PLACE	During metal detecting
DESCRIPTION	No information in file
REFERENCE	NMI

MUSEUM NO	1988:239
TOWNLAND	Killincarrig
PARISH	Greystones
BARONY	Rathdown
FIND	Socketed axe
FIND PLACE	During metal detecting
DESCRIPTION	Incomplete bronze socketed axe
REFERENCE	NMI

APPENDIX 5 LEGISLATION ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE

PROTECTING

THE

PROTECTION OF CULTURAL HERITAGE

The cultural heritage in Ireland is safeguarded through national and international policy designed to secure the protection of the cultural heritage resource to the fullest possible extent (Department of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands 1999, 35). This is undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the *European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage* (Valletta Convention), ratified by Ireland in 1997.

THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE

The National Monuments Act 1930 to 2014 and relevant provisions of the National Cultural Institutions Act 1997 are the primary means of ensuring the satisfactory protection of archaeological remains, which includes all man-made structures of whatever form or date except buildings habitually used for ecclesiastical purposes. A National Monument is described as 'a monument or the remains of a monument the preservation of which is a matter of national importance by reason of the historical, architectural, traditional, artistic or archaeological interest attaching thereto' (National Monuments Act 1930 Section 2).

A number of mechanisms under the National Monuments Act are applied to secure the protection of archaeological monuments. These include the Register of Historic Monuments, the Record of Monuments and Places, and the placing of Preservation Orders and Temporary Preservation Orders on endangered sites.

OWNERSHIP AND GUARDIANSHIP OF NATIONAL MONUMENTS

The Minister may acquire national monuments by agreement or by compulsory order. The state or local authority may assume guardianship of any national monument (other than dwellings). The owners of national monuments (other than dwellings) may also appoint the Minister or the local authority as guardian of that monument if the state or local authority agrees. Once the site is in ownership or guardianship of the state, it may not be interfered with without the written consent of the Minister.

REGISTER OF HISTORIC MONUMENTS

Section 5 of the 1987 Act requires the Minister to establish and maintain a Register of Historic Monuments. Historic monuments and archaeological areas present on the register are afforded statutory protection under the 1987 Act. Any interference with sites recorded on the register is illegal without the permission of the Minister. Two months notice in writing is required prior to any work being undertaken on or in the vicinity of a registered monument. The register also includes sites under Preservation Orders and Temporary Preservation Orders. All registered monuments are included in the Record of Monuments and Places.

PRESERVATION ORDERS AND TEMPORARY PRESERVATION ORDERS

Sites deemed to be in danger of injury or destruction can be allocated Preservation Orders under the 1930 Act. Preservation Orders make any interference with the site illegal. Temporary Preservation Orders can be attached under the 1954 Act. These perform the same function as a Preservation Order but have a time limit of six months, after which the situation must be reviewed. Work may only be undertaken on or in the vicinity of sites under Preservation Orders with the written consent, and at the discretion, of the Minister.

RECORD OF MONUMENTS AND PLACES

Section 12(1) of the 1994 Act requires the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands (now the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs) to establish and maintain a record of monuments and places where the Minister believes that such monuments exist. The record comprises a list of monuments and relevant places and a map/s showing each monument and relevant place in respect of each county in the state. All sites recorded on the Record of Monuments and Places receive statutory protection under the National Monuments Act 1994. All recorded monuments on the proposed development site are represented on the accompanying maps.

Section 12(3) of the 1994 Act provides that 'where the owner or occupier (other than the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands) of a monument or place included in the Record, or any other person, proposes to carry out, or to cause or permit the carrying out of, any work at or in relation to such a monument or place, he or she shall give notice in writing to the Minister of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands to carry out work and shall not, except in the case of urgent necessity and with the consent of the Minister, commence the work until two months after the giving of notice'.

Under the National Monuments (Amendment) Act 2004, anyone who demolishes or in any way interferes with a recorded site is liable to a fine not exceeding \leq 3,000 or imprisonment for up to 6 months. On summary conviction and on conviction of indictment, a fine not exceeding \leq 10,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years is the penalty. In addition they are liable for costs for the repair of the damage caused.

In addition to this, under the *European Communities (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 1989,* Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) are required for various classes and sizes of development project to assess the impact the proposed development will have on the existing environment, which includes the cultural, archaeological and built heritage resources. These document's recommendations are typically incorporated into the conditions under which the proposed development must proceed, and thus offer an additional layer of protection for monuments which have not been listed on the RMP.

THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000

Under planning legislation, each local authority is obliged to draw up a Development Plan setting out their aims and policies with regard to the growth of the area over a five-year period. They cover a range of issues including archaeology and built heritage, setting out their policies and objectives with regard to the protection and enhancement of both. These policies can vary from county to county. The Planning and Development Act 2000 recognises that proper planning and sustainable development includes the protection of the archaeological heritage. Conditions relating to archaeology may be attached to individual planning permissions.

APPENDIX 6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT & THE CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE

POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL REMAINS

Impacts are defined as 'the degree of change in an environment resulting from a development' (Environmental Protection Agency 2003: 31). They are described as profound, significant or slight impacts on archaeological remains. They may be negative, positive or neutral, direct, indirect or cumulative, temporary or permanent.

Impacts can be identified from detailed information about a project, the nature of the area affected and the range of archaeological and historical resources potentially affected. Development can affect the archaeological and historical resource of a given landscape in a number of ways.

- Permanent and temporary land-take, associated structures, landscape mounding, and their construction may result in damage to or loss of archaeological remains and deposits, or physical loss to the setting of historic monuments and to the physical coherence of the landscape.
- Archaeological sites can be affected adversely in a number of ways: disturbance by excavation, topsoil stripping and the passage of heavy machinery; disturbance by vehicles working in unsuitable conditions; or burial of sites, limiting accessibility for future archaeological investigation.
- Hydrological changes in groundwater or surface water levels can result from construction activities such as de-watering and spoil disposal, or longer-term changes in drainage patterns. These may desiccate archaeological remains and associated deposits.
- Visual impacts on the historic landscape sometimes arise from construction traffic and facilities, built earthworks and structures, landscape mounding and planting, noise, fences and associated works. These features can impinge directly on historic monuments and historic landscape elements as well as their visual amenity value.
- Landscape measures such as tree planting can damage sub-surface archaeological features, due to topsoil stripping and through the root action of trees and shrubs as they grow.
- Ground consolidation by construction activities or the weight of permanent embankments can cause damage to buried archaeological remains, especially in colluviums or peat deposits.
- Disruption due to construction also offers in general the potential for adversely affecting archaeological remains. This can include machinery, site offices, and service trenches.

Although not widely appreciated, positive impacts can accrue from developments. These can include positive resource management policies, improved maintenance and access to archaeological monuments, and the increased level of knowledge of a site or historic landscape as a result of archaeological assessment and fieldwork.

PREDICTED IMPACTS

The severity of a given level of land-take or visual intrusion varies with the type of monument, site or landscape features and its existing environment. Severity of impact can be judged taking the following into account:

- The proportion of the feature affected and how far physical characteristics fundamental to the understanding of the feature would be lost;
- Consideration of the type, date, survival/condition, fragility/vulnerability, rarity, potential and amenity value of the feature affected;
- Assessment of the levels of noise, visual and hydrological impacts, either in general or site specific terms, as may be provided by other specialists.

APPENDIX 7 MITIGATION MEASURES & THE CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE

POTENTIAL MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR CULTURAL HERITAGE REMAINS

Mitigation is defined as features of the design or other measures of the proposed development that can be adopted to avoid, prevent, reduce or offset negative effects.

The best opportunities for avoiding damage to archaeological remains or intrusion on their setting and amenity arise when the site options for the development are being considered. Damage to the archaeological resource immediately adjacent to developments may be prevented by the selection of appropriate construction methods. Reducing adverse effects can be achieved by good design, for example by screening historic buildings or upstanding archaeological monuments or by burying archaeological sites undisturbed rather than destroying them. Offsetting adverse effects is probably best illustrated by the full investigation and recording of archaeological sites that cannot be preserved *in-situ*.

DEFINITION OF MITIGATION STRATEGIES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE

The ideal mitigation for all archaeological sites is preservation *in-situ*. This is not always a practical solution, however. Therefore a series of recommendations are offered to provide ameliorative measures where avoidance and preservation *in-situ* are not possible.

Archaeological Test Trenching can be defined as 'a limited programme... of intrusive fieldwork which determines the presence or absence of archaeological features, structures, deposits, artefacts or ecofacts within a specified area or site on land or underwater. If such archaeological remains are present test trenching defines their character and extent and relative quality.' (IFA 2014a).

Full Archaeological Excavation involves the scientific removal and recording of all archaeological features, deposits and objects to the level of geological strata or the base level of any given development. Full archaeological excavation is recommended where initial investigation has uncovered evidence of archaeologically significant material or structures and where avoidance of the site is not possible. (IFA 2014b).

Archaeological Monitoring can be defined as a 'formal programme of observation and investigation conducted during any operation carried out for non-archaeological reasons within a specified area or site on land or underwater, where there is possibility that archaeological deposits may be disturbed or destroyed. The programme will result in the preparation of a report and ordered archive.' (IFA 2014c).









						\checkmark
		()				
FIELD 8						
FIELD 6						
		A		- A		
	Tr50		Tr	9		
			E		R	
			E		RIF	
FIELD F	F-					
FIELD 5	1					
			/ /		T de l	
					HO	
					48	
					478	
					<u> </u>	
		Town Houses	79		20.5%	
	A	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V	79 12		20.5%	
	A	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse	79 12 38		20.5%	
	A B B1	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V	79 12 38 12		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 Q	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Long 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5 3		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townho	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse Long 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Semi Detached	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Earl of Terrace 3 Bed Sami Detached 3 Bed Sami Detached	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D1 D2	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Ead Semi Detached 3 Ead Semi Detached End of Terrace 3 Ead Semi Detached End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D D1 D1 D2 D3		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D1 D2 D3 E		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 98		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D2 D3 E E E1	 Fown Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 5 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 6 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 7 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached I 9 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached I 9 Bad	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D2 D3 E E 1 E1 E2	 Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 4 Ead Townhouse Part V 4 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 6 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 7 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached End of Terrace 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 98 10 18		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E1 E2 E3	 Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 6 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 7 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Bed Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached End Sami D	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6		20.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D	 Form Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Stepped 4 Bed Sami Detached Stepped 5 Detached 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 9 8 10 18 6 13		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G	 Novn Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse End of Tarrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bed Sami Detached 4 Bed Sami Detached End of Tarrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Book End 5 Bed Sami Detached Book End 6 Bed Sami Detached Book End 7 Bed Sami Detached Book End 7 Bed Sami Detached Book End 8 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G G		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G G1 G2		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 14		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G1 Q2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9		20.5% 41% 3.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 9 12 38 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		20.5% 41% 3.5% 3%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G G G G 1 G C H H1	Now Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 4 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Stepped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stepped 9 Bad Sami Detached Turn Comer 9 Bad Detached L Bhape 9 Bad Detached L Bhape 9 Bad Detached L Shape 9 Bad Bungalow (chalet) 9 Bad Bungalow Part V	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2		20.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 5 Bed Townhouse Part V 6 Bed Townhouse Part V 7 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached 9 Bed Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Chang 4 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Turn Comer 9 Bed Detached L Shape 9 Bengalows 9 Bed Bungalow (chalet) 9 Bed Bungalow Part V 9 Bed Bungalow Part V	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2	xPartV	20.5% 41% 3.5% 3%	
wing location of	A B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D	Pown Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 5 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 6 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Detached Long 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Tam Corner 9 Bad Bungalow (chalet) 19 Bad Bungalow Part V 20 Bad Bungalow Part V 20 Bad Bungalow Part W 20 Bad Bungalow Part W 20 Bad Bungalow Part W Aupartmente	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	×Part/) 1 12000@A3	20.5% 41% 3.5% 3% 22% Drawn by	DM
wing location of 1	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G1 G2 H H1 H1	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 4 Ead Townhouse Part V 5 Ead Townhouse Part V 9 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 2 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached 9 Ead Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached Tum Corner 9 Ead Detached Tum Corner 9 Ead Detached Lang 9 Ead Bungalow (chalet) 9 Ead Bungalow Part V Apartments (4 Storeys)	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 38 10 18 6 13 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2 2 2 2 98 10 158 20 2 2 2 15 158 10 158 10 158 10 158 10 11 158 10 158 10 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	×PartV/ 1:2000@A3 07/09/17	20.5% 20.5% 3.5% 3% Drawn by Checked by	DM r EL/RL





						\checkmark
		()				
FIELD 8						
FIELD 6						
		A		S		
	Tr5		Tr	9		
			E		R	
			E		RIF	
FIELD F	F-					
FIELD 5	1					
			/ /		T Ball	
					HO	
					48	
					478	
					<u> </u>	
		Town Houses	79		20.5%	
	A	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V	79 12		20.5%	
	A	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse	79 12 38		20.5%	
	A B B1	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part	79 12 38 12		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 Q	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Long 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5 3		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townho	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Bed Townhouse Long 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Semi Detached	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Earl of Terrace 3 Bed Sami Detached 3 Bed Sami Detached	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D1 D2	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 3 Ead Semi Detached 3 Ead Semi Detached End of Terrace 3 Ead Semi Detached End of Terrace	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D D1 D1 D2 D3		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D1 D2 D3 E		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 98		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D2 D3 E E E1	 Fown Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 5 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 6 Bad Townhouse End of Tarrace 7 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached I 9 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached I 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D1 D2 D3 E E 1 E1 E2	 Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 4 Ead Townhouse Part V 8 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached 9 Ead Sami Detached End of Terrace 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 98 10 18		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E1 E2 E3	 Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 7 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Bed Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached End Sami Detac	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6		20.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D	 Form Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Townhouse Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Long 4 Bed Sami Detached Eard of Terrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Stepped 4 Bed Sami Detached Stepped 5 Detached 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 9 8 10 18 6 13		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G	 Novn Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse End of Tarrace 5 Bed Townhouse End of Tarrace 4 Bed Sami Detached 4 Bed Sami Detached End of Tarrace 4 Bed Sami Detached Book End 5 Bed Sami Detached Book End 6 Bed Sami Detached Book End 7 Bed Sami Detached Book End 7 Bed Sami Detached Book End 8 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D D D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G G		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2		20.5%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G1 G2		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 14		20.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9		20.5% 41% 3.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D		79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 9 12 38 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 12 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 3 9 15 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		20.5% 41% 3.5% 3%	
	A B B1 B2 B3 C D1 D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G G G G 1 G C H H1	Now Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 4 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse Part V 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Stepped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stepped 9 Bad Sami Detached Turn Comer 9 Bad Detached L Bhape 9 Bad Detached L Bhape 9 Bad Detached L Shape 9 Bad Bungalow (chalet) 9 Bad Bungalow Part V	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2		20.5%	
	A B B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D	Town Houses 2 Bed Townhouse Part V 3 Bed Townhouse Part V 4 Bed Townhouse Part V 5 Bed Townhouse Part V 6 Bed Townhouse Part V 7 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached 9 Bed Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Chang 4 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Book End 9 Bed Sami Detached Turn Comer 9 Bed Detached L Shape 9 Bengalows 9 Bed Bungalow (chalet) 9 Bed Bungalow Part V 9 Bed Bungalow Part V	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 98 10 18 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2	xPartV	20.5% 41% 3.5% 3%	
wing location of	A B B B B B B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D	Pown Houses 2 Bad Townhouse Part V 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 3 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 4 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 5 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 6 Bad Townhouse End of Tornace 8 Bad Sami Detached End of Tornace 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Long 4 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Book End 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Detached Long 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Stapped 9 Bad Sami Detached Tam Corner 9 Bad Bungalow (chalet) 9 Bad Bungalow Part V 9 Bad Bungalow Part V 9 Bad Bungalow Part W 9 Bad Bungalow Part W 9 Bad Bungalow Part W 9 Bad Bungalow Part W <td>79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td> <td>×Part/) 1 12000@A3</td> <td>20.5% 41% 3.5% 3% 22% Drawn by</td> <td>DM</td>	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	×Part/) 1 12000@A3	20.5% 41% 3.5% 3% 22% Drawn by	DM
wing location of 1	A B B1 B2 B3 O D1 D2 D3 E E1 E2 E3 G G1 G2 H H1 H1	Town Houses 2 Ead Townhouse Part V 3 Ead Townhouse Part V 4 Ead Townhouse Part V 5 Ead Townhouse Part V 9 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 2 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 8 Ead Townhouse End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached 9 Ead Sami Detached End of Terrace 9 Ead Sami Detached Tum Corner 9 Ead Detached Tum Corner 9 Ead Detached Lang 9 Ead Bungalow (chalet) 9 Ead Bungalow Part V Apartments (4 Storeys)	79 12 38 12 5 3 9 158 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 38 10 18 6 13 6 13 6 2 5 11 9 2 2 2 2 98 10 158 20 2 2 2 15 158 10 158 10 158 10 158 10 11 158 10 158 10 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 158 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	×PartV/ 1:2000@A3 07/09/17	20.5% 20.5% 3.5% 3% Drawn by Checked by	DM r EL/RL









	12 Scale 1:750@A3	Drawn by	
v	Date 07/09/17	Checked b	y EL/RL
	Job no. J3182	Fig. 8	Rev


Plate 1: Testing area, facing northwest



Plate 3: Testing area. facing northeast



Plate 2: Testing area, facing northeast



Plate 4: Testing area. Field 5. facing east



Plate 5: Archaeological Area (AA)1, Trench 1, facing south



Plate 7: AA1, Trench 8, facing south



Plate 6: AA1, Trench 6, Ditch C29, facing northwest



Plate 8: AA1, Trench 12, Ditch C33, facing south-southeast



Plate 9: AA1, Trench 12, Ditch C33 and C34, facing northwest



Plate 11: AA1, Trench 13, facing southwest



Plate 10: AA1, Trench 12, Ring-Ditch C34, facing north



Plate 12: AA1, Trench 15, Ditch C46, facing west



Plate 13: AA1, Trench 16, Ditch C46, facing southeast



Plate 15: AA1, Trench 17, Ditch C41 and Pits C42, C43 and C44 facing north-northwest



Plate 14: AA1, Trench 16, C37, facing west



Plate 16: AA1, Trench 17, Ring-Ditch C41 (northeast side of trench), facing northeast



Plate 17: AA1, Trench 18, Ditch C8, facing northwest



Plate 19: AA1, Trench 22, C3 and C4, facing northwest



Plate 18: AA1, Trench 20, facing northeast



Plate 20: AA1, Trench 24, C2, facing west-northwest



Plate 21: AA2, Trench 29, facing west



Plate 23: AA2, Trench 44, Spread C19, facing northwest



Plate 22: AA2, Trench 33, C23, facing west



Plate 24: AA2, Trench 45, Kiln C17, facing north



Plate 25: AA3, Trench 52, C24, facing east



Plate 27: AA3, Trench 50, Ditch C26, facing east-southeast



Plate 26: AA3, Trench 52, C25, facing northwest



Plate 28: AA1, Trench X2, Ditch C8 facing southwest

Appendix 13.3: Recorded Monuments within the Surrounding Area

RMP No.:	WI013-076
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729133, 710271
Classification:	Habitation site
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 190m east
development:	
Description:	Two Bronze Age structures were excavated here in 2003, prior to the construction of the dual carriageway from the R671 to Greystones (Excavation Licence 03E0146 (Site D)). 'Structure I' (diam. 10m) was defined by a double ring of post-holes some of which contained cremation deposits and sherds of Bronze Age pottery. 'Structure II' (diam. 10m) was defined by a single row of post-holes and its northern limit was truncated by a series of pits and post-holes. Three linear ditches were located at the eastern extent of the site forming a probable enclosure with an east-facing entrance. A large number of associated pits, post-holes, hearths and a possible metalled surface were also excavated and yielded a fragment of bronze, Early Neolithic and Bronze Age pottery and six saddle querns. Two kilns were also excavated and a rotary quern was found in the larger one. (Molloy 2006, 546)
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-097
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729213, 710401
Classification:	Excavation - miscellaneous
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 290m east
development:	
Description:	A series of pits, post holes, stake holes, hearths, troughs and burnt spreads were uncovered here during topsoil stripping in 2004 (Excavation Licence 04E0118). A cereal-drying kiln was uncovered to the NE (see WI013-098). (Molloy 2007, 479-480).
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-098
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729257, 710514
Classification:	Kiln - corn-drying
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 380m east
development:	
Description:	A cereal-drying kiln was uncovered in close proximity to a series of pits, post holes, stake holes, troughs and burnt spreads (see WI013-097) during topsoil stripping in 2004 (Excavation Licence 04E0118). (Molloy 2007, 479-480).
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-105
Townland:	Priestsnewtown
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	728577, 709615
Classification:	Ring-ditch
Dist. from	c. 450m south
development:	
Description:	The southern half of a circular ditch (diam. <i>c</i> . 12m; Wth 1-1.3m; D 0.2m) was excavated here as part of the construction work associated with the Greystones Southern Access Route (Excavation Licence 04E0467). No internal features or finds were uncovered. The remaining half is preserved outside of the road-take. A stone lined hearth was excavated <i>c</i> . 22m to the E. (Wiggins 2007, 492-3).
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-112
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729265, 710115

Classification:	Urn burial
Dist. from	c. 360m south-east
development:	
Description:	The remains of an isolated Bronze Age urn was excavated here as part of the construction work associated with Charlesland Residential Development (Excavation Licence 04E0387). (Phelan 2007, 480)
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-111
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729338, 710216
Classification:	Excavation - miscellaneous
Dist. from	<i>c.</i> 400m east
development:	
Description:	The series of medieval pits, some of which contained Leinster Cooking Ware pottery, were excavated here as part of the construction work associated with Charlesland Residential Development (Excavation Licence 04E0387). (Phelan 2007, 480)
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-104
Townland:	Farrankelly
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	728780, 709718
Classification:	Excavation - miscellaneous
Dist. from	c. 460m south
development:	
Description:	Twelve pits dispersed over a large area (L 160m; Wth 40m) were excavated here as part of the construction work associated with the Greystones Southern Access Route (Excavation Licence 04E0466). Six of the pits contained burnt stones. (Wiggins 2007, 483-4).
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-110
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729398, 710175
Classification:	Fulacht fiadh
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 470m ESE
development:	
Description:	The remains of a <i>fulacht fiadh</i> were excavated here as part of the construction work associated with Charlesland Residential Development (Excavation Licence 04E0387). (Phelan 2007, 480)
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-113-115; WI013-077
Townland:	Charlesland
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	729045, 709804; 729034, 709799; 729033, 709806; 729043, 709801
Classification:	Cremation pit, ringditch and two structures
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 490m south
development:	
Description:	A ring-ditch (WI013-077), a large cremation pit (WI013-113) and two small structures (WI013-114 and WI013-115) were excavated here in 2003, prior to the construction of the dual carriageway from the R671 to Greystones (Excavation Licence 03E0147 (Site F)). The ring-ditch (Wth 1.4m; av. D 0.6m) enclosed a circular area (diams. 5.6m N-S; 5.39m E-W). Nine flints were found in the ditch. The cremation pit was located 3.07m to the north-east of the ring-ditch and contained deposits of cremated bone, charcoal and large stones. A small circular structure (diam. 3m N-S; 2.8m E-W), 10m to the west of the ring-ditch, was defined by a narrow, circular slot- trench with two post-holes defining an entrance (Wth 0.9m). There was also a centrally placed post-hole and the slot-trench was ringed with an outer circle of post-holes in which several fragments of prehistoric pottery were found. A second, small structure, located 11m north of the former, was formed by a series of post-holes and stake-holes which defined a circular depression (diam. 2.76m; D 0.14m). (Molloy 2006, 546)
Reference:	RMP file

RMP No.:	WI013-073
Townland:	Farrankelly
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	728923, 709761
Classification:	Habitation site
Dist. from	c. 500m south
development:	
Description:	A curving arc of ditch (L 68m SE-NE), two slot-trenches and a number of pits and postholes were excavated here in 2003, prior to the construction of the dual carriageway from the R671 to Greystones (Excavation Licence 03E1550 (Site J)). One of the slot-trenches contained a barbed and tanged arrow head and prehistoric pottery, one of the pits contained a fragment of prehistoric pottery. (Molloy 2006, 547)
Reference:	RMP file

Appendix 13.4: RPS/NIAH Sites within the Surrounding Area

RPS No.:	08-78
NIAH No.:	16305023
Townland:	Stilebawn
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328272, 210282
Classification:	House 1790–1810
Dist. from	c. 10m west
development:	
Description:	Detached three-bay two-storey house - Glenbrook House - , built c. 1800, with canted bays to sides of c. 1880, and large two-storey rear extension of 2001. The façade is finished in painted lined render with painted moulded quoins, eaves course and base course. The hipped roof is slated and has a slight overhang, with rendered chimneystacks on tall pronounced plinths. The entrance is set within a semi-circular recess with a simple moulded surround and consists of a panelled timber door with panelled stone pilaster jambs and reeded and panelled console brackets supporting a panelled entablature with cornice; above is a semi-circular fanlight with decorative petal tracery. To the west elevation there is a full-height canted bay with a similar single-storey bay to the east. A large extension has been added to the south elevation in a similar style to the original house and mainly following the footprint of the original outbuilding.
	Though in many respects this house is an archetypal hipped roof late Georgian gentleman's residence of a kind still not uncommon throughout the country, it is lifted above the ordinary by its pristine condition and by the tasteful execution of the large early 21st- century rear extension, which compliments rather than detracts from the original. According to the current owner the house was built <i>c</i> . 1800 and may have served as a manse or rectory at some point. It was also once the home of politician John Redmond (leader of the Irish Parliamentary Party during the Home Rule Crisis of 1912–14)
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	08-76
NIAH No.:	16305021
Townland:	Stilebawn
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle

NGR:	328098, 210560
Classification:	House 1820–1840
Dist. from	c. 220m north-west
development:	
Description:	Detached three-bay single-storey over basement regency style house – Glenair House - , built <i>c</i> . 1830, with basement storey only visible from the north. The façade is finished in painted lined render with painted moulded quoins, eaves course and a low bevelled base course. There is a full-length stepped platform to front elevation. The hipped roof is slated and has rendered chimneystacks with pronounced plinths, corbelled courses and matching octagonal clay pots. The entrance, which consists of a panelled timber door with sidelights, is set within a typical regency veranda-like open porch, with slated hipped roof and decorative pierced cast-iron supports. The windows are flat-headed with timber sash frames, six panes over six. Cast-iron rainwater goods. There is a gravel forecourt with a replacement gate screen of <i>c</i> . 1990 to end of drive.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	08-77
NIAH No.:	16305022
Townland:	Stilebawn
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	327974, 210518
Classification:	House 1820–1840
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 280m WNW
development:	
Description:	Detached four-bay part three part two-storey house (Struan Hill), built <i>c</i> . 1830, with porch addition of <i>c</i> . 1930. The front elevation consists of a central two-bay three-storey hipped roof section flanked by single-bay two-storey hipped roof sections which project slightly beyond the line of that to the centre. The central section itself has full-width flat-roofed entrance porch projection with curved ends. The façade is finished in dry dash with rusticated quoins, but is now largely obscured by ivy growth, whilst the roof is slated and has a slight overhang with dry dash rendered chimneystacks. The entrance consists of two separate partly glazed timber doors whilst the windows are flat-headed with painted stone sills. Most of these are filled with timber sash frames, six panes over six. Cast-iron rainwater goods.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	n/a
NIAH No.:	16401315
Townland:	Delgany
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328470, 210836
Classification:	House 1920–1940
Dist. from	c. 310m north
development:	
Description:	Detached three-bay two storey house in a fanciful, somewhat fairy tale style, built c. 1930. The façade is finished in painted roughcast with rendered dressings to openings and a rendered base course. The relatively steeply pitched gable-ended roof has an overhang and is covered in greenish Westmorland slate, with tall rendered chimneystacks with gabled tops. The entrance, which consists of a recessed semi-circular timber door with exaggerated keystone, is set within a two-storey curved bay with a conical slated roof. The windows are flat-headed and of various sizes with a variety of timber frames, mostly with casement openings and some with leaded lattice panes. Two of the first floor windows are set within gabled half dormers with pronounced bevelled coping to the gables. There is a projecting single-storey gable-ended garage to east side with a semi-circular headed timber vehicle doorway and pronounced bevelled coping to gable. Cast-iron rainwater goods. Large gravel covered forecourt.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	n/a
NIAH No.:	16401316
Townland:	Delgany
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328514, 210842
Classification:	House - 1920–1940
Dist. from	c. 330m north
development:	
Description:	Detached three-bay two-storey house, built <i>c</i> . 1930, and completely renovated in 2003. The façade is finished in dry dash with a tall base course in brick and render, whilst the overhanging hipped roof is slated and has a broad but squat centrally positioned chimneystack. The entrance is located within a small hipped roof veranda-like open

	porch, and consists of a timber door with semi-circular fanlight. The generally large flat-headed windows have uniform replacement timber casement frames and concrete sills. Single-storey hipped roof canted bay window to west elevation. Metal rainwater goods.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	n/a
NIAH No.:	16304102
Townland:	Delgany
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328446, 210862
Classification:	House 1650–1700
Dist. from	<i>c</i> . 360m north
development:	
Description:	Detached four-bay single-storey thatched vernacular house of pre-1700, with a later single-bay single-storey extension to the west. The rubble-built façade is whitewashed and has several large buttresses, whilst the extension is finished in painted render. The pitched roof has half-hipped ends and is thatched, as is the slightly lower gable-ended roof of the extension. Short rendered chimneystack. There are two mainly glazed doors to the front with that to the west acting as the entrance. The windows are flat-headed and have a variety of replacement timber frames. There is a small loft level window to east elevation with an original four pane fixed light frame. uPVC rainwater goods. Small garden to front enclosed tall rendered wall with gateway.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	n/a
NIAH No.:	16305028
Townland:	Delgany
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328029, 210797
Classification:	Gate Lodge 1840–1860
Dist. from	c. 440m north-west
development:	

Description:	Detached three-bay single-storey gate lodge, built <i>c</i> . 1850 to serve nearby Elsinore house. The façade is finished in unpainted render and the hipped roof is slated, with rendered chimneystacks. The entrance is now accessed via a mainly glazed flat-roofed porch of <i>c</i> . 1970. The windows, which may have been enlarged, have replacement timber windows with casement and top-hung openers. Gable-ended pitched roof return to rear. Cast-iron rainwater goods.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

RPS No.:	08-11
NIAH No.:	16305027
Townland:	Delgany
Parish:	Kilcoole
Barony:	Newcastle
NGR:	328011, 210807
Classification:	School
Dist. from	c. 460m north-west
development:	
Description:	Detached four-bay single storey school house, built 1839, extended to the north in the later 19th-century and again in the late 20th-century. The façade is finished in unpainted render with the hipped roof slated. The entrance is now located within the extension. The windows to the south roadside elevation are set within recesses and have segmental heads, six over six timber sash frames and stone sills. To the west elevation there is a pointed arch window with a timber sash frame with Gothick tracery. Cast-iron rainwater goods. Low rubble wall to the roadside with concrete coping and wrought- iron railings and gate.
Reference:	www.buildingsofireland.ie, Wicklow Development Plan 2016-2022

Appendix 13.5: Stray Finds within the Surrounding Area

Information on artefact finds from the study area in County Wicklow has been recorded by the National Museum of Ireland since the late 18th century. Location information relating to these finds is important in establishing prehistoric and historic activity in the study area.

Townlands: Delgany; Charlesland; Farrankelly; Stilebawn.

Museum No:	1988:236
Townland:	Killincarrig
Parish:	Greystones
Barony:	Rathdown
Find:	Decoraated Viking Ring Pin
Find place:	During metal detecting
Description:	No information in file
Reference:	NMI
Museum No:	1988:237
Townland:	Killincarrig
Parish:	Greystones
Barony:	Rathdown
Find:	Decorated ring of a small ring brooch
Find place:	During metal detecting
Description:	No information in file
Reference:	NMI
Museum No:	1988:238
Townland:	Killincarrig
Parish:	Greystones
Barony:	Rathdown
Find:	Bronze Palstave
Find place:	During metal detecting
Description:	No information in file

Reference:	NMI
Museum No:	1988:239
Townland:	Killincarrig
Parish:	Greystones
Barony:	Rathdown
Find:	Socketed axe
Find place:	During metal detecting
Description:	Incomplete bronze socketed axe
Reference:	NMI

Appendix 13.6: Legislative Framework Protecting the archaeological Resource

Protection of Cultural Heritage

The cultural heritage in Ireland is safeguarded through national and international policy designed to secure the protection of the cultural heritage resource to the fullest possible extent (Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht 1999, 35). This is undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Valletta Convention), ratified by Ireland in 1997.

The Archaeological Resource

The National Monuments Act 1930 to 2014 and relevant provisions of the National Cultural Institutions Act 1997 are the primary means of ensuring the satisfactory protection of archaeological remains, which includes all manmade structures of whatever form or date except buildings habitually used for ecclesiastical purposes. A National Monument is described as 'a monument or the remains of a monument the preservation of which is a matter of national importance by reason of the historical, architectural, traditional, artistic or archaeological interest attaching thereto' (National Monuments Act 1930 Section 2).

A number of mechanisms under the National Monuments Act are applied to secure the protection of archaeological monuments. These include the Register of Historic Monuments, the Record of Monuments and Places, and the placing of Preservation Orders and Temporary Preservation Orders on endangered sites.

Ownership and Guardianship of National Monuments

The Minister may acquire national monuments by agreement or by compulsory order. The state or local authority may assume guardianship of any national monument (other than dwellings). The owners of national monuments (other than dwellings) may also appoint the Minister or the local authority as guardian of that monument if the state or local authority agrees. Once the site is in ownership or guardianship of the state, it may not be interfered with without the written consent of the Minister.

Register of Historic Monuments

Section 5 of the 1987 Act requires the Minister to establish and maintain a Register of Historic Monuments. Historic monuments and archaeological areas present on the register are afforded statutory protection under the 1987 Act. Any interference with sites recorded on the register is illegal without the permission of the Minister. Two months notice in writing is required prior to any work being undertaken on or in the vicinity of a registered monument. The register also includes sites under Preservation Orders and Temporary Preservation Orders. All registered monuments are included in the Record of Monuments and Places.

Preservation Orders and Temporary Preservation Orders

Sites deemed to be in danger of injury or destruction can be allocated Preservation Orders under the 1930 Act. Preservation Orders make any interference with the site illegal. Temporary Preservation Orders can be attached under the 1954 Act. These perform the same function as a Preservation Order but have a time limit of six months, after which the situation must be reviewed. Work may only be undertaken on or in the vicinity of sites under Preservation Orders with the written consent, and at the discretion, of the Minister.

Record of Monuments and Places

Section 12(1) of the 1994 Act requires the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands (now the Minister for the Environment, Heritage and Local Government) to establish and maintain a record of monuments and

places where the Minister believes that such monuments exist. The record comprises a list of monuments and relevant places and a map/s showing each monument and relevant place in respect of each county in the state. All sites recorded on the Record of Monuments and Places receive statutory protection under the National Monuments Act 1994. All recorded monuments on the proposed development site are represented on the accompanying maps.

Section 12(3) of the 1994 Act provides that 'where the owner or occupier (other than the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands) of a monument or place included in the Record, or any other person, proposes to carry out, or to cause or permit the carrying out of, any work at or in relation to such a monument or place, he or she shall give notice in writing to the Minister of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands to carry out work and shall not, except in the case of urgent necessity and with the consent of the Minister, commence the work until two months after the giving of notice'.

Under the National Monuments (Amendment) Act 2004, anyone who demolishes or in any way interferes with a recorded site is liable to a fine not exceeding \leq 3,000 or imprisonment for up to 6 months. On summary conviction and on conviction of indictment, a fine not exceeding \leq 10,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years is the penalty. In addition they are liable for costs for the repair of the damage caused.

In addition to this, under the European Communities (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 1989, Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (EIAR) are required for various classes and sizes of development project to assess the impact the proposed development will have on the existing environment, which includes the cultural, archaeological and built heritage resources. These document's recommendations are typically incorporated into the conditions under which the proposed development must proceed, and thus offer an additional layer of protection for monuments which have not been listed on the RMP.

The Planning and Development Act 2000

Under planning legislation, each local authority is obliged to draw up a Development Plan setting out their aims and policies with regard to the growth of the area over a five-year period. They cover a range of issues including archaeology and built heritage, setting out their policies and objectives with regard to the protection and enhancement of both. These policies can vary from county to county. The Planning and Development Act 2000 recognises that proper planning and sustainable development includes the protection of the archaeological heritage. Conditions relating to archaeology may be attached to individual planning permissions.

APPENDIX 13.7: LEGISLATION FRAMEWORK PROTECTING THE ARCHITECTURAL RESOURCE

The main laws protecting the built heritage are the Architectural Heritage (National Inventory) and National Monuments (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1999 and the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts 1963-1999, which has now been superseded by the Planning and Development Act, 2000. The Architectural Heritage Act requires the Minister to establish a survey to identify, record and assess the architectural heritage of the country. The background to this legislation derives from Article 2 of the 1985 Convention for the Protection of Architectural Heritage (Granada Convention). This states that:

For the purpose of precise identification of the monuments, groups of structures and sites to be protected, each member state will undertake to maintain inventories of that architectural heritage.

The National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) was established in 1990 to fulfil Ireland's obligation under the Granada Convention, through the establishment and maintenance of a central record, documenting and evaluating the architecture of Ireland (NIAH Handbook 2005:2). As inclusion in the inventory does not provide statutory protection, the survey information is used in conjunction with the *Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities* to advise local authorities on compilation of a Record of Protected Structures as required by the *Planning and Development Act, 2000*.

Protection under the Record of Protected Structures and County Development Plan

Structures of architectural, cultural, social, scientific, historical, technical or archaeological interest can be protected under the Planning and Development Act, 2000, where the conditions relating to the protection of the architectural heritage are set out in Part IV of the act. This act superseded the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1999, and came into force on 1st January 2000.

The act provides for the inclusion of Protected Structures into the planning authorities' development plans and sets out statutory regulations regarding works affecting such structures. Under new legislation, no distinction is made between buildings formerly classified under development plans as List 1 and List 2. Such buildings are now all regarded as 'Protected Structures' and enjoy equal statutory protection. Under the act the entire structure is protected, including a structure's interior, exterior, attendant grounds and also any structures within the attendant grounds.

The act defines a Protected Structure as (a) a structure, or (b) a specified part of a structure which is included in a Record of Protected Structures (RPS), and, where that record so indicates, includes any specified feature which is in the attendant grounds of the structure and which would not otherwise be included in this definition. Protection of the structure, or part thereof, includes conservation, preservation, and improvement compatible with maintaining its character and interest. Part IV of the act deals with architectural heritage, and Section 57 deals specifically with works affecting the character of Protected Structures or proposed Protected Structures and states that no works should materially affect the character of the structure or any element of the structure that contributes to its special architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural, scientific, social or technical interest. The act does not provide specific criteria for assigning a special interest to a structure. However, the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) offers guidelines to its field workers as to how to designate a building with a special interest, which are not mutually exclusive. This offers guidance by example rather than by definition:

Archaeological

It is to be noted that the NIAH is biased towards post-1700 structures. Structures that have archaeological features may be recorded, providing the archaeological features are incorporated within post-1700 elements. Industrial fabric is considered to have technical significance, and should only be attributed archaeological significance if the structure has pre-1700 features.

Architectural

A structure may be considered of special architectural interest under the following criteria:

- Good quality or well executed architectural design
- The work of a known and distinguished architect, engineer, designer, craftsman
- A structure that makes a positive contribution to a setting, such as a streetscape or rural setting
- Modest or vernacular structures may be considered to be of architectural interest, as they are part of the history of the built heritage of Ireland.
- Well designed decorative features, externally and/or internally

Historical

A structure may be considered of special historical interest under the following criteria:

- A significant historical event associated with the structure
- An association with a significant historical figure
- Has a known interesting and/or unusual change of use, e.g. a former workhouse now in use as a hotel
- A memorial to a historical event.

Technical

A structure may be considered of special technical interest under the following criteria:

- Incorporates building materials of particular interest, i.e. the materials or the technology used for construction
- It is the work of a known or distinguished engineer
- Incorporates innovative engineering design, e.g. bridges, canals or mill weirs
- A structure which has an architectural interest may also merit a technical interest due to the structural techniques used in its construction, e.g. a curvilinear glasshouse, early use of concrete, cast-iron prefabrication.
- Mechanical fixtures relating to a structure may be considered of technical significance.

Cultural

A structure may be considered of special cultural interest under the following criteria:

- An association with a known fictitious character or event, e.g. Sandycove Martello Tower, which featured in Ulysses.
- Other structure that illustrate the development of society, such as early schoolhouses, swimming baths or printworks.

Scientific

A structure may be considered of special scientific interest under the following criteria:

• A structure or place which is considered to be an extraordinary or pioneering scientific or technical achievement in the Irish context, e.g. Mizen Head Bridge, Birr Telescope.

Social

A structure may be considered of special social interest under the following criteria:

- A focal point of spiritual, political, national or other cultural sentiment to a group of people, e.g. a place of worship, a meeting point, assembly rooms.
- Developed or constructed by a community or organisation, e.g. the construction of the railways or the building of a church through the patronage of the local community
- Illustrates a particular lifestyle, philosophy, or social condition of the past, e.g. the hierarchical accommodation in a country house, philanthropic housing, vernacular structures.

Artistic

A structure may be considered of special artistic interest under the following criteria:

- Work of a skilled craftsman or artist, e.g. plasterwork, wrought-iron work, carved elements or details, stained glass, stations of the cross.
- Well designed mass produced structures or elements may also be considered of artistic interest.

(From the NIAH Handbook 2003 & 2005 pages 15-20)

The Local Authority has the power to order conservation and restoration works to be undertaken by the owner of the protected structure if it considers the building to be in need of repair. Similarly, an owner or developer must make a written request to the Local Authority to carry out any works on a protected structure and its environs, which will be reviewed within three months of application. Failure to do so may result in prosecution.

APPENDIX 13.8: IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND THE CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE

Potential Impacts on Archaeological and Historical Remains

Impacts are defined as 'the degree of change in an environment resulting from a development' (Environmental Protection Agency 2003: 31). They are described as profound, significant or slight impacts on archaeological remains. They may be negative, positive or neutral, direct, indirect or cumulative, temporary or permanent.

Impacts can be identified from detailed information about a project, the nature of the area affected and the range of archaeological and historical resources potentially affected. Development can affect the archaeological and historical resource of a given landscape in a number of ways.

• Permanent and temporary land-take, associated structures, landscape mounding, and their construction may result in damage to or loss of archaeological remains and deposits, or physical loss to the setting of historic monuments and to the physical coherence of the landscape.

• Archaeological sites can be affected adversely in a number of ways: disturbance by excavation, topsoil stripping and the passage of heavy machinery; disturbance by vehicles working in unsuitable conditions; or burial of sites, limiting accessibility for future archaeological investigation.

• Hydrological changes in groundwater or surface water levels can result from construction activities such as de-watering and spoil disposal, or longer-term changes in drainage patterns. These may desiccate archaeological remains and associated deposits.

• Visual impacts on the historic landscape sometimes arise from construction traffic and facilities, built earthworks and structures, landscape mounding and planting, noise, fences and associated works. These features can impinge directly on historic monuments and historic landscape elements as well as their visual amenity value.

• Landscape measures such as tree planting can damage sub-surface archaeological features, due to topsoil stripping and through the root action of trees and shrubs as they grow.

• Ground consolidation by construction activities or the weight of permanent embankments can cause damage to buried archaeological remains, especially in colluviums or peat deposits.

• Disruption due to construction also offers in general the potential for adversely affecting archaeological remains. This can include machinery, site offices, and service trenches.

Although not widely appreciated, positive impacts can accrue from developments. These can include positive resource management policies, improved maintenance and access to archaeological monuments, and the increased level of knowledge of a site or historic landscape as a result of archaeological assessment and fieldwork.

Predicted Impacts

The severity of a given level of land-take or visual intrusion varies with the type of monument, site or landscape features and its existing environment. Severity of impact can be judged taking the following into account:

• The proportion of the feature affected and how far physical characteristics fundamental to the understanding of the feature would be lost;

• Consideration of the type, date, survival/condition, fragility/vulnerability, rarity, potential and amenity value of the feature affected;

• Assessment of the levels of noise, visual and hydrological impacts, either in general or site specific terms, as may be provided by other specialists.

Appendix 13.9: Mitigation Measures and the Cultural Heritage Resource

Potential Mitigation Strategies for Cultural Heritage Remains

Mitigation is defined as features of the design or other measures of the proposed development that can be adopted to avoid, prevent, reduce or offset negative effects.

The best opportunities for avoiding damage to archaeological remains or intrusion on their setting and amenity arise when the site options for the development are being considered. Damage to the archaeological resource immediately adjacent to developments may be prevented by the selection of appropriate construction methods. Reducing adverse effects can be achieved by good design, for example by screening historic buildings or upstanding archaeological monuments or by burying archaeological sites undisturbed rather than destroying them. Offsetting adverse effects is probably best illustrated by the full investigation and recording of archaeological sites that cannot be preserved in situ.

Definition of Mitigation Strategies

Archaeological Resource

The ideal mitigation for all archaeological sites is preservation in situ. This is not always a practical solution, however. Therefore a series of recommendations are offered to provide ameliorative measures where avoidance and preservation in situ are not possible.

Archaeological Test Trenching can be defined as 'a limited programme of intrusive fieldwork which determines the presence or absence of archaeological features, structures, deposits, artefacts or ecofacts within a specified area or site on land, inter-tidal zone or underwater. If such archaeological remains are present field evaluation defines their character, extent, quality and preservation, and enables an assessment of their worth in a local, regional, national or international context as appropriate' (ClfA 2014a).

Full Archaeological Excavation can be defined as 'a programme of controlled, intrusive fieldwork with defined research objectives which examines, records and interprets archaeological deposits, features and structures and, as appropriate, retrieves artefacts, ecofacts and other remains within a specified area or site on land, intertidal zone or underwater. The records made and objects gathered during fieldwork are studied and the results of that study published in detail appropriate to the project design' (CIfA 2014b).

Archaeological Monitoring can be defined as 'a formal programme of observation and investigation conducted during any operation carried out for non-archaeological reasons. This will be within a specified area or site on land, inter-tidal zone or underwater, where there is a possibility that archaeological deposits may be disturbed or destroyed. The programme will result in the preparation of a report and ordered archive (CIfA 2014c).

Underwater Archaeological Assessment consists of a programme of works carried out by a specialist underwater archaeologist, which can involve wade surveys, metal detection surveys and the excavation of test pits within the sea or riverbed. These assessments are able to access and assess the potential of an underwater environment to a much higher degree than terrestrial based assessments.

Architectural Resource

The architectural resource is generally subject to a greater degree of change than archaeological sites, as structures may survive for many years but their usage may change continually. This can be reflected in the fabric of the building, with the addition and removal of doors, windows and extensions. Due to their often more visible presence within the landscape than archaeological sites, the removal of such structures can sometimes leave a discernable 'gap' with the cultural identity of a population. However, a number of mitigation measures are available to ensure a record is made of any structure that is deemed to be of special interest, which may be removed or altered as part of a proposed development.

Conservation Assessment consists of a detailed study of the history of a building and can include the surveying of elevations to define the exact condition of the structure. These assessments are carried out by Conservation Architects and would commonly be carried out in association with proposed alterations or renovations on a Recorded Structure.

Building Survey may involve making an accurate record of elevations (internal and external), internal floor plans and external sections. This is carried out using a EDM (Electronic Distance Measurer) and GPS technology to create scaled drawings that provide a full record of the appearance of a building at the time of the survey.

Historic Building Assessment is generally specific to one building, which may have historic significance, but is not a Protected Structure or listed within the NIAH. A full historical background for the structure is researched and the site is visited to assess the standing remains and make a record of any architectural features of special interest. These assessments can also be carried out in conjunction with a building survey.

Written and Photographic record provides a basic record of features such as stone walls, which may have a small amount of cultural heritage importance and are recorded for prosperity. Dimensions of the feature are recorded with a written description and photographs as well as some cartographic reference, which may help to date a feature.

Appendix 13.10: Plates



Plate 13.1: Cropmarks in southwest of Field 3



Plate 13.2: Field 1, facing northeast along gravel



Plate 13.3: Field 2, with cereal crop, facing northeast



Plate 13.4: View at location of pond feature in Field,



Plate 13.5: Location of cropmarks in Field 3, facing west



Plate 13.6 Field 4, facing north


Plate 13.7: Steep cutaway along the northern extent of



Plate 13.8: Deposit of stones along the break of



Plate 13.9: Field 5, facing west



Plate 13.11: Field 7, adjacent to the Three Trouts River,



Plate 13.10: Steeply sloping ground in Field 6



Plate 13.12: Field 8, small enclosed area, facing



Plate 13.13: Farrankelly House, facing northwest



Plate 13.15 BH 1, slate roof and brick detail, facing



Plate 13.14: BH 1, rounded corner and uncoursed



Plate 13.16: BH 2, facing southwest



Plate 13.17: BH 3, northern gable showing stone footing,



Plate 13.18: Eastern wall of BH 3, rebuilt in stone



Plate 13.19: BH 3, width of cob wall where western wall



Plate 13.20: BH 4, cob walled west gable with two



Plate 12-24, PLL 4, ask walled apaters cable fasing wast



Plate 13.22: BH 4, show thickness of the walling on the floor of the weste



Plate 13.23: BH 4 with the surviving stone wall of BH5



Plate 13.24: BH 5, rebuilt block wall and modern

Appendix 13.3:Figures 13.1-3.6





















APPENDIX B – UTILITIES

Utility Maps



Not Archived - Alternative : |Network Maintenance Dublin|2018_Aaron_Plots









APPENDIX C – MATERIAL ASSETS - TRAFFIC

EIAR TTA Appendix A Traffic Surveys EIAR TTA Appendix B Traffic Generation & Distribution EIAR TTA Appendix C Junction Capacity Analysis

EIAR TTA Appendix D DMURS Compliance



GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 01

LOCATION: R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
--	------	----------

	MOVEMENT 1								мо	VEME	NT 2			MOVEMENT 3							
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE:	01
-------	----

DATE:	28th April	2016
	20017.0011	2010

LOCATION: R774/Go Gym (Charle	and Leisure Centre) Da	AY: Thursday
-------------------------------	------------------------	--------------

	MOVEMENT 1								мо	VEMEN	IT 2		MOVEMENT 3								
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р/ТОТ	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	4

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 01

LOCATION: R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
--	------	----------

	MOVEMENT 4								мо	VEME	NT 5		MOVEMENT 6								
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	2	1	0	0	59	60	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	8	1	1	0	83	85	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:30	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	116	6	2	0	1	125	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	7	1	1	1	107	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	342	23	5	2	2	374	381	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	8	2	0	3	80	84	2	0	0	0	1	3	4
08:15	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	96	10	5	0	1	112	116	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	8	1	0	0	165	166	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
08:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	87	13	3	0	1	104	107	5	0	0	0	1	6	7
н/тот	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	406	39	11	0	5	461	472	12	0	0	0	2	14	16
09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	10	2	0	0	106	107	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	7	2	0	1	95	97	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
09:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	65	12	2	0	1	80	82	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	10	2	0	0	75	76	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
н/тот	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	307	39	8	0	2	356	362	25	0	0	0	0	25	25
10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	11	5	1	1	64	69	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	8	3	0	0	68	70	9	1	0	0	0	10	10
10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	6	1	1	0	75	77	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	9	1	1	0	88	90	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	247	34	10	3	1	295	305	29	1	0	0	0	30	30
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	17	1	0	0	68	69	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	7	0	0	0	75	75	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	9	2	0	1	72	74	5	0	0	1	0	6	7
11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	8	1	1	1	75	78	7	0	0	0	1	8	9
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	242	41	4	1	2	290	295	17	1	0	1	1	20	22
12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	12	1	2	0	92	95	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	5	1	0	0	60	61	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	18	4	1	0	94	97	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	6	2	0	0	82	83	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	276	41	8	3	0	328	336	13	0	0	0	0	13	13

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE:	01

DATE:	28th April	2016
	2001 / 101	2010

LOCATION:	R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
-----------	--	------	----------

	MOVEMENT 4								мо	VEME	NT 5			MOVEMENT 6							
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	.OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	10	4	0	0	105	107	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	5	0	0	0	70	70	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	7	2	0	1	94	96	7	2	0	0	0	9	9
13:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	10	0	1	2	111	114	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	338	32	6	1	3	380	387	24	4	0	0	0	28	28
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	12	0	0	1	112	113	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	14	0	0	0	118	118	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	6	1	0	0	103	104	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	13	0	0	1	113	114	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	398	45	1	0	2	446	449	31	0	0	0	0	31	31
15:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	81	9	0	1	1	92	94	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	14	2	0	0	107	108	11	0	0	0	0	11	11
15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	7	2	0	1	136	138	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	9	1	0	1	91	93	11	0	0	0	0	11	11
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	378	39	5	1	3	426	433	44	0	0	0	0	44	44
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	10	1	0	1	97	99	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	4	1	0	4	116	121	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	16	0	1	1	97	99	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	6	0	0	0	104	104	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	369	36	2	1	6	414	422	32	2	0	0	0	34	34
17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	13	0	0	0	102	102	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	3	0	0	0	98	98	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	11	1	0	0	168	169	9	1	0	0	0	10	10
17:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	275	28	7	1	2	313	320	12	0	0	0	0	12	12
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	615	55	8	1	2	681	688	42	2	0	0	0	44	44
18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	5	2	1	1	138	141	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	170	19	0	1	0	190	191	32	1	0	0	0	33	33
18:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	115	13	0	0	0	128	128	16	3	0	0	0	19	19
18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125	5	1	0	1	132	134	23	1	0	0	0	24	24
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	539	42	3	2	2	588	594	84	6	0	0	0	90	90
P/TOT	6	1	1	0	0	8	9	4457	466	71	15	30	5039	5124	356	16	0	1	3	376	380

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 01

LOCATION:	R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
-----------	--	------	----------

r	r												r		T					T	
	MOVEMENT 7								мо	VEME	NT 8					мо	VEME	NT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:45	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
08:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
08:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
08:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4
09:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3
09:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
09:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	7
10:00	3	0	1	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3
10:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
10:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
10:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Н/ТОТ	14	0	1	0	0	15	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	6	7
11:00	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4
11:15	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
11:30	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
11:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4	5
Н/ТОТ	25	1	0	0	0	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	0	0	2	14	16
12:00	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
12:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE	:	01

DATE	28th	Anril	2016
DAIL.	2001	Артп	2010

LOCATION: R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
--	------	----------

	r																				
	MOVEMENT 7 CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BU								мо	VEMEN	NT 8					мо	VEME	NT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4
13:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
13:30	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
13:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	1	9	10
14:00	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	11	12
14:15	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
14:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
14:45	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	27	2	0	0	0	29	29	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	21	0	0	0	1	22	23
15:00	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	2	18	20
15:15	9	0	0	0	1	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
15:45	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	47	1	0	0	1	49	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	1	0	0	2	25	27
16:00	10	1	1	0	0	12	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	1	17	18
16:15	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
16:30	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
16:45	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	33	2	1	0	0	36	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	1	27	28
17:00	18	0	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	9	10
17:15	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
17:30	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
17:45	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	52	1	0	0	0	53	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	1	0	0	1	20	21
18:00	17	1	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	1	10	11
18:15	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
18:30	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
18:45	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	47	3	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	1	0	0	1	28	29
Р/ТОТ	312	12	2	0	1	327	329	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	154	6	0	0	12	172	184

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 01

LOCATION: R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
--	------	----------

		MO	/EMEN	NT 10					MO	VEMEN	T 11					MO	/EMEN	IT 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	lOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	13	0	0	1	65	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	67	9	0	0	0	76	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	9	2	0	1	86	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	73	8	2	0	1	84	86	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	3	0	0	0	1	4	5	265	39	4	0	3	311	316	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
08:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	104	10	0	0	0	114	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	70	10	1	0	0	81	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	16	0	1	1	105	107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	93	11	1	0	2	107	110	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Н/ТОТ	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	354	47	2	1	3	407	412	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	93	10	1	1	0	105	107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	7	1	0	1	82	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	11	1	0	1	69	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	60	8	3	0	0	71	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	282	36	6	1	2	327	333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:00	2	0	1	0	1	4	6	50	8	3	0	0	61	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	43	10	2	1	0	56	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	49	10	0	0	0	59	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	39	9	3	0	1	52	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	9	1	1	0	1	12	14	181	37	8	1	1	228	234	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	52	11	2	1	1	67	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	41	9	3	0	1	54	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	64	14	6	0	0	84	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	53	14	0	0	0	67	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	210	48	11	1	2	272	281	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	55	11	1	0	2	69	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	66	14	3	3	0	86	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	77	13	4	0	0	94	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	74	5	2	0	0	81	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	11	0	0	0	1	12	13	272	43	10	3	2	330	341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 01

LOCATION:	R774/Go Gym (Charlesland Leisure Centre)	DAY:	Thursday
-----------	--	------	----------

-														1							
	MOVEMENT 10								MO	/EMEN	T 11					MO	/EMEN	т 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	72	10	2	1	0	85	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	45	7	4	1	1	58	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	3	1	0	0	95	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45	21	0	0	0	1	22	23	43	19	1	1	0	64	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	26	0	0	0	1	27	28	251	39	8	3	1	302	311	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	57	9	0	1	1	68	70	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
14:15	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	73	9	0	0	1	83	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	72	13	1	0	1	87	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	74	11	0	1	1	87	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	25	2	0	0	0	27	27	276	42	1	2	4	325	332	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
15:00	9	0	0	0	2	11	13	48	6	5	0	1	60	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	46	19	4	0	0	69	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	65	9	2	0	1	77	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	73	13	5	0	1	92	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	23	1	0	0	2	26	28	232	47	16	0	3	298	309	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	7	0	1	0	1	9	11	72	25	3	0	1	101	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	54	21	1	1	1	78	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	111	22	0	1	2	136	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	93	16	2	0	1	112	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	18	0	1	0	1	20	22	330	84	6	2	5	427	438	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	5	0	0	0	1	6	7	90	24	1	1	2	118	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	104	15	1	0	1	121	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	108	13	0	0	2	123	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	98	12	0	0	0	110	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	18	0	0	0	1	19	20	400	64	2	1	5	472	479	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:00	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	107	17	0	0	0	124	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:15	9	0	0	0	1	10	11	98	22	1	0	0	121	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:30	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	94	21	2	0	1	118	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:45	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	61	16	0	1	0	78	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	37	1	0	0	1	39	40	360	76	3	1	1	441	445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р/ТОТ	189	5	2	0	9	205	215	3413	602	77	16	32	4140	4231	3	0	0	0	0	3	3

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 02

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

	MOVEMENT 1								мо	VEMEN	IT 2					мо	VEMEN	IT 3			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	.OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	1	0	0	1	6	7	9	2	0	0	0	11	11
07:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	3	2	0	0	0	5	5
07:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	6	2	0	2	16	19	7	0	1	0	0	8	9
07:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	14	4	0	0	0	18	18	10	3	0	0	1	14	15
н/тот	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	34	11	2	0	3	50	54	29	7	1	0	1	38	40
08:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	14	1	1	0	1	17	19	10	2	3	0	0	15	17
08:15	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	20	2	1	0	0	23	24	13	2	2	0	0	17	18
08:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	41	5	1	0	0	47	48	17	0	1	0	1	19	21
08:45	4	1	0	0	1	6	7	44	3	0	0	1	48	49	22	1	0	0	0	23	23
н/тот	21	2	0	0	1	24	25	119	11	3	0	2	135	139	62	5	6	0	1	74	78
09:00	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	59	5	1	0	2	67	70	12	5	0	0	0	17	17
09:15	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	24	4	1	0	0	29	30	22	1	0	0	1	24	25
09:30	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	25	6	0	0	0	31	31	10	2	0	0	0	12	12
09:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	29	2	0	0	1	32	33	15	0	1	0	0	16	17
н/тот	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	137	17	2	0	3	159	163	59	8	1	0	1	69	71
10:00	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	22	1	2	0	1	26	28	8	2	1	0	0	11	12
10:15	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	28	5	1	0	0	34	35	15	3	0	0	0	18	18
10:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	24	3	1	1	0	29	31	8	2	2	0	0	12	13
10:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	24	6	1	0	1	32	34	16	1	0	0	0	17	17
н/тот	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	98	15	5	1	2	121	127	47	8	3	0	0	58	60
11:00	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	29	4	1	0	0	34	35	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
11:15	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	19	1	2	0	0	22	23	10	2	2	2	0	16	20
11:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	36	2	1	0	1	40	42	15	0	1	1	0	17	19
11:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	30	2	1	0	1	34	36	17	0	0	0	0	17	17
н/тот	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	114	9	5	0	2	130	135	58	2	3	3	0	66	71
12:00	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	30	5	0	0	0	35	35	14	1	1	0	1	17	19
12:15	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	34	2	3	0	0	39	41	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
12:30	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	29	3	1	0	0	33	34	16	3	2	0	1	22	24
12:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	29	2	2	0	1	34	36	17	3	0	0	0	20	20
н/тот	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	122	12	6	0	1	141	145	69	9	3	0	2	83	87

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DAY:

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

02

		мо	VEME	NT 1					мо	VEMEN	IT 2					мо					
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	25	5	1	0	2	33	36	13	3	0	1	0	17	18
13:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	41	3	2	0	0	46	47	16	2	2	0	0	20	21
13:30	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	30	6	0	0	0	36	36	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
13:45	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	39	5	2	0	1	47	49	14	3	2	0	0	19	20
н/тот	26	2	0	0	0	28	28	135	19	5	0	3	162	168	58	10	4	1	0	73	76
14:00	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	23	6	2	0	0	31	32	16	3	2	0	0	21	22
14:15	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	34	6	0	0	0	40	40	15	2	1	0	1	19	21
14:30	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	55	2	0	0	0	57	57	22	2	2	0	0	26	27
14:45	11	2	0	0	0	13	13	56	5	0	1	1	63	65	16	2	0	0	0	18	18
н/тот	34	3	0	0	0	37	37	168	19	2	1	1	191	194	69	9	5	0	1	84	88
15:00	9	1	0	0	1	11	12	47	6	0	0	2	55	57	25	5	2	0	0	32	33
15:15	12	2	1	0	0	15	16	21	1	2	0	1	25	27	24	5	1	0	1	31	33
15:30	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	28	6	1	0	1	36	38	16	2	1	0	0	19	20
15:45	8	0	0	0	1	9	10	32	2	1	0	1	36	38	19	5	1	0	2	27	30
н/тот	38	3	1	0	2	44	47	128	15	4	0	5	152	159	84	17	5	0	3	109	115
16:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	41	6	0	1	1	49	51	19	5	2	1	2	29	33
16:15	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	26	11	0	0	0	37	37	16	3	1	0	0	20	21
16:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	45	5	1	0	0	51	52	25	6	1	0	0	32	33
16:45	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	35	9	0	0	0	44	44	28	4	0	0	0	32	32
Н/ТОТ	37	0	0	0	0	37	37	147	31	1	1	1	181	184	88	18	4	1	2	113	118
17:00	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	61	2	0	0	2	65	67	24	6	1	0	0	31	32
17:15	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	56	5	1	0	0	62	63	29	5	0	0	0	34	34
17:30	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	32	3	1	0	0	36	37	22	2	2	0	1	27	29
17:45	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	45	1	0	0	1	47	48	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
Н/ТОТ	38	3	0	0	0	41	41	194	11	2	0	3	210	214	97	15	3	0	1	116	119
18:00	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	66	0	0	0	1	67	68	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
18:15	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	60	2	1	0	1	64	66	21	1	0	0	0	22	22
18:30	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	50	5	1	0	0	56	57	27	1	0	0	0	28	28
18:45	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	27	2	0	0	0	29	29	16	1	0	0	0	17	17
Н/ТОТ	35	3	0	0	0	38	38	203	9	2	0	2	216	219	79	3	0	0	0	82	82
Р/ТОТ	321	20	1	0	3	345	349	1599	179	39	3	28	1848	1899	799	111	38	5	12	965	1003

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 02

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

MOVEMENT 4 **MOVEMENT 5 MOVEMENT 6 MOVEMENT 6a** TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 н/тот 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 н/тот 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 H/TOT 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 н/тот 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 н/тот 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 н/тот

Thursday

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 02

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

MOVEMENT 4 MOVEMENT 5 MOVEMENT 6 **MOVEMENT 6a** TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 H/TOT 14:00 14:15 14:3014:45 Н/ТОТ 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 H/TOT 17:00 17:15 17:3017:45 н/тот 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 н/тот P/TOT 1069 1111 2938 357 3440 1417

DATE8th April 2016

Thursday

DAY:

Ath~16~036 Junction Turning Counts.xls~Site 2

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 02

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

		мо	VEME	NT 7					мо	VEMEN	NT 8				MOVEMENT 9						
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	81	9	3	0	0	93	95	17	5	1	0	2	25	28	21	0	0	0	0	21	21
07:15	74	9	2	0	0	85	86	25	4	1	0	2	32	35	35	1	0	1	0	37	38
07:30	46	9	3	0	1	59	62	34	6	2	0	4	46	51	24	1	0	0	1	26	27
07:45	53	5	0	1	1	60	62	43	3	1	0	4	51	56	36	0	0	0	1	37	38
н/тот	254	32	8	1	2	297	304	119	18	5	0	12	154	169	116	2	0	1	2	121	124
08:00	53	5	3	0	1	62	65	51	6	1	0	1	59	61	19	0	0	0	3	22	25
08:15	50	4	2	0	3	59	63	64	3	0	0	0	67	67	34	2	1	0	0	37	38
08:30	55	2	1	0	0	58	59	57	8	1	0	0	66	67	34	2	1	0	0	37	38
08:45	46	7	1	0	1	55	57	46	3	0	0	1	50	51	39	2	1	0	0	42	43
Н/ТОТ	204	18	7	0	5	234	243	218	20	2	0	2	242	245	126	6	3	0	3	138	143
09:00	47	5	2	0	0	54	55	32	5	1	0	0	38	39	37	6	1	0	0	44	45
09:15	55	6	1	0	0	62	63	40	4	0	0	2	46	48	39	3	0	0	1	43	44
09:30	53	7	3	0	0	63	65	32	3	1	0	0	36	37	28	1	1	0	0	30	31
09:45	42	6	2	0	1	51	53	19	3	1	0	0	23	24	26	3	0	0	0	29	29
Н/ТОТ	197	24	8	0	1	230	235	123	15	3	0	2	143	147	130	13	2	0	1	146	148
10:00	26	6	1	0	0	33	34	25	0	2	0	1	28	30	22	6	1	1	0	30	32
10:15	27	5	3	0	0	35	37	17	5	1	0	1	24	26	27	2	0	0	0	29	29
10:30	22	2	2	0	0	26	27	25	3	0	0	1	29	30	37	1	0	0	0	38	38
10:45	22	7	2	0	0	31	32	22	3	1	0	1	27	29	40	1	0	0	0	41	41
Н/ТОТ	97	20	8	0	0	125	129	89	11	4	0	4	108	114	126	10	1	1	0	138	140
11:00	23	3	3	0	0	29	31	29	3	1	0	0	33	34	19	4	0	0	0	23	23
11:15	26	1	1	0	0	28	29	31	1	0	0	1	33	34	31	1	0	0	0	32	32
11:30	21	7	2	0	0	30	31	25	1	0	0	0	26	26	27	3	0	1	0	31	32
11:45	31	4	1	0	0	36	37	27	1	1	0	0	29	30	32	4	0	1	1	38	40
H/TOT	101	15	7	0	0	123	127	112	6	2	0	1	121	123	109	12	0	2	1	124	128
12:00	33	3	2	0	2	40	43	20	4	1	0	0	25	26	21	2	0	1	0	24	25
12:15	24	6	1	0	0	31	32	27	2	0	0	1	30	31	23	3	0	0	0	26	26
12:30	21	8	2	1	1	33	36	25	3	2	0	0	30	31	29	3	1	0	0	33	34
12:45	24	4	1	1	0	30	32	39	3	0	0	1	43	44	33	2	1	0	0	36	37
н/тот	102	21	6	2	3	134	143	111	12	3	0	2	128	132	106	10	2	1	0	119	121

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DAY:

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

02

		мо	VEME	NT 7					мо	VEMEN	IT 8										
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	.OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	28	4	3	0	0	35	37	42	3	0	0	0	45	45	34	2	0	0	0	36	36
13:15	18	5	1	0	1	25	27	26	4	1	0	2	33	36	23	5	0	0	0	28	28
13:30	26	7	0	0	0	33	33	30	1	0	1	1	33	35	21	2	0	0	1	24	25
13:45	21	4	2	0	0	27	28	40	3	1	0	0	44	45	35	2	0	1	1	39	41
н/тот	93	20	6	0	1	120	124	138	11	2	1	3	155	160	113	11	0	1	2	127	130
14:00	24	5	2	0	0	31	32	48	2	0	1	0	51	52	32	1	0	0	0	33	33
14:15	36	2	2	0	0	40	41	42	3	0	0	2	47	49	33	2	0	0	0	35	35
14:30	26	5	4	1	0	36	39	29	2	2	0	0	33	34	24	1	1	0	0	26	27
14:45	24	3	5	1	0	33	37	28	2	0	0	0	30	30	31	4	0	0	1	36	37
н/тот	110	15	13	2	0	140	149	147	9	2	1	2	161	165	120	8	1	0	1	130	132
15:00	39	5	2	0	1	47	49	45	1	1	0	1	48	50	38	4	0	0	0	42	42
15:15	28	4	1	0	0	33	34	25	2	1	0	1	29	31	26	3	1	0	0	30	31
15:30	36	2	1	1	0	40	42	39	3	0	0	1	43	44	31	4	0	0	0	35	35
15:45	32	7	2	0	1	42	44	38	7	0	0	1	46	47	37	1	0	0	0	38	38
Н/ТОТ	135	18	6	1	2	162	168	147	13	2	0	4	166	171	132	12	1	0	0	145	146
16:00	36	7	2	0	0	45	46	34	5	0	0	2	41	43	30	5	0	0	0	35	35
16:15	39	5	1	0	0	45	46	28	3	1	0	0	32	33	34	0	0	0	1	35	36
16:30	26	3	3	1	2	35	40	39	1	1	0	1	42	44	20	1	0	1	0	22	23
16:45	30	8	1	0	0	39	40	39	6	0	0	0	45	45	24	1	0	0	0	25	25
Н/ТОТ	131	23	7	1	2	164	171	140	15	2	0	3	160	164	108	7	0	1	1	117	119
17:00	47	2	0	0	0	49	49	34	2	1	0	0	37	38	54	3	0	0	0	57	57
17:15	36	3	2	1	0	42	44	32	0	0	0	1	33	34	40	1	0	0	0	41	41
17:30	37	6	0	0	0	43	43	38	5	0	0	1	44	45	41	2	1	0	0	44	45
17:45	25	3	0	0	0	28	28	31	5	0	0	1	37	38	47	2	1	0	0	50	51
Н/ТОТ	145	14	2	1	0	162	164	135	12	1	0	3	151	155	182	8	2	0	0	192	193
18:00	25	4	0	0	1	30	31	33	3	0	0	0	36	36	31	1	0	0	1	33	34
18:15	33	1	1	0	0	35	36	33	0	0	0	1	34	35	64	4	0	0	0	68	68
18:30	21	0	1	0	2	24	27	29	2	0	0	0	31	31	44	2	0	0	0	46	46
18:45	24	1	0	0	0	25	25	33	4	0	0	0	37	37	53	0	0	0	0	53	53
Н/ТОТ	103	6	2	0	3	114	118	128	9	0	0	1	138	139	192	7	0	0	1	200	201
Р/ТОТ	1672	226	80	8	19	2005	2074	1607	151	28	2	39	1827	1883	1560	106	12	7	12	1697	1724
GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

		MO	/EMEN	IT 10					MO	EMEN	T 11					MO	/EMEN	T 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	.OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	46	12	0	0	1	59	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	12	2	0	0	0	14	14	52	7	0	0	0	59	59	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
07:30	15	4	0	0	0	19	19	60	5	2	0	1	68	70	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
07:45	14	2	0	0	0	16	16	59	7	2	0	1	69	71	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	48	9	0	0	0	57	57	217	31	4	0	3	255	260	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
08:00	27	2	0	0	0	29	29	72	8	0	0	0	80	80	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
08:15	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	56	10	1	0	0	67	68	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
08:30	27	2	0	0	1	30	31	55	13	0	1	0	69	70	6	1	0	0	0	7	7
08:45	34	3	0	0	2	39	41	58	8	1	0	0	67	68	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	101	8	0	0	3	112	115	241	39	2	1	0	283	285	22	1	0	0	0	23	23
09:00	40	3	1	0	0	44	45	51	6	0	1	0	58	59	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
09:15	30	0	1	0	1	32	34	42	7	0	0	0	49	49	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
09:30	21	3	1	0	1	26	28	36	8	0	0	0	44	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	17	2	0	0	0	19	19	43	5	3	0	0	51	53	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	108	8	3	0	2	121	125	172	26	3	1	0	202	205	9	2	0	0	0	11	11
10:00	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	33	7	4	0	0	44	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15	24	3	1	0	0	28	29	20	7	1	1	0	29	31	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
10:30	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	29	9	0	0	0	38	38	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
10:45	18	4	1	0	0	23	24	23	5	2	0	1	31	33	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	83	9	2	0	0	94	95	105	28	7	1	1	142	148	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
11:00	22	3	1	0	0	26	27	30	8	1	1	1	41	44	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
11:15	17	1	1	0	0	19	20	27	9	2	0	1	39	41	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
11:30	30	1	1	0	0	32	33	43	13	5	0	0	61	64	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:45	24	5	0	0	0	29	29	26	9	0	0	0	35	35	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
н/тот	93	10	3	0	0	106	108	126	39	8	1	2	176	183	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
12:00	38	4	0	0	1	43	44	20	7	1	0	1	29	31	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
12:15	34	6	0	0	0	40	40	34	8	3	3	0	48	53	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:30	32	4	1	0	0	37	38	48	9	3	0	0	60	62	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
12:45	33	0	1	0	0	34	35	43	5	1	0	0	49	50	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	137	14	2	0	1	154	156	145	29	8	3	1	186	195	10	0	0	0	0	10	10

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R774/R761 Kilcoole Road

		MO	/EMEI	NT 10					MO	/EMEN	T 11					MO	/EMEN	IT 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	37	3	0	0	0	40	40	31	7	2	1	0	41	43	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
13:15	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	23	6	4	1	1	35	39	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
13:30	36	2	0	0	0	38	38	59	2	1	0	0	62	63	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
13:45	21	6	1	0	0	28	29	25	13	0	1	0	39	40	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	115	12	1	0	0	128	129	138	28	7	3	1	177	185	19	0	0	0	0	19	19
14:00	30	5	0	0	0	35	35	34	5	0	1	1	41	43	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
14:15	29	4	0	0	0	33	33	45	4	0	0	1	50	51	6	2	0	0	0	8	8
14:30	39	2	1	0	1	43	45	33	11	0	0	0	44	44	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
14:45	33	6	0	0	0	39	39	47	5	0	1	1	54	56	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	131	17	1	0	1	150	152	159	25	0	2	3	189	195	13	2	0	0	0	15	15
15:00	31	3	0	0	0	34	34	27	3	5	0	1	36	40	10	1	0	0	0	11	11
15:15	22	4	0	0	1	27	28	28	15	4	0	0	47	49	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
15:30	30	1	1	0	1	33	35	37	8	1	0	0	46	47	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
15:45	40	6	0	0	0	46	46	38	7	5	0	1	51	55	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	123	14	1	0	2	140	143	130	33	15	0	2	180	190	26	1	0	0	0	27	27
16:00	47	8	1	0	1	57	59	27	16	4	0	0	47	49	8	2	0	0	0	10	10
16:15	35	1	1	0	0	37	38	24	20	0	1	1	46	48	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
16:30	63	5	0	0	1	69	70	48	18	0	1	1	68	70	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
16:45	44	3	1	0	0	48	49	51	13	1	0	1	66	68	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
Н/ТОТ	189	17	3	0	2	211	215	150	67	5	2	3	227	235	24	2	0	0	0	26	26
17:00	36	0	1	0	0	37	38	62	24	0	1	2	89	92	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
17:15	44	3	1	0	0	48	49	69	13	0	0	1	83	84	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
17:30	47	0	0	0	0	47	47	61	13	0	0	2	76	78	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
17:45	41	2	0	0	0	43	43	66	10	0	0	0	76	76	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
Н/ТОТ	168	5	2	0	0	175	176	258	60	0	1	5	324	330	26	0	0	0	0	26	26
18:00	50	3	0	0	0	53	53	66	15	0	0	0	81	81	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
18:15	35	3	0	0	0	38	38	62	20	1	0	0	83	84	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
18:30	57	3	0	0	0	60	60	50	18	2	0	1	71	73	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
18:45	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	31	13	0	1	0	45	46	5	3	0	0	0	8	8
Н/ТОТ	176	10	0	0	0	186	186	209	66	3	1	1	280	284	22	3	0	0	0	25	25
Р/ТОТ	1472	133	18	0	11	1634	1654	2050	471	62	16	22	2621	2695	204	11	0	0	0	215	215

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

SITE: 03

LOCATION: R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

		мо	VEME	NT 1					мо	VEMEN	IT 2					мо	VEMEN	NT 3					моу	EMEN	T 3a			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	0	0	1	18	19	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
07:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	26	7	0	0	0	33	33	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
07:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	24	6	1	0	0	31	32	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
07:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	28	5	2	0	2	37	40	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
н/тот	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	88	25	3	0	3	119	124	25	1	0	0	0	26	26	0	0	0	0	9	9	18
08:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	45	6	0	0	0	51	51	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
08:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	24	7	1	0	0	32	33	22	1	0	0	0	23	23	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
08:30	1	1	0	0	1	3	4	31	12	0	0	0	43	43	20	0	1	0	2	23	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	49	8	1	0	1	59	61	42	4	1	0	0	47	48	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
н/тот	9	1	0	0	1	11	12	149	33	2	0	1	185	187	100	7	2	0	2	111	114	0	0	0	0	5	5	10
09:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	48	5	1	1	0	55	57	61	0	0	0	0	61	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	43	7	0	0	1	51	52	50	2	0	0	0	52	52	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
09:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	19	7	1	0	0	27	28	17	0	1	0	1	19	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	31	4	3	0	0	38	40	17	3	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
н/тот	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	141	23	5	1	1	171	176	145	5	1	0	1	152	154	1	0	0	0	4	5	9
10:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	27	2	2	0	1	32	34	16	3	1	0	0	20	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	18	6	0	1	0	25	26	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
10:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	24	7	0	0	0	31	31	25	3	0	0	0	28	28	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	6	2	0	1	33	35	20	4	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
н/тот	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	93	21	4	1	2	121	126	77	12	1	0	0	90	91	0	0	0	0	5	5	10
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	8	1	1	1	36	39	24	0	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
11:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	27	7	3	0	1	38	41	17	3	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
11:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	44	9	6	0	0	59	62	17	1	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
11:45	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	29	13	0	0	0	42	42	28	1	1	0	0	30	31	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
н/тот	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	125	37	10	1	2	175	183	86	5	1	0	0	92	93	0	0	0	0	4	4	8
12:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	39	10	0	0	2	51	53	19	1	1	0	0	21	22	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	37	10	3	3	0	53	58	23	2	0	0	0	25	25	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
12:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	55	11	0	0	0	66	66	33	2	1	0	0	36	37	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
12:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	48	4	2	0	1	55	57	23	2	0	0	0	25	25	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
н/тот	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	179	35	5	3	3	225	234	98	7	2	0	0	107	108	1	0	0	0	4	5	9

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

SITE:	03
JIL.	05

LOCATION: R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

		мо	VEMEN	IT 1					мо	/EMEN	NT 2					мо	VEMEN	ат з					моу	EMEN	т За			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	4	0	1	0	1	6	8	39	8	2	1	0	50	52	24	1	0	0	0	25	25	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
13:15	3	0	1	0	0	4	5	23	3	3	1	1	31	35	30	1	0	0	0	31	31	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
13:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	61	2	1	0	0	64	65	29	3	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
13:45	2	2	0	0	0	4	4	29	15	1	0	1	46	48	45	3	1	0	0	49	50	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
н/тот	10	2	2	0	1	15	17	152	28	7	2	2	191	199	128	8	1	0	0	137	138	0	0	0	0	6	6	12
14:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	42	4	0	1	0	47	48	24	2	0	0	1	27	28	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
14:15	2	0	1	0	0	3	4	52	9	0	0	0	61	61	32	0	0	0	1	33	34	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
14:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	43	10	1	0	1	55	57	32	0	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
14:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	65	9	0	1	1	76	78	63	4	1	0	0	68	69	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
н/тот	14	0	1	0	0	15	16	202	32	1	2	2	239	244	151	6	1	0	2	160	163	0	0	1	0	4	5	10
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	2	1	0	2	36	39	42	0	2	0	1	45	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	14	12	2	0	0	28	29	43	2	1	0	0	46	47	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
15:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	48	7	1	0	0	56	57	19	1	0	0	1	21	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
15:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	50	12	4	0	1	67	70	34	2	0	1	0	37	38	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
н/тот	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	143	33	8	0	3	187	194	138	5	3	1	2	149	154	0	0	0	0	4	4	8
16:00	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	56	22	3	0	1	82	85	42	1	0	0	1	44	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	32	19	1	1	1	54	57	40	1	0	0	0	41	41	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
16:30	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	76	21	0	0	1	98	99	39	4	1	0	0	44	45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	53	15	2	0	1	71	73	33	1	0	0	0	34	34	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
н/тот	16	1	0	0	0	17	17	217	77	6	1	4	305	313	154	7	1	0	1	163	165	1	0	0	0	5	6	11
17:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	69	23	1	1	3	97	102	52	5	1	0	1	59	61	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
17:15	4	2	0	0	0	6	6	68	11	0	0	0	79	79	45	1	1	0	0	47	48	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
17:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	68	10	0	0	2	80	82	47	1	0	0	0	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	66	9	0	0	0	75	75	48	2	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
н/тот	18	3	0	0	0	21	21	271	53	1	1	5	331	338	192	9	2	0	1	204	206	0	0	0	0	6	6	12
18:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	79	15	0	0	0	94	94	65	0	0	0	0	65	65	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
18:15	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	72	20	1	0	1	94	96	35	2	0	0	1	38	39	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
18:30	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	67	17	2	0	0	86	87	60	1	0	0	0	61	61	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
18:45	2	0	1	0	0	3	4	27	14	0	1	0	42	43	32	1	0	0	0	33	33	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
н/тот	12	1	1	0	0	14	15	245	66	3	1	1	316	320	192	4	0	0	1	197	198	0	0	0	0	7	7	14
Р/ТОТ	136	8	4	0	2	150	154	2005	463	55	13	29	2565	2638	1486	76	15	1	10	1588	1607	3	0	1	0	63	67	131

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

Abacus Transportation Surveys Ltd for Roughan and O'Donovan

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

28th April 2016

DATE:

DAY:

03 SITE:

R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate LOCATION:

		мо	VEMEN	IT 4					мо	VEMEN	Т 5					мо	VEMEN	IT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	17	1	1	0	0	19	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	36	5	0	0	0	41	41
07:15	42	2	0	0	0	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	2	0	0	0	38	38
07:30	48	4	1	0	0	53	54	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	42	3	1	0	1	47	49
07:45	55	1	0	0	0	56	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	3	0	0	0	45	45
н/тот	162	8	2	0	0	172	173	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	156	13	1	0	1	171	173
08:00	51	0	0	0	1	52	53	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	57	4	0	0	0	61	61
08:15	78	1	0	0	3	82	85	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	40	3	0	0	0	43	43
08:30	102	3	0	0	1	106	107	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	43	4	0	1	1	49	51
08:45	89	2	1	0	0	92	93	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	43	3	0	0	1	47	48
Н/ТОТ	320	6	1	0	5	332	338	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	183	14	0	1	2	200	203
09:00	57	1	0	0	0	58	58	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	40	3	0	0	0	43	43
09:15	26	0	0	0	0	26	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	27	0	1	0	0	28	29
09:30	23	3	0	0	1	27	28	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	29	3	0	0	1	33	34
09:45	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	4	0	0	0	29	29
Н/ТОТ	126	5	0	0	1	132	133	5	2	0	0	0	7	7	121	10	1	0	1	133	135
10:00	17	1	1	0	0	19	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	23	6	2	0	0	31	32
10:15	27	5	0	0	0	32	32	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	24	4	2	0	0	30	31
10:30	19	0	0	0	0	19	19	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	19	2	0	0	0	21	21
10:45	18	4	0	0	0	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	4	1	0	0	21	22
Н/ТОТ	81	10	1	0	0	92	93	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	82	16	5	0	0	103	106
11:00	17	2	0	0	0	19	19	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	24	2	1	0	0	27	28
11:15	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
11:30	24	0	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	4	0	0	0	25	25
11:45	19	2	0	0	0	21	21	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	24	1	0	0	0	25	25
Н/ТОТ	76	6	0	0	0	82	82	1	2	1	0	0	4	5	84	9	1	0	0	94	95
12:00	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	18	1	1	0	0	20	21
12:15	30	3	0	0	0	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	3	0	0	0	31	31
12:30	26	1	0	0	0	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	2	4	0	0	28	30
12:45	42	5	0	0	0	47	47	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	25	1	0	0	0	26	26
Н/ТОТ	116	10	0	0	0	126	126	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	93	7	5	0	0	105	108

ABACUS TRANSPORTATION SURVEYS

19

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

Abacus Transportation Surveys Ltd for

LOCATION: R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

03

SITE:

		мо	VEMEN	NT 4					мо	VEME	NT 5					мо	VEMEN	IT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	33	2	0	0	1	36	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	2	0	0	0	36	36
13:15	44	0	0	0	1	45	46	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	20	3	1	0	0	24	25
13:30	37	1	0	0	0	38	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	0	0	0	31	31
13:45	37	2	0	0	0	39	39	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	30	4	0	1	0	35	36
Н/ТОТ	151	5	0	0	2	158	160	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	114	10	1	1	0	126	128
14:00	45	1	1	0	0	47	48	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	16	4	0	0	1	21	22
14:15	45	2	1	0	1	49	51	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	22	1	0	0	1	24	25
14:30	40	0	0	0	0	40	40	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	30	3	0	0	0	33	33
14:45	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	21	3	0	0	0	24	24
н/тот	150	3	2	0	1	156	158	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	89	11	0	0	2	102	104
15:00	34	3	0	0	1	38	39	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	26	3	2	0	1	32	34
15:15	33	3	0	0	1	37	38	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	30	6	2	0	0	38	39
15:30	26	2	0	0	0	28	28	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	23	0	1	0	1	25	27
15:45	25	1	0	0	0	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	2	1	0	0	30	31
н/тот	118	9	0	0	2	129	131	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	106	11	6	0	2	125	130
16:00	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	22	3	1	0	1	27	29
16:15	29	2	0	0	1	32	33	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
16:30	22	1	1	0	0	24	25	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	35	1	0	1	1	38	40
16:45	26	4	0	1	0	31	32	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	42	1	0	0	0	43	43
Н/ТОТ	104	8	1	1	1	115	118	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	121	7	1	1	2	132	136
17:00	36	1	0	0	0	37	37	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	24	1	0	0	0	25	25
17:15	37	0	1	0	0	38	39	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	36	4	1	0	1	42	44
17:30	37	3	0	0	0	40	40	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	44	3	0	0	0	47	47
17:45	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	37	2	0	0	0	39	39
Н/ТОТ	144	5	1	0	0	150	151	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	141	10	1	0	1	153	155
18:00	39	1	0	0	0	40	40	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	33	2	0	0	0	35	35
18:15	29	0	0	0	0	29	29	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	31	2	0	0	0	33	33
18:30	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	32	4	0	0	1	37	38
18:45	50	2	0	0	0	52	52	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	40	3	0	0	0	43	43
Н/ТОТ	152	4	0	0	0	156	156	15	0	0	0	0	15	15	136	11	0	0	1	148	149
P/TOT	1700	79	8	1	12	1800	1817	79	8	1	0	0	88	89	1426	129	22	3	12	1592	1619

DAY:

Thursday

Roughan and O'Donovan

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

Aboout Turners I

SITE: 03

LOCATION: R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

		мо	VEMEN	IT 7					мо	VEMEN	Т 8					мо	VEMEN	IT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	50	2	1	0	0	53	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	12	1	1	0	0	14	15	62	7	0	1	0	70	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	13	0	1	0	0	14	15	104	6	1	0	1	112	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	22	1	0	1	1	25	27	74	5	1	0	0	80	81	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	54	2	2	1	1	60	63	290	20	3	1	1	315	319	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
08:00	24	2	0	0	2	28	30	43	6	2	0	2	53	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	19	2	1	0	1	23	25	78	8	4	0	0	90	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	143	7	1	0	0	151	152	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
08:45	21	2	2	0	0	25	26	68	11	1	0	1	81	83	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	76	7	3	0	3	89	94	332	32	8	0	3	375	382	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
09:00	23	3	0	0	0	26	26	72	7	2	0	1	82	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	22	3	0	0	0	25	25	64	4	2	0	1	71	73	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
09:30	18	2	0	0	1	21	22	47	10	2	0	0	59	60	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	14	3	1	0	0	18	19	48	7	1	0	0	56	57	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	77	11	1	0	1	90	92	231	28	7	0	2	268	274	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
10:00	17	3	1	0	0	21	22	28	8	2	1	2	41	45	2	0	2	0	0	4	5
10:15	16	3	1	0	0	20	21	38	6	2	0	0	46	47	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
10:30	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	56	4	1	1	0	62	64	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
10:45	18	4	0	1	0	23	24	58	5	1	0	0	64	65	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	60	11	2	1	0	74	76	180	23	6	2	2	213	221	11	1	2	0	0	14	15
11:00	10	2	0	0	0	12	12	39	15	1	0	1	56	58	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
11:15	29	1	0	0	0	30	30	40	6	0	0	0	46	46	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:30	11	2	0	0	0	13	13	52	8	2	0	1	63	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:45	19	0	0	0	0	19	19	45	7	1	1	2	56	60	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
Н/ТОТ	69	5	0	0	0	74	74	176	36	4	1	4	221	228	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
12:00	26	3	0	0	0	29	29	55	8	1	2	0	66	69	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
12:15	25	2	1	0	0	28	29	31	3	0	0	0	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	27	3	0	0	0	30	30	43	14	4	1	0	62	65	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
12:45	33	1	0	0	0	34	34	40	5	2	0	0	47	48	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	111	9	1	0	0	121	122	169	30	7	3	0	209	216	5	2	0	0	0	7	7

28th April 2016

Thursday

DATE:

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

мо	VEME	NT 7					мо	VEME	NT 8			
LGV	OVEMENT 7 V OGV1OGV		BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	lOGV2	BUS	тот	PC
2	1	0	0	37	38	56	7	3	0	1	67	7
1	0	0	0	33	33	31	4	0	0	0	35	3

TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	34	2	1	0	0	37	38	56	7	3	0	1	67	70	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
13:15	32	1	0	0	0	33	33	31	4	0	0	0	35	35	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
13:30	31	0	0	0	0	31	31	54	5	2	0	1	62	64	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
13:45	32	1	0	0	1	34	35	68	8	0	1	1	78	80	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	129	4	1	0	1	135	137	209	24	5	1	3	242	249	7	5	0	0	0	12	12
14:00	41	1	0	0	1	43	44	63	11	0	0	1	75	76	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
14:15	29	0	0	0	0	29	29	79	14	0	0	0	93	93	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
14:30	21	2	0	0	0	23	23	72	4	1	0	0	77	78	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
14:45	40	3	0	0	1	44	45	60	10	0	0	0	70	70	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	131	6	0	0	2	139	141	274	39	1	0	1	315	317	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
15:00	48	4	0	0	1	53	54	48	5	0	1	2	56	59	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
15:15	28	5	1	0	0	34	35	59	9	1	0	0	69	70	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
15:30	23	2	1	0	0	26	27	106	5	1	0	1	113	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	28	3	0	0	1	32	33	52	7	1	0	0	60	61	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	127	14	2	0	2	145	148	265	26	3	1	3	298	304	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
16:00	33	5	0	0	0	38	38	64	5	1	0	2	72	75	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
16:15	53	2	0	0	1	56	57	48	2	1	0	3	54	58	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
16:30	45	1	0	0	0	46	46	32	13	0	1	1	47	49	4	2	0	0	0	6	6
16:45	46	1	0	0	0	47	47	54	4	0	0	0	58	58	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	177	9	0	0	1	187	188	198	24	2	1	6	231	239	20	3	0	0	0	23	23
17:00	64	6	0	0	0	70	70	24	7	0	0	1	32	33	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
17:15	60	2	0	0	0	62	62	29	1	0	0	0	30	30	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
17:30	70	4	0	0	0	74	74	86	8	1	0	0	95	96	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
17:45	73	7	0	0	1	81	82	205	19	7	1	1	233	239	3	2	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	267	19	0	0	1	287	288	344	35	8	1	2	390	397	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
18:00	56	3	1	0	0	60	61	79	2	1	1	2	85	89	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
18:15	82	5	0	0	0	87	87	88	13	0	1	0	102	103	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
18:30	63	6	0	0	0	69	69	49	6	0	0	0	55	55	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
18:45	58	2	0	0	0	60	60	63	4	1	0	1	69	71	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
н/тот	259	16	1	0	0	276	277	279	25	2	2	3	311	318	29	2	0	0	0	31	31
Р/ТОТ	1537	113	13	2	12	1677	1698	2947	342	56	13	30	3388	3463	134	17	2	0	0	153	154

28th April 2016

Thursday

DATE:

DAY:

MOVEMENT 9

03

SITE:

LOCATION:

R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

28th April 2016

03 SITE:

LOCATION: R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

		моу	EMEN	т 10					MO	/EMENT	11					MO	EMEN	Т 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5
07:15	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
07:30	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
07:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	0	0	0	9	9
Н/ТОТ	25	1	0	0	0	26	26	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	19	4	0	0	0	23	23
08:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
08:15	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
08:30	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
08:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	2	0	0	0	11	11
Н/ТОТ	29	0	0	0	0	29	29	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	33	3	0	0	0	36	36
09:00	7	2	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
09:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
09:30	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
09:45	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
Н/ТОТ	24	3	0	0	0	27	27	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	14	0	1	0	0	15	16
10:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
10:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10:30	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
Н/ТОТ	15	1	0	0	0	16	16	3	4	0	0	0	7	7	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
11:00	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
11:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
11:30	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
11:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	10	2	0	0	0	12	12	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
12:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:15	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
12:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
12:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	1	0	0	4	5
Н/ТОТ	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	10	0	1	0	0	11	12

23

DAY:

DATE:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

R774/Charlesland Wood/Grove Estate

Abacus Transportation Surveys Ltd for

Roughan and O'Donovan

Ath~16~036 Junction Turning Counts.xls~Site 3

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 03

LOCATION:

		мо	/EMEN	T 10					мо\	EMEN.	Г 11					MO	/EMEN	Т 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
13:15	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	4	5
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	4	0	1	0	0	5	6
13:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	2	1	1	0	1	5	7	14	0	2	0	0	16	17
14:00	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
14:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
14:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
15:00	0	1	2	0	0	3	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
15:15	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
15:30	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
15:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	6	4	2	0	0	12	13	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	12	0	0	0	0	12	12
16:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
16:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	1	0	0	0	3	3
16:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
H/TOT	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	14	2	0	0	0	16	16	4	2	0	0	0	6	6
17:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
17:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
17:45	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
18:00	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
18:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
18:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
18:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
Н/ТОТ	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	9	1	1	0	0	11	12
P/TOT	174	15	2	0	0	191	192	65	13	1	0	1	80	82	158	12	5	0	0	175	178

28th April 2016

DAY:

DATE:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо	VEMEI	NT 1					мо	VEME	NT 2					мо	VEMEN	NT 3			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	7	3	0	0	1	11	12	10	1	1	0	0	12	13
07:15	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
07:30	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	9	5	3	0	2	19	23	6	0	1	0	0	7	8
07:45	24	1	0	0	1	26	27	29	7	0	0	0	36	36	21	3	0	0	0	24	24
н/тот	54	2	0	0	1	57	58	59	15	3	0	3	80	85	51	5	2	0	0	58	59
08:00	22	2	1	0	0	25	26	26	4	1	0	0	31	32	25	4	0	0	0	29	29
08:15	14	1	0	0	1	16	17	32	2	1	0	0	35	36	27	0	0	0	0	27	27
08:30	30	6	0	0	0	36	36	45	5	1	0	1	52	54	35	1	2	0	1	39	41
08:45	24	1	1	0	1	27	29	42	5	1	0	0	48	49	32	4	0	0	0	36	36
н/тот	90	10	2	0	2	104	107	145	16	4	0	1	166	169	119	9	2	0	1	131	133
09:00	36	0	2	0	0	38	39	55	5	1	0	3	64	68	29	4	0	0	0	33	33
09:15	29	1	1	0	0	31	32	43	6	0	0	0	49	49	25	4	1	0	0	30	31
09:30	23	0	0	0	1	24	25	26	3	0	0	0	29	29	19	3	0	0	0	22	22
09:45	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	28	1	0	1	0	30	31	18	1	2	0	0	21	22
н/тот	122	2	3	0	1	128	131	152	15	1	1	3	172	177	91	12	3	0	0	106	108
10:00	18	0	0	0	0	18	18	23	3	1	0	0	27	28	13	3	0	0	0	16	16
10:15	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	27	9	1	0	0	37	38	16	3	2	0	0	21	22
10:30	23	2	0	0	0	25	25	23	1	1	0	0	25	26	18	1	1	0	0	20	21
10:45	14	2	0	0	0	16	16	28	7	2	0	0	37	38	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
н/тот	76	5	0	0	0	81	81	101	20	5	0	0	126	129	62	7	3	0	0	72	74
11:00	19	0	0	0	0	19	19	35	3	0	0	0	38	38	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
11:15	14	4	0	0	0	18	18	28	2	1	0	0	31	32	9	0	1	0	0	10	11
11:30	22	3	0	0	0	25	25	38	1	1	0	0	40	41	8	2	0	0	0	10	10
11:45	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	21	3	1	0	1	26	28	9	1	0	0	0	10	10
н/тот	82	8	0	0	0	90	90	122	9	3	0	1	135	138	40	4	1	0	0	45	46
12:00	23	0	0	0	0	23	23	39	4	0	0	0	43	43	21	1	1	1	0	24	26
12:15	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	42	3	1	0	0	46	47	19	1	0	0	0	20	20
12:30	21	0	2	0	0	23	24	32	5	1	0	1	39	41	17	1	0	0	0	18	18
12:45	26	0	0	0	0	26	26	30	3	1	0	0	34	35	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
н/тот	87	0	2	0	0	89	90	143	15	3	0	1	162	165	72	5	1	1	0	79	81

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо	VEMEI	NT 1					мо	VEMEN	NT 2					мо	VEMEN	NT 3			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	28	3	0	0	1	32	33	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
13:15	30	2	0	0	0	32	32	42	0	1	0	0	43	44	18	1	0	0	0	19	19
13:30	23	1	0	0	0	24	24	35	3	0	0	0	38	38	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
13:45	28	3	0	0	0	31	31	43	6	2	0	0	51	52	17	4	0	0	0	21	21
н/тот	101	7	0	0	0	108	108	148	12	3	0	1	164	167	63	7	0	0	0	70	70
14:00	19	1	0	0	1	21	22	43	4	1	0	0	48	49	20	1	0	0	0	21	21
14:15	25	2	0	0	1	28	29	40	4	2	0	0	46	47	18	1	0	0	0	19	19
14:30	34	2	0	0	0	36	36	55	5	2	1	0	63	65	16	2	0	0	0	18	18
14:45	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	55	4	2	1	0	62	64	23	1	1	0	1	26	28
н/тот	112	6	0	0	2	120	122	193	17	7	2	0	219	225	77	5	1	0	1	84	86
15:00	25	2	0	0	1	28	29	56	8	0	0	3	67	70	14	3	0	0	0	17	17
15:15	29	3	0	0	0	32	32	42	4	1	0	1	48	50	14	2	0	0	0	16	16
15:30	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	41	7	1	0	1	50	52	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
15:45	32	3	1	0	0	36	37	50	2	2	0	4	58	63	13	3	0	0	0	16	16
Н/ТОТ	113	9	1	0	1	124	126	189	21	4	0	9	223	234	54	8	0	0	0	62	62
16:00	38	2	1	0	1	42	44	57	8	2	2	1	70	75	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
16:15	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	35	8	1	0	0	44	45	18	3	0	0	0	21	21
16:30	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	34	10	1	0	0	45	46	18	3	1	0	0	22	23
16:45	35	4	0	0	0	39	39	54	10	0	0	0	64	64	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
н/тот	121	8	1	0	1	131	133	180	36	4	2	1	223	229	80	10	1	0	0	91	92
17:00	30	1	0	0	1	32	33	60	6	0	0	0	66	66	22	4	0	0	0	26	26
17:15	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	53	5	0	0	0	58	58	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
17:30	35	5	0	0	0	40	40	51	4	2	0	0	57	58	22	0	0	0	0	22	22
17:45	27	1	0	0	0	28	28	46	4	0	0	0	50	50	27	0	0	0	0	27	27
Н/ТОТ	119	8	0	0	1	128	129	210	19	2	0	0	231	232	83	5	0	0	0	88	88
18:00	26	2	0	0	0	28	28	60	1	0	0	0	61	61	17	1	0	0	0	18	18
18:15	29	3	0	0	0	32	32	60	2	2	0	0	64	65	19	2	1	0	0	22	23
18:30	25	3	0	0	0	28	28	37	6	1	0	0	44	45	20	1	0	0	0	21	21
18:45	34	4	0	0	0	38	38	32	2	0	0	0	34	34	12	0	0	0	0	12	12
Н/ТОТ	114	12	0	0	0	126	126	189	11	3	0	0	203	205	68	4	1	0	0	73	74
P/TOT	1191	77	9	0	9	1286	1300	1831	206	42	5	20	2104	2152	860	81	15	1	2	959	970

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо	VEME	NT 4					мо	VEMEN	IT 5					мо	VEMEN	NT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	.OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	10	2	0	0	0	12	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:15	9	3	0	0	0	12	12	20	5	1	0	1	27	29	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
07:30	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	14	3	0	0	1	18	19	2	2	0	0	0	4	4
07:45	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	26	6	0	0	1	33	34	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	29	6	0	0	0	35	35	70	16	1	0	3	90	94	8	2	0	0	0	10	10
08:00	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
08:15	11	5	0	0	1	17	18	27	4	0	0	1	32	33	7	0	2	0	1	10	12
08:30	24	1	0	0	0	25	25	44	4	0	0	0	48	48	21	0	1	0	0	22	23
08:45	22	4	1	0	0	27	28	44	4	1	0	1	50	52	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	62	11	1	0	1	75	77	133	13	1	0	2	149	152	42	1	3	0	1	47	50
09:00	15	2	0	0	0	17	17	44	2	0	0	0	46	46	18	4	0	0	0	22	22
09:15	19	0	0	0	0	19	19	31	3	3	0	1	38	41	3	2	0	0	0	5	5
09:30	22	2	0	0	0	24	24	25	0	2	0	0	27	28	6	0	0	0	1	7	8
09:45	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	29	0	0	0	1	30	31	5	0	1	0	0	6	7
н/тот	69	5	0	0	0	74	74	129	5	5	0	2	141	146	32	6	1	0	1	40	42
10:00	17	0	2	0	0	19	20	15	1	1	0	0	17	18	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
10:15	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	23	2	0	0	1	26	27	6	0	1	0	0	7	8
10:30	9	3	0	0	0	12	12	20	2	0	0	0	22	22	7	1	1	0	0	9	10
10:45	15	4	1	1	0	21	23	28	2	2	0	1	33	35	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	53	8	3	1	0	65	68	86	7	3	0	2	98	102	23	1	2	0	0	26	27
11:00	16	1	1	0	0	18	19	20	2	0	0	0	22	22	3	1	1	0	0	5	6
11:15	18	2	0	0	0	20	20	25	1	1	0	1	28	30	5	1	2	1	0	9	11
11:30	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	28	4	0	0	0	32	32	5	1	0	1	0	7	8
11:45	9	0	1	0	0	10	11	24	2	0	0	1	27	28	4	2	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	51	3	2	0	0	56	57	97	9	1	0	2	109	112	17	5	3	2	0	27	31
12:00	24	0	1	0	0	25	26	25	7	1	0	0	33	34	8	1	1	0	0	10	11
12:15	8	0	1	0	0	9	10	24	2	1	0	1	28	30	5	0	1	0	0	6	7
12:30	9	3	0	0	0	12	12	17	2	0	0	0	19	19	4	0	1	0	0	5	6
12:45	21	5	1	0	0	27	28	31	1	0	0	1	33	34	6	3	1	0	0	10	11
н/тот	62	8	3	0	0	73	75	97	12	2	0	2	113	116	23	4	4	0	0	31	33

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

MOVEMENT 4 MOVEMENT 5 MOVEMENT 6 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 H/TOT 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 H/TOT 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 н/тот 17:00 17:15 17:30 17:45 H/TOT 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 Н/ТОТ **P/TOT** 941 1045 1060 1587 115 1749 1787

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо	VEME	NT 7					мо	VEME	NT 8					мо	VEME	NT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	24	6	0	0	0	30	30	4	1	0	0	2	7	9
07:15	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	32	8	1	0	0	41	42	3	0	0	0	2	5	7
07:30	13	3	0	0	0	16	16	56	10	2	1	5	74	81	4	0	0	0	3	7	10
07:45	23	1	1	0	0	25	26	44	6	2	0	3	55	59	7	4	2	0	3	16	20
н/тот	50	5	1	0	0	56	57	156	30	5	1	8	200	212	18	5	2	0	10	35	46
08:00	30	0	3	0	0	33	35	32	6	0	0	0	38	38	8	6	1	0	2	17	20
08:15	32	3	1	0	0	36	37	66	6	0	1	0	73	74	4	1	1	0	0	6	7
08:30	43	3	0	0	1	47	48	55	8	1	0	0	64	65	10	4	0	0	0	14	14
08:45	46	0	0	0	0	46	46	77	6	0	0	1	84	85	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	151	6	4	0	1	162	165	230	26	1	1	1	259	262	26	11	2	0	2	41	44
09:00	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	43	4	1	0	0	48	49	6	3	0	0	0	9	9
09:15	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	38	5	1	0	1	45	47	11	2	0	0	1	14	15
09:30	7	0	2	1	0	10	12	38	3	0	2	0	43	46	7	1	0	0	0	8	8
09:45	8	1	1	0	0	10	11	34	1	1	0	1	37	39	6	1	0	0	0	7	7
Н/ТОТ	42	2	3	1	0	48	51	153	13	3	2	2	173	179	30	7	0	0	1	38	39
10:00	5	1	1	1	0	8	10	31	1	1	1	1	35	38	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
10:15	8	1	1	0	0	10	11	25	5	1	0	0	31	32	11	1	0	0	1	13	14
10:30	11	0	0	1	0	12	13	29	3	2	0	1	35	37	14	1	1	0	0	16	17
10:45	11	2	0	0	0	13	13	28	2	1	0	0	31	32	14	1	0	0	1	16	17
н/тот	35	4	2	2	0	43	47	113	11	5	1	2	132	138	46	3	1	0	2	52	55
11:00	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	36	1	1	0	0	38	39	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
11:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	42	1	0	0	0	43	43	6	0	0	0	1	7	8
11:30	3	1	2	0	0	6	7	36	1	1	0	0	38	39	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
11:45	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	36	3	1	0	0	40	41	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
н/тот	22	2	2	0	0	26	27	150	6	3	0	0	159	161	38	2	0	0	1	41	42
12:00	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	32	5	0	0	0	37	37	9	3	1	0	0	13	14
12:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	33	2	0	1	0	36	37	18	1	0	0	2	21	23
12:30	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	35	5	2	0	0	42	43	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
12:45	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	50	2	0	0	1	53	54	8	1	0	0	1	10	11
н/тот	39	1	0	0	0	40	40	150	14	2	1	1	168	171	45	5	1	0	3	54	58

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо	VEME	NT 7					мо	VEMEN	NT 8					мо	VEMEN	IT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	11	3	0	0	0	14	14	39	2	0	0	0	41	41	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
13:15	9	1	2	0	0	12	13	31	3	1	0	1	36	38	8	1	0	0	1	10	11
13:30	6	1	0	1	0	8	9	39	3	1	0	1	44	46	9	1	1	0	1	12	14
13:45	21	0	1	0	0	22	23	45	3	1	0	0	49	50	12	1	1	0	0	14	15
н/тот	47	5	3	1	0	56	59	154	11	3	0	2	170	174	43	4	2	0	2	51	54
14:00	23	2	0	0	0	25	25	40	2	1	2	0	45	48	16	1	0	0	0	17	17
14:15	15	0	0	0	0	15	15	36	4	0	0	1	41	42	11	3	0	0	1	15	16
14:30	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	40	2	3	0	1	46	49	12	3	0	0	0	15	15
14:45	7	0	1	0	0	8	9	34	2	0	0	0	36	36	11	2	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	55	2	1	0	0	58	59	150	10	4	2	2	168	175	50	9	0	0	1	60	61
15:00	8	0	0	1	0	9	10	55	1	1	1	1	59	62	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
15:15	16	1	0	0	0	17	17	32	5	0	0	0	37	37	12	0	0	0	1	13	14
15:30	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	41	4	0	4	1	50	56	8	0	2	0	0	10	11
15:45	6	2	0	0	0	8	8	39	7	0	0	1	47	48	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
н/тот	41	4	0	1	0	46	47	167	17	1	5	3	193	203	47	2	2	0	1	52	54
16:00	8	3	1	1	0	13	15	36	4	0	0	1	41	42	21	0	0	0	1	22	23
16:15	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	31	5	2	0	0	38	39	11	2	0	0	0	13	13
16:30	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	44	3	0	0	0	47	47	14	0	1	0	2	17	20
16:45	7	2	0	0	0	9	9	52	3	1	0	1	57	59	19	0	0	0	0	19	19
н/тот	30	6	1	1	0	38	40	163	15	3	0	2	183	187	65	2	1	0	3	71	75
17:00	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	53	2	1	0	0	56	57	10	0	1	0	0	11	12
17:15	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	50	3	0	0	0	53	53	13	1	0	0	1	15	16
17:30	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	43	6	1	0	0	50	51	13	0	0	0	1	14	15
17:45	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	44	9	0	0	2	55	57	10	3	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	39	1	0	0	0	40	40	190	20	2	0	2	214	217	46	4	1	0	2	53	56
18:00	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	50	1	1	0	0	52	53	15	1	0	0	0	16	16
18:15	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	41	4	1	0	0	46	47	15	1	0	0	1	17	18
18:30	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	38	5	0	0	0	43	43	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
18:45	7	3	0	0	0	10	10	32	7	1	0	0	40	41	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	33	5	0	0	0	38	38	161	17	3	0	0	181	183	55	3	0	0	1	59	60
Р/ТОТ	584	43	17	6	1	651	668	1937	190	35	13	25	2200	2259	509	57	12	0	29	607	642

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

		мо\	/EMEN	IT 10					моу	EMEN	r 11					моу	/EMEN	Т 12			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10)GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	7	0	1	0	0	8	9
07:15	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	21	4	0	0	0	25	25	13	2	2	0	0	17	18
07:30	3	1	0	0	1	5	6	28	4	0	0	1	33	34	13	2	1	0	1	17	19
07:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	37	3	1	0	1	42	44	17	1	0	0	0	18	18
н/тот	13	2	0	0	1	16	17	100	12	1	0	2	115	118	50	5	4	0	1	60	63
08:00	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	52	3	0	0	1	56	57	17	0	0	0	0	17	17
08:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	37	1	0	0	1	39	40	22	0	1	0	0	23	24
08:30	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	46	4	2	0	1	53	55	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
08:45	9	0	0	0	1	10	11	38	2	2	0	0	42	43	26	2	0	0	1	29	30
н/тот	22	1	0	0	1	24	25	173	10	4	0	3	190	195	80	4	1	0	1	86	88
09:00	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	46	1	0	0	1	48	49	22	2	0	0	0	24	24
09:15	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	45	1	1	0	0	47	48	26	0	0	0	1	27	28
09:30	17	2	0	0	0	19	19	35	2	1	0	1	39	41	20	0	0	1	0	21	22
09:45	9	1	0	0	1	11	12	21	4	0	0	1	26	27	12	2	0	0	0	14	14
н/тот	46	5	0	0	1	52	53	147	8	2	0	3	160	164	80	4	0	1	1	86	88
10:00	9	0	2	0	0	11	12	23	1	3	0	1	28	31	17	0	1	0	0	18	19
10:15	10	3	0	0	0	13	13	30	1	1	0	0	32	33	22	3	0	0	0	25	25
10:30	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	33	3	1	0	3	40	44	16	1	0	0	0	17	17
10:45	14	3	0	0	1	18	19	19	3	1	0	0	23	24	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
н/тот	43	6	2	0	1	52	54	105	8	6	0	4	123	130	63	5	1	0	0	69	70
11:00	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	27	2	0	0	2	31	33	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
11:15	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	29	1	0	0	0	30	30	25	1	0	0	1	27	28
11:30	10	0	0	0	1	11	12	18	1	0	0	2	21	23	29	2	1	0	0	32	33
11:45	17	1	0	0	0	18	18	22	3	1	0	0	26	27	20	0	1	0	0	21	22
н/тот	47	3	0	0	1	51	52	96	7	1	0	4	108	113	90	3	2	0	1	96	98
12:00	12	1	0	0	1	14	15	21	5	0	0	0	26	26	17	2	0	0	0	19	19
12:15	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	22	2	0	0	1	25	26	19	0	1	0	0	20	21
12:30	21	1	1	0	0	23	24	23	3	0	0	0	26	26	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
12:45	11	0	0	0	1	12	13	31	2	1	0	1	35	37	25	4	0	0	0	29	29
н/тот	64	3	1	0	2	70	73	97	12	1	0	2	112	115	81	6	1	0	0	88	89

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R761/R762

MOVEMENT 10 MOVEMENT 11 MOVEMENT 12 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 H/TOT 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 н/тот 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 н/тот 17:00 17:15 17:30 17:45 H/TOT 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 Н/ТОТ P/TOT 624 1525 125 1712 1762 1007

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS

ABACUS TRANSPORTATION SURVEYS

MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R762/Priory Road

		мо	VEME	NT 1					мо	VEMEN	NT 2					мо	VEME	NT 3			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV	LOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	13	8	0	0	0	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	22	22
07:15	25	20	3	0	1	49	52	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	23	0	0	0	0	23	23
07:30	25	8	0	0	1	34	35	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
07:45	27	13	1	0	1	42	44	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	21	1	1	0	0	23	24
н/тот	90	49	4	0	3	146	151	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	86	1	1	0	0	88	89
08:00	47	11	1	0	1	60	62	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	30	1	0	0	0	31	31
08:15	56	9	1	1	3	70	75	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	22	1	0	0	0	23	23
08:30	65	13	0	0	0	78	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	1	1	0	0	18	19
08:45	63	10	2	0	1	76	78	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	7	1	1	0	0	9	10
н/тот	231	43	4	1	5	284	292	10	2	0	0	0	12	12	75	4	2	0	0	81	82
09:00	38	7	0	0	0	45	45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
09:15	51	9	6	0	1	67	71	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
09:30	41	1	3	0	1	46	49	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
09:45	48	2	0	0	1	51	52	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
Н/ТОТ	178	19	9	0	3	209	217	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	31	1	0	0	0	32	32
10:00	31	2	2	0	0	35	36	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
10:15	29	6	1	0	1	37	39	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
10:30	34	5	1	2	0	42	45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	7	1	0	0	0	8	8
10:45	38	7	2	0	1	48	50	3	0	1	0	0	4	5	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
Н/ТОТ	132	20	6	2	2	162	170	14	0	1	0	0	15	16	29	1	0	0	0	30	30
11:00	45	9	3	0	1	58	61	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:15	38	9	0	0	0	47	47	3	0	1	0	0	4	5	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
11:30	42	5	0	0	0	47	47	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	11	0	0	0	0	11	11
11:45	32	6	2	0	1	41	43	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
Н/ТОТ	157	29	5	0	2	193	198	15	0	1	0	0	16	17	23	0	0	0	0	23	23
12:00	56	13	2	0	0	71	72	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:15	38	8	3	0	1	50	53	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
12:30	37	8	3	1	1	50	54	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
12:45	59	6	1	0	1	67	69	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	190	35	9	1	3	238	247	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	13	0	0	0	0	13	13

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DAY:

LOCATION: R762/Priory Road

		мо	VEME	NT 1					мо	VEME	NT 2					мо	VEME	NT 3			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV	lOGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	76	7	0	0	0	83	83	7	1	1	0	0	9	10	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
13:15	59	7	0	0	2	68	70	3	3	0	0	0	6	6	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
13:30	49	8	1	0	0	58	59	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
13:45	66	8	0	0	2	76	78	6	0	0	1	0	7	8	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	250	30	1	0	4	285	290	23	5	1	1	0	30	32	18	0	0	0	0	18	18
14:00	76	9	1	0	0	86	87	3	0	1	0	0	4	5	8	0	2	0	0	10	11
14:15	74	5	0	0	2	81	83	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
14:30	65	4	0	0	0	69	69	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
14:45	45	6	1	0	3	55	59	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	260	24	2	0	5	291	297	18	1	1	0	0	20	21	26	0	2	0	0	28	29
15:00	63	7	0	0	1	71	72	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
15:15	75	9	1	0	0	85	86	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	3
15:30	86	6	2	0	2	96	99	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
15:45	61	5	1	0	0	67	68	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	285	27	4	0	3	319	324	23	1	0	0	0	24	24	16	1	0	0	0	17	17
16:00	82	10	0	0	0	92	92	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
16:15	88	14	1	0	1	104	106	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
16:30	99	8	1	0	1	109	111	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:45	64	9	0	0	0	73	73	4	0	1	0	0	5	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	333	41	2	0	2	378	381	35	1	1	0	0	37	38	5	1	1	0	0	7	8
17:00	66	9	3	0	0	78	80	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
17:15	90	9	2	0	2	103	106	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	2	2	0	0	0	4	4
17:30	118	4	1	0	1	124	126	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
17:45	101	3	0	0	0	104	104	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
н/тот	375	25	6	0	3	409	415	46	1	0	0	0	47	47	17	2	0	0	0	19	19
18:00	104	4	1	0	1	110	112	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
18:15	106	11	3	0	0	120	122	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
18:30	90	8	0	0	1	99	100	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
18:45	98	3	0	0	0	101	101	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	398	26	4	0	2	430	434	41	0	0	0	0	41	41	18	0	0	0	0	18	18
Р/ТОТ	2879	368	56	4	37	3344	3414	267	15	5	1	0	288	292	357	11	6	0	0	374	377

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: R762/Priory Road

	MOVEMENT 4 CAR LGV OGV10GV2 B								мо	VEMEI	NT 5					мо	VEME	NT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	56	4	1	0	1	62	64
07:15	3	2	0	0	0	5	5	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	72	6	0	0	0	78	78
07:30	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	81	5	1	0	1	88	90
07:45	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	99	12	2	0	1	114	116
н/тот	16	3	0	0	0	19	19	21	1	0	0	0	22	22	308	27	4	0	3	342	347
08:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	125	6	0	0	1	132	133
08:15	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	100	2	3	0	0	105	107
08:30	22	0	0	0	0	22	22	19	2	0	0	0	21	21	127	9	3	1	4	144	151
08:45	12	0	1	0	0	13	14	19	1	0	0	0	20	20	117	9	3	0	0	129	131
н/тот	46	1	1	0	0	48	49	50	4	0	0	0	54	54	469	26	9	1	5	510	521
09:00	6	2	0	0	0	8	8	14	0	1	0	0	15	16	144	5	0	0	1	150	151
09:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	95	11	1	0	0	107	108
09:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	83	9	2	0	1	95	97
09:45	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	66	9	3	0	0	78	80
н/тот	17	2	0	0	0	19	19	33	2	1	0	0	36	37	388	34	6	0	2	430	435
10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	7	7	53	6	2	0	2	63	66
10:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	47	4	5	0	0	56	59
10:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	60	6	2	0	0	68	69
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	41	8	1	0	1	51	53
Н/ТОТ	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	22	5	0	0	0	27	27	201	24	10	0	3	238	246
11:00	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	56	8	1	0	1	66	68
11:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	33	6	0	0	0	39	39
11:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	43	3	1	0	0	47	48
11:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	36	6	2	0	1	45	47
н/тот	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	168	23	4	0	2	197	201
12:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	48	10	1	1	0	60	62
12:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	2	0	0	0	7	7	44	4	0	0	1	49	50
12:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	50	6	1	0	0	57	58
12:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	55	5	0	0	1	61	62
н/тот	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	24	4	0	0	0	28	28	197	25	2	1	2	227	231

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE:

DAY:

LOCATION: R762/Priory Road

		мо	VEME	NT 4					мо	VEMEN	IT 5					мо	VEME	NT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	44	6	1	0	0	51	52
13:15	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	45	4	2	1	1	53	56
13:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	37	7	1	1	0	46	48
13:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	46	1	3	0	1	51	54
н/тот	17	1	0	0	0	18	18	49	2	0	0	0	51	51	172	18	7	2	2	201	209
14:00	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	7	1	0	0	0	8	8	53	5	0	0	0	58	58
14:15	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	77	7	1	0	1	86	88
14:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	15	2	0	0	0	17	17	63	6	1	0	0	70	71
14:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	18	0	0	0	0	18	18	81	3	1	1	2	88	92
н/тот	22	1	0	0	0	23	23	52	4	0	0	0	56	56	274	21	3	1	3	302	308
15:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	15	3	0	0	0	18	18	45	5	0	0	0	50	50
15:15	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	19	1	0	0	0	20	20	43	10	2	0	1	56	58
15:30	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	18	0	0	0	0	18	18	60	8	1	1	0	70	72
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	56	12	3	0	1	72	75
Н/ТОТ	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	66	5	0	0	0	71	71	204	35	6	1	2	248	254
16:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	48	12	0	0	0	60	60
16:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	2	0	0	0	11	11	57	12	1	0	1	71	73
16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	60	13	2	0	1	76	78
16:45	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	1	0	0	0	10	10	44	6	0	0	1	51	52
Н/ТОТ	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	45	3	0	0	0	48	48	209	43	3	0	3	258	263
17:00	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	63	15	1	0	0	79	80
17:15	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	39	6	0	0	1	46	47
17:30	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	63	8	1	0	0	72	73
17:45	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	55	4	0	0	1	60	61
H/TOT	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	55	1	0	0	0	56	56	220	33	2	0	2	257	260
18:00	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	21	0	0	0	0	21	21	41	6	0	0	0	47	47
18:15	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	48	1	1	0	1	51	53
18:30	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	62	5	0	0	0	67	67
18:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	41	3	0	0	1	45	46
Н/ТОТ	15	0	0	0	0	15	15	50	1	0	0	0	51	51	192	15	1	0	2	210	213
P/TOT	190	9	1	0	0	200	201	485	33	1	0	0	519	520	3002	324	57	6	31	3420	3487

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates LOCATION:

MOVEMENT 1 MOVEMENT 2 MOVEMENT 3 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 Н/ТОТ 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 н/тот 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 н/тот 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 н/тот 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 н/тот 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 Н/ТОТ

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 1 MOVEMENT 2 MOVEMENT 3 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 Н/ТОТ 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 н/тот 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 н/тот 17:00 17:15 17:30 17:45 н/тот 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 н/тот P/TOT

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 4 MOVEMENT 5 MOVEMENT 6 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 Н/ТОТ 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 н/тот 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 н/тот 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 н/тот 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 н/тот 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 Н/ТОТ

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

ATH/16/036

Thursday

APRIL 2016

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

		мо	VEME	NT 4					мо	VEMEN	Т 5					мо	VEMEN	IT 6			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	DGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
13:15	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
13:30	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	5	5
13:45	6	0	0	1	0	7	8	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	44	2	0	1	0	47	48	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	17	3	0	0	0	20	20
14:00	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
14:15	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	6	2	0	0	0	8	8	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
14:30	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	1	2	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
14:45	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
Н/ТОТ	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	13	4	0	0	0	17	17	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
15:00	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
15:15	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
15:30	16	1	0	0	0	17	17	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
15:45	13	1	0	0	0	14	14	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	56	4	0	0	0	60	60	9	2	0	0	0	11	11	15	1	0	0	0	16	16
16:00	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
16:15	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
16:30	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
16:45	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
H/TOT	39	2	0	0	0	41	41	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	17	1	0	0	0	18	18
17:00	8	1	0	0	0	9	9	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
17:15	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
17:30	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
17:45	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
Н/ТОТ	44	1	0	0	0	45	45	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	21	0	0	0	0	21	21
18:00	22	0	0	0	0	22	22	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
18:15	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
18:30	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
18:45	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	58	1	0	0	0	59	59	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	25	0	0	0	0	25	25
P/TOT	380	17	0	1	0	398	399	134	15	1	0	0	150	151	158	10	2	0	0	170	171

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 7 MOVEMENT 8 MOVEMENT 9 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 H/TOT 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 н/тот 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 н/тот 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 н/тот 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 н/тот 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 Н/ТОТ

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 7 MOVEMENT 8 MOVEMENT 9 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 Н/ТОТ 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 н/тот 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 н/тот 17:00 17:15 17:30 17:45 н/тот 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 н/тот P/TOT

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

ATH/16/036

Thursday

APRIL 2016

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 10 MOVEMENT 11 MOVEMENT 12 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 H/TOT 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 Н/ТОТ 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 н/тот 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 н/тот 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 н/тот 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 Н/ТОТ

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

Thursday

SITE: 06

DATE: 28th April 2016

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate/Priory Avenue Estates

MOVEMENT 10 MOVEMENT 11 MOVEMENT 12 TIME CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU CAR LGV OGV10GV2 BUS тот PCU 13:00 13:15 13:30 13:45 H/TOT 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 н/тот 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 н/тот 16:00 16:15 16:30 16:45 н/тот 17:00 17:15 17:30 17:45 н/тот 18:00 18:15 18:30 18:45 Н/ТОТ **P/TOT** 310

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

SITE: 07

DATE:

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate

		мо	VEMEN	IT 1					мо	VEME	NT 2			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	21	0	1	0	0	22	23	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
07:15	21	2	1	0	0	24	25	5	2	0	0	0	7	7
07:30	25	0	0	0	0	25	25	14	0	0	0	0	14	14
07:45	33	1	0	0	1	35	36	14	2	0	0	0	16	16
н/тот	100	3	2	0	1	106	108	37	5	0	0	0	42	42
08:00	25	5	0	0	0	30	30	15	4	0	0	0	19	19
08:15	32	3	0	0	0	35	35	11	2	0	0	0	13	13
08:30	40	1	1	0	0	42	43	14	3	0	1	0	18	19
08:45	36	2	0	0	0	38	38	20	4	0	0	0	24	24
н/тот	133	11	1	0	0	145	146	60	13	0	1	0	74	75
09:00	32	2	1	0	0	35	36	16	2	0	0	0	18	18
09:15	14	1	0	0	0	15	15	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
09:30	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	15	3	0	0	0	18	18
09:45	18	2	0	0	0	20	20	8	1	0	0	0	9	9
н/тот	76	6	1	0	0	83	84	51	7	0	0	0	58	58
10:00	12	1	0	0	0	13	13	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
10:15	13	3	1	0	0	17	18	10	1	0	0	0	11	11
10:30	15	4	0	0	0	19	19	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
10:45	11	0	1	0	0	12	13	6	3	0	0	0	9	9
н/тот	51	8	2	0	0	61	62	29	5	0	0	0	34	34
11:00	14	4	0	0	0	18	18	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
11:15	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	5	3	0	0	0	8	8
11:30	14	3	0	0	0	17	17	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
11:45	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
н/тот	56	7	0	0	0	63	63	31	5	0	0	0	36	36
12:00	25	3	0	0	0	28	28	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
12:15	12	2	0	0	0	14	14	7	1	0	0	0	8	8
12:30	11	1	0	0	0	12	12	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
12:45	20	2	0	0	0	22	22	11	2	0	0	0	13	13
н/тот	68	8	0	0	0	76	76	38	6	0	0	0	44	44

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

SITE: 07

DATE:

DAY:

LOCATION: Eden Gate

		мо	VEMEN	IT 1					мо	VEMEN	IT 2			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	19	3	0	0	0	22	22	15	2	0	0	0	17	17
13:15	16	2	0	0	0	18	18	11	1	0	0	0	12	12
13:30	15	4	0	0	0	19	19	10	1	0	0	0	11	11
13:45	21	4	0	0	0	25	25	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
н/тот	71	13	0	0	0	84	84	49	5	0	0	0	54	54
14:00	22	1	0	1	0	24	25	11	2	0	0	0	13	13
14:15	26	1	0	0	0	27	27	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
14:30	20	1	0	0	0	21	21	11	1	0	0	0	12	12
14:45	28	1	0	0	0	29	29	17	0	0	0	0	17	17
н/тот	96	4	0	1	0	101	102	51	4	0	0	0	55	55
15:00	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	9	1	0	0	0	10	10
15:15	17	4	0	0	0	21	21	14	1	0	0	0	15	15
15:30	27	3	0	0	0	30	30	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
15:45	19	3	1	0	0	23	24	13	1	1	0	0	15	16
н/тот	76	10	1	0	0	87	88	52	3	1	0	0	56	57
16:00	16	1	0	0	0	17	17	14	2	1	0	0	17	18
16:15	24	1	0	0	1	26	27	23	1	0	0	1	25	26
16:30	27	3	0	0	0	30	30	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
16:45	21	0	0	0	0	21	21	23	0	1	0	0	24	25
н/тот	88	5	0	0	1	94	95	76	3	2	0	1	82	84
17:00	28	1	0	0	0	29	29	30	0	0	0	0	30	30
17:15	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	26	2	1	0	0	29	30
17:30	34	1	0	0	0	35	35	27	2	0	0	0	29	29
17:45	35	2	0	0	0	37	37	36	2	0	0	0	38	38
н/тот	130	4	0	0	0	134	134	119	6	1	0	0	126	127
18:00	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	38	2	0	0	0	40	40
18:15	33	3	0	0	0	36	36	22	1	0	0	0	23	23
18:30	28	1	0	0	0	29	29	37	2	0	0	0	39	39
18:45	24	0	0	0	0	24	24	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
н/тот	118	4	0	0	0	122	122	112	5	0	0	0	117	117
Р/ТОТ	1063	83	7	1	2	1156	1163	705	67	4	1	1	778	782

APRIL 2016 GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS ATH/16/036 MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

28th April 2016 SITE: 07

Thursday LOCATION: Eden Gate

		мо	VEMEN	IT 3					мо	VEME	NT 4			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	49	9	0	0	1	59	60	144	24	3	0	1	172	175
07:15	52	11	4	0	3	70	75	136	18	2	0	0	156	157
07:30	104	15	4	0	3	126	131	117	14	6	0	2	139	144
07:45	66	25	8	1	3	103	111	128	17	2	1	3	151	156
н/тот	271	60	16	1	10	358	377	525	73	13	1	6	618	632
08:00	79	12	4	1	1	97	101	139	15	6	0	1	161	165
08:15	102	18	6	1	1	128	133	126	16	5	0	3	150	156
08:30	145	12	1	0	0	158	159	131	15	3	1	1	151	155
08:45	109	15	3	0	4	131	137	135	18	2	0	1	156	158
н/тот	435	57	14	2	6	514	530	531	64	16	1	6	618	633
09:00	90	9	3	0	1	103	106	116	17	3	1	0	137	140
09:15	73	8	7	0	0	88	92	121	15	1	0	1	138	140
09:30	60	13	4	0	4	81	87	100	17	3	0	0	120	122
09:45	81	9	6	1	3	100	107	105	11	6	0	1	123	127
н/тот	304	39	20	1	8	372	391	442	60	13	1	2	518	528
10:00	57	12	9	0	2	80	87	70	16	6	0	0	92	95
10:15	61	9	5	0	1	76	80	67	16	4	1	0	88	91
10:30	58	7	6	1	0	72	76	64	15	4	0	0	83	85
10:45	64	13	2	2	0	81	85	62	14	4	0	1	81	84
н/тот	240	41	22	3	3	309	327	263	61	18	1	1	344	355
11:00	57	16	2	1	0	76	78	73	13	4	1	1	92	96
11:15	58	14	2	1	0	75	77	68	12	5	2	1	88	94
11:30	65	13	6	0	1	85	89	81	22	8	1	0	112	117
11:45	67	12	3	0	2	84	88	77	14	1	0	0	92	93
н/тот	247	55	13	2	3	320	332	299	61	18	4	2	384	400
12:00	85	15	4	2	0	106	111	71	12	4	0	4	91	97
12:15	63	9	4	0	0	76	78	82	16	4	3	0	105	111
12:30	73	18	8	1	1	101	107	86	20	7	1	2	116	123
12:45	77	11	4	1	0	93	96	89	14	2	1	0	106	108
н/тот	298	53	20	4	1	376	392	328	62	17	5	6	418	439

DATE:

DAY:

APRIL 2016 GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS ATH/16/036 MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

28th April 2016 SITE: 07

Thursday LOCATION: Eden Gate

		мо	VEMEN	іт з					мо	VEME	NT 4			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	75	9	10	0	0	94	99	73	15	5	2	0	95	100
13:15	67	7	5	1	0	80	84	61	13	7	1	2	84	91
13:30	98	14	6	0	1	119	123	103	11	1	0	0	115	116
13:45	79	15	3	0	1	98	101	64	21	4	1	0	90	93
н/тот	319	45	24	1	2	391	406	301	60	17	4	2	384	400
14:00	81	13	1	0	1	96	98	77	15	4	1	1	98	102
14:15	86	16	1	0	0	103	104	98	9	3	0	2	112	116
14:30	95	12	1	0	0	108	109	86	19	6	1	0	112	116
14:45	95	12	4	1	2	114	119	94	10	5	2	1	112	118
н/тот	357	53	7	1	3	421	429	355	53	18	4	4	434	452
15:00	76	8	3	1	0	88	91	92	14	9	0	2	117	124
15:15	92	11	4	0	1	108	111	85	25	7	0	1	118	123
15:30	114	14	7	3	1	139	147	91	12	3	1	0	107	110
15:45	88	17	3	1	1	110	114	94	20	8	0	4	126	134
н/тот	370	50	17	5	3	445	463	362	71	27	1	7	468	490
16:00	99	10	1	0	1	111	113	86	28	8	1	2	125	132
16:15	99	10	6	0	4	119	126	86	28	2	1	1	118	121
16:30	101	23	2	0	2	128	131	100	27	4	2	3	136	144
16:45	117	10	2	0	1	130	132	113	25	2	0	1	141	143
н/тот	416	53	11	0	8	488	502	385	108	16	4	7	520	540
17:00	83	14	2	0	0	99	100	138	32	2	1	2	175	179
17:15	118	10	0	0	0	128	128	141	22	2	1	1	167	170
17:30	149	13	2	0	1	165	167	127	21	2	0	3	153	157
17:45	262	34	9	1	4	310	320	122	16	0	0	0	138	138
н/тот	612	71	13	1	5	702	715	528	91	6	2	6	633	645
18:00	142	15	5	1	0	163	167	113	19	0	0	1	133	134
18:15	146	19	1	2	0	168	171	119	24	2	0	0	145	146
18:30	135	19	2	0	0	156	157	106	19	3	0	3	131	136
18:45	116	13	2	0	1	132	134	77	15	0	1	0	93	94
н/тот	539	66	10	3	1	619	629	415	77	5	1	4	502	510
Р/ТОТ	4408	643	187	24	53	5315	5493	4734	841	184	29	53	5841	6024

DATE:

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 08

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

		MO	VEMEN				MOVEMENT 2							MOVEMENT 3							
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
07:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
11:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	3
12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1

DAY:

DATE:

Thursday

28th April 2016

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

Turning Counts vis-Cite 9

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

28th April 2016

Thursday

08

SITE:

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

		мо	VEMEN	NT 1					мо	VEMEN	т 2			MOVEMENT 3							
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р/ТОТ	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	1	0	0	12	13

DAY:

DATE:
MOVEMENT 6a

08

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

MOVEMENT 4

SITE:

TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	50	10	0	0	1	61	62	3	1	1	0	0	5	6	0	1	0	1	0	2	3
07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	13	4	0	3	77	82	2	2	1	0	0	5	6	2	1	0	0	0	3	3
07:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	111	15	4	0	2	132	136	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	2	1	0	0	9	10
07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	27	8	1	1	112	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	1	0	0	11	12
н/тот	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	293	65	16	1	7	382	398	9	3	2	0	0	14	15	15	7	2	1	0	25	27
08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	15	4	1	1	106	110	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	19	6	1	1	136	141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	13
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	150	15	1	1	0	167	169	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	8	3	0	0	0	11	11
08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	17	3	0	4	148	154	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	16	3	0	0	0	19	19
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	468	66	14	3	6	557	574	1	1	1	0	2	5	8	40	6	0	0	0	46	46
09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	9	3	0	1	113	116	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	1	1	0	1	11	13
09:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	83	9	7	0	0	99	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	1	0	0	16	17
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	14	4	0	4	93	99	0	1	0	0	3	4	7	9	3	3	1	0	16	19
09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	10	6	1	3	104	111	1	1	0	1	1	4	6	8	0	1	0	0	9	10
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	338	42	20	1	8	409	428	3	2	0	1	4	10	15	37	7	6	1	1	52	57
10:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	58	13	9	0	2	82	89	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	13	1	0	0	0	14	14
10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	10	5	0	1	81	85	2	0	0	1	0	3	4	7	3	3	1	0	14	17
10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	7	6	1	0	76	80	2	1	0	0	1	4	5	8	2	1	0	0	11	12
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	15	2	2	0	89	93	1	0	0	1	0	2	3	7	3	1	0	0	11	12
н/тот	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	255	45	22	3	3	328	346	6	1	0	2	2	11	16	35	9	5	1	0	50	54
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	16	2	1	0	79	81	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4	0	0	0	10	10
11:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	59	15	1	1	0	76	78	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	3	0	0	0	8	8
11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	14	6	0	0	87	90	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	1	0	0	0	13	13
11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	13	3	0	2	92	96	0	0	2	0	0	2	3	4	4	2	0	0	10	11
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	260	58	12	2	2	334	345	3	0	2	0	0	5	6	27	12	2	0	0	41	42
12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	17	4	2	0	114	119	2	1	0	1	0	4	5	8	3	1	0	0	12	13
12:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	67	9	4	0	0	80	82	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	10	0	3	0	0	13	15
12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	17	8	1	1	103	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12
12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	12	4	1	0	98	101	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	11	0	1	0	0	12	13
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	315	55	20	4	1	395	411	2	2	0	3	0	7	11	38	6	5	0	0	49	52

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

MOVEMENT 5

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

DAY:

DATE:

MOVEMENT 6

Thursday

28th April 2016

Ath~16~036 Junction Turning Counts.xls~Site 8

ABACUS TRANSPORTATION SURVEYS

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

SITE: 08

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

		мо	VEME	NT 4					мо	/EMEN	IT 5					мо	VEMEN	IT 6					моу	EMEN	T 6a			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	9	10	0	0	103	108	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4	0	0	0	13	13
13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	7	5	1	0	90	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	1	0	0	17	18
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	15	6	0	0	122	125	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	13	2	0	0	0	15	15
13:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	16	3	0	1	101	104	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	13	2	0	0	0	15	15
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	343	47	24	1	1	416	430	4	0	1	0	0	5	6	50	9	1	0	0	60	61
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	13	1	0	1	101	103	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	23	2	0	0	0	25	25
14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	17	1	0	0	114	115	2	0	1	1	0	4	6	4	2	1	0	0	7	8
14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	13	1	0	0	114	115	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	11	4	2	0	0	17	18
14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	10	4	1	2	125	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	390	53	7	1	3	454	462	4	1	1	1	0	7	9	47	10	3	0	0	60	62
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	9	3	1	0	94	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	1	0	0	11	12
15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	12	4	0	1	117	120	1	1	0	0	1	3	4	16	2	0	1	2	21	24
15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	14	7	3	1	151	159	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	11	3	0	0	0	14	14
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	18	4	0	1	117	120	2	0	0	1	0	3	4	10	3	3	0	0	16	18
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	401	53	18	4	3	479	496	3	1	0	1	2	7	10	44	11	4	1	2	62	67
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	12	2	0	1	124	126	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	18	4	2	0	1	25	27
16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	115	11	6	0	5	137	145	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	2	1	1	0	10	12
16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	23	2	0	2	138	141	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	15	4	1	0	0	20	21
16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	10	3	0	1	143	146	0	1	0	1	1	3	5	8	3	2	0	0	13	14
Н/ТОТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	464	56	13	0	9	542	558	2	2	0	1	2	7	10	47	13	6	1	1	68	73
17:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	101	13	2	0	0	116	117	1	4	0	1	2	8	11	11	4	3	0	0	18	20
17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	12	1	0	0	149	150	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	18	1	1	0	0	20	21
17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	167	14	2	0	0	183	184	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	12	4	1	0	0	17	18
17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	291	35	9	1	4	340	350	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	18	1	1	0	0	20	21
Н/ТОТ	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	695	74	14	1	4	788	800	5	4	0	1	6	16	23	59	10	6	0	0	75	78
18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	172	17	5	1	0	195	199	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	16	1	1	0	0	18	19
18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161	19	1	2	0	183	186	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	17	0	1	0	0	18	19
18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	20	2	0	0	178	179	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	13	2	0	1	142	144	5	0	0	0	1	6	7	10	2	1	0	0	13	14
н/тот	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	615	69	10	3	1	698	708	6	2	0	1	1	10	12	52	3	3	0	0	58	60
P/TOT	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	4837	683	190	24	48	5782	5956	48	19	7	11	19	104	141	491	103	43	5	4	646	678

Thursday

28th April 2016

DATE:

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

08 SITE:

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

		мо	VEMEN	NT 7					мо	VEMEN	Т 8					мо	VEMEN	IT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	0	0	3	0	6	9	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	3	0	0	0	4	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3
07:45	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Н/ТОТ	3	1	3	0	14	21	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	5
08:00	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	1	3	0	0	1	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
09:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
09:15	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
09:45	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	5	5	0	0	0	10	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
10:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10:15	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10:30	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45	1	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	3	1	1	1	1	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
11:30	3	0	0	1	3	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
11:45	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н/ТОТ	3	0	1	1	3	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	3
12:00	1	1	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:15	0	2	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
12:30	1	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Н/ТОТ	2	4	2	1	0	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3

28th April 2016

Thursday

DAY:

DATE:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

on Turning Counto yes. Cita 9

APRIL 2016 ATH/16/036

28th April 2016

Thursday

SITE: 08

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

		мо	VEMEN	NT 7					мо	VEMEN	IT 8					мо	VEMEN	IT 9			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
13:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
13:45	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
н/тот	2	0	0	1	1	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	6	7
14:00	1	1	1	0	1	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30	1	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
14:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н/тот	6	1	2	0	2	11	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	1	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3
Н/ТОТ	4	1	0	1	0	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:15	1	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:30	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Н/ТОТ	4	1	1	0	0	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
17:00	2	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
17:15	2	1	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	2	2	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
17:45	4	0	0	1	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
Н/ТОТ	10	3	1	1	1	16	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	6	6
18:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
18:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
18:30	4	0	0	0	1	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
18:45	5	1	0	1	0	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Н/ТОТ	12	1	0	1	1	15	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4
P/TOT	55	21	11	7	24	118	157	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	21	11	0	1	4	37	42

DAY:

DATE:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

ATH/16/036

Thursday

DATE: 28th April 2016

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

08

SITE:

		мо	/EMEN	т 10					моу	EMEN	т 11					мо\	VEMENT	12					моу	EMENT	12a			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
07:00	1	1	1	0	0	3	4	140	23	2	0	1	166	168	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	18	2	0	0	155	156	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	110	14	6	0	1	131	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
07:45	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	123	17	2	1	2	145	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	5	6
н/тот	3	1	1	0	1	6	8	508	72	12	1	4	597	608	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	12	0	0	0	1	13	14
08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	130	14	6	0	1	151	155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10
08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	15	5	0	3	145	151	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	15	3	1	1	141	145	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
08:45	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	130	17	2	0	1	150	152	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	503	61	16	1	6	587	602	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	27	2	0	0	0	29	29
09:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	110	15	3	1	0	129	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6
09:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	119	15	1	0	0	135	136	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	16	3	0	0	115	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5
09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	11	6	0	1	118	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
н/тот	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	425	57	13	1	1	497	506	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	3	0	0	0	18	18
10:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	66	16	6	0	0	88	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	16	4	1	0	84	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	15	4	0	0	79	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	12	3	0	1	78	81	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
н/тот	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	251	59	17	1	1	329	340	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	11	1	0	0	0	12	12
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	13	4	1	1	88	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	11	4	2	1	82	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	6	7
11:30	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	78	21	8	0	0	107	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	14	1	0	0	86	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	282	59	17	3	2	363	377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	1	1	0	0	19	20
12:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	61	12	4	0	4	81	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
12:15	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	79	15	4	3	0	101	107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	18	7	1	2	112	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4
12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	14	2	1	0	99	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
н/тот	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	306	59	17	5	6	393	414	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2	0	0	0	22	22

55

DAY:

GREYSTONES TRAFFIC COUNTS MANUAL CLASSIFIED JUNCTION TURNING COUNTS

DATE: 28th April 2016

LOCATION: R774/Tony Doyle Coaches

08

SITE:

		мо	VEMEN	т 10					моу	EMENT	11					мо	/EMENT	12					MOVE	MENT	12a			
TIME	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	0GV10	GV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV10	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тот	PCU
13:00	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	66	13	5	2	0	86	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6
13:15	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	58	12	7	1	2	80	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
13:30	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	95	11	1	0	0	107	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
13:45	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	57	20	4	1	0	82	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
н/тот	5	2	0	0	0	7	7	276	56	17	4	2	355	371	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2	0	0	0	22	22
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	13	4	1	1	90	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8
14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	9	3	0	2	110	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	19	6	1	0	107	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
14:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	88	8	5	2	1	104	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	336	49	18	4	4	411	429	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	4	0	0	0	21	21
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	14	9	0	2	113	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
15:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	78	25	7	0	1	111	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
15:30	1	1	0	1	0	3	4	86	11	3	0	0	100	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	20	8	0	4	120	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	2	1	0	1	0	4	5	340	70	27	0	7	444	465	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	28	8	1	2	122	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
16:15	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	79	28	2	1	1	111	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
16:30	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	95	27	4	2	2	130	137	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
16:45	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	102	24	2	0	1	129	131	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	9
н/тот	2	1	0	0	1	4	5	359	107	16	4	6	492	511	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	23	0	0	0	0	23	23
17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	32	2	1	2	164	168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11
17:15	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	133	21	2	1	1	158	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8
17:30	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	118	21	2	0	0	141	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	9	10
17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116	16	0	0	0	132	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
н/тот	1	1	0	0	2	4	6	494	90	6	2	3	595	604	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	1	34	35
18:00	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	105	19	0	0	1	125	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	113	23	2	0	0	138	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7
18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	19	3	0	3	115	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	16	16
18:45	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	71	15	0	1	0	87	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
н/тот	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	379	76	5	1	4	465	473	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	1	0	0	0	33	33
Р/ТОТ	24	9	1	2	5	41	49	4459	815	181	27	46	5528	5700	4	1	1	0	0	6	7	247	16	1	0	2	266	269

DAY:

Thursday



























Junctions 8

PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Kilcoole Rd Access - Sc1- post dev - base year.arc8 Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:32:54

- « Scenario 1 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 1, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM			
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS
		Sc	enari	o 1 -	Post Dev -	Base Year - S	cenario 1			
Stream B-AC	1.36	20.94	0.58	С		0.69	17.64	0.41	С	
Stream C-A	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stream C-B	0.09	8.53	0.08	А	С	0.35	10.09	0.26	В	В
Stream A-B	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stream A-C	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30 "D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:32:54



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Kilcoole Road/Development Access
Site Number	N/A
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Kilcoole Road Access will serve the Eastern side of the proposed Farrankelly development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 1 only the Kilcoole Road access is open. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Major Road Direction	Arm Order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Kilcoole Road access	T-Junction	Two-way	A,B,C	19.31	С

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm Type
Α	Kilcoole Road		Major
В	Kilcoole Road access		Minor
С	Kilcoole Road		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)Has kerbed central reserve		Width of kerbed centralHas rightreserve (m)turn bay		Width For Right Turn (m)	Width For RightVisibility For RightTurn (m)Turn (m)		Blocking Queue (PCU)
С	6.20		0.00		2.20	49.00		

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor Arm Type	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (Left) (m)	Lane Width (Right) (m)	Width at give-way (m)	Width at 5m (m)	Width at 10m (m)	Width at 15m (m)	Width at 20m (m)	Estimate Flare Length	Flare Length (PCU)	Visibility To Left (m)	Visibility To Right (m)
в	One lane	2.75										49	49

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
Α	None
В	None
С	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
1	B-A	504.721	0.091	0.230	0.145	0.329
1	B-C	638.395	0.097	0.245	-	-
1	C-B	602.340	0.231	0.231	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
Α	ONE HOUR	~	566.00	100.000
в	ONE HOUR	~	218.00	100.000
С	ONE HOUR	~	312.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То								
		Α	В	С						
From	Α	0.000	61.000	505.000						
From	В	77.000	0.000	141.000						
	С	279.000	33.000	0.000						

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То					
		Α	В	С		
From	Α	0.00	0.11	0.89		
From	В	0.35	0.00	0.65		
	С	0.89	0.11	0.00		

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То							
		Α	В	С				
From	Α	1.000	1.000	1.000				
From	В	1.000	1.000	1.000				
	С	1.000	1.000	1.000				

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То						
		Α	В	С				
	Α	0.000	0.000	0.000				
From	В	0.000	0.000	0.000				
	С	0.000	0.000	0.000				



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.58	20.94	1.36	С
C-A	-	-	-	-
С-В	0.08	8.53	0.09	А
A-B	-	-	-	-
A-C	-	-	-	-

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	164.12	162.00	0.00	467.36	0.351	0.53	11.711	В
C-A	210.05	210.05	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	24.84	24.64	0.00	503.76	0.049	0.05	7.510	Α
A-B	45.92	45.92	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	380.19	380.19	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	195.98	195.02	0.00	444.10	0.441	0.77	14.394	В
C-A	250.82	250.82	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	29.67	29.61	0.00	484.62	0.061	0.06	7.910	А
A-B	54.84	54.84	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	453.98	453.98	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	240.02	237.77	0.00	411.49	0.583	1.33	20.452	С
C-A	307.18	307.18	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	36.33	36.25	0.00	458.17	0.079	0.09	8.529	А
A-B	67.16	67.16	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	556.02	556.02	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	240.02	239.89	0.00	411.48	0.583	1.36	20.936	С
C-A	307.18	307.18	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	36.33	36.33	0.00	458.17	0.079	0.09	8.533	А
A-B	67.16	67.16	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	556.02	556.02	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	195.98	198.18	0.00	444.07	0.441	0.81	14.770	В
C-A	250.82	250.82	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	29.67	29.75	0.00	484.62	0.061	0.07	7.916	А
A-B	54.84	54.84	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	453.98	453.98	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	164.12	165.16	0.00	467.31	0.351	0.55	11.955	В
C-A	210.05	210.05	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	24.84	24.90	0.00	503.76	0.049	0.05	7.520	А
A-B	45.92	45.92	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	380.19	380.19	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Junctions 8

PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Kilcoole Rd Access - Sc1- post dev - design year.arc8 Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:36:25

- « Scenario 1 Post Dev Des Year Scenario 1, AM
- » Junction Network
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM				
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	
		Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Des Year - Scenario 1									
Stream B-AC	1.53	23.52	0.61	С		0.77	19.83	0.44	С		
Stream C-A	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream C-B	0.09	8.84	0.08	А	С	0.37	10.67	0.27	В	С	
Stream A-B	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream A-C	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30 "D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:36:24



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Kilcoole Road/Development Access
Site Number	N/A
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Kilcoole Road Access will serve the Eastern side of the proposed Farrankelly development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Des Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Des Year	In Scenario 1 only the Kilcoole Road access is open. The year considered is the design year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Major Road Direction	Arm Order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Kilcoole Road access	T-Junction	Two-way	A,B,C	21.59	С

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm Type
Α	Kilcoole Road		Major
В	Kilcoole Road access		Minor
С	Kilcoole Road		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Width of kerbed central reserve (m)	Has right turn bay	Width For Right Turn (m)	Visibility For Right Turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking Queue (PCU)
С	6.20		0.00		2.20	49.00		

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor Arm Type	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (Left) (m)	Lane Width (Right) (m)	Width at give-way (m)	Width at 5m (m)	Width at 10m (m)	Width at 15m (m)	Width at 20m (m)	Estimate Flare Length	Flare Length (PCU)	Visibility To Left (m)	Visibility To Right (m)
в	One lane	2.75										49	49

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
Α	None
В	None
С	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
1	B-A	504.721	0.091	0.230	0.145	0.329
1	B-C	638.395	0.097	0.245	-	-
1	C-B	602.340	0.231	0.231	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
Α	ONE HOUR	~	624.00	100.000
в	ONE HOUR	~	218.00	100.000
С	ONE HOUR	~	343.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То							
		Α	В	С				
From	Α	0.000	61.000	563.000				
	В	77.000	0.000	141.000				
	С	310.000	33.000	0.000				

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То							
From		Α	В	С					
	Α	0.00	0.10	0.90					
	В	0.35	0.00	0.65					
	С	0.90	0.10	0.00					

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То							
		Α	В	С					
From	Α	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	В	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	С	1.000	1.000	1.000					

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То							
		Α	В	С					
-	Α	0.000	0.000	0.000					
From	В	0.000	0.000	0.000					
	С	0.000	0.000	0.000					



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.61	23.52	1.53	С
C-A	-	-	-	-
С-В	0.08	8.84	0.09	А
A-B	-	-	-	-
A-C	-	-	-	-

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	164.12	161.91	0.00	454.75	0.361	0.55	12.204	В
C-A	233.38	233.38	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	24.84	24.63	0.00	493.66	0.050	0.05	7.671	Α
A-B	45.92	45.92	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	423.86	423.86	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	195.98	194.92	0.00	428.87	0.457	0.82	15.315	С
C-A	278.68	278.68	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	29.67	29.61	0.00	472.56	0.063	0.07	8.126	А
A-B	54.84	54.84	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	506.13	506.13	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	240.02	237.36	0.00	392.48	0.612	1.48	22.811	С
C-A	341.32	341.32	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	36.33	36.25	0.00	443.40	0.082	0.09	8.840	А
A-B	67.16	67.16	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	619.87	619.87	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	240.02	239.85	0.00	392.46	0.612	1.53	23.515	С
C-A	341.32	341.32	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	36.33	36.33	0.00	443.40	0.082	0.09	8.843	Α
A-B	67.16	67.16	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	619.87	619.87	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	195.98	198.61	0.00	428.85	0.457	0.87	15.809	С
C-A	278.68	278.68	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	29.67	29.75	0.00	472.56	0.063	0.07	8.131	А
A-B	54.84	54.84	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	506.13	506.13	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	164.12	165.28	0.00	454.70	0.361	0.58	12.490	В
C-A	233.38	233.38	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	24.84	24.90	0.00	493.66	0.050	0.05	7.680	Α
A-B	45.92	45.92	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	423.86	423.86	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Junctions 8

PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Priory Rd Access - Sc2- post dev - base year.arc8 Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc2 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:39:49

- « Scenario 2 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 2, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM				
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	
	Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 2										
Stream B-AC	0.12	8.07	0.11	A		0.04	6.80	0.04	A		
Stream C-A	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream C-B	0.03	6.39	0.03	А	А	0.04	6.60	0.04	А	А	
Stream A-B	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream A-C	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 2, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30 "D2 - Scenario 2, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:39:48



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Priory Road/Development Access
Site Number	N/A
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	
Description	Priory Road Access will serve the South-western side of the proposed Farrankelly development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	esidual Capacity Criteria RFC		idual Capacity Criteria RFC Average Delay Threshold (s)		Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Type Threshold				(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00		

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 2 both the site accesses are open to the traffic. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 2, AM	Scenario 2	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Major Road Direction	Arm Order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Priory Road Junction	T-Junction	Two-way	A,B,C	7.70	А

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm Type
Α	Priory Road		Major
В	Priory Road access		Minor
С	Priory Road		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Width of rriageway (m) Has kerbed central reserve Width of kerbed central reserve (m)		Has right turn bay	Width For Right Turn (m)	Visibility For Right Turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking Queue (PCU)
С	6.00		0.00		2.20	49.00		

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor Arm Type	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (Left) (m)	Lane Width (Right) (m)	Width at give-way (m)	Width at 5m (m)	Width at 10m (m)	Width at 15m (m)	Width at 20m (m)	Estimate Flare Length	Flare Length (PCU)	Visibility To Left (m)	Visibility To Right (m)
в	One lane	2.75										49	49

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
Α	None
В	None
С	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
1	B-A	504.721	0.092	0.232	0.146	0.332
1	B-C	638.395	0.098	0.247	-	-
1	C-B	602.340	0.233	0.233	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
Α	ONE HOUR	~	91.00	100.000
в	ONE HOUR	~	50.00	100.000
С	ONE HOUR	~	136.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То	
		Α	В	С
From	Α	0.000	7.000	84.000
FIOM	В	33.000	0.000	17.000
	С	122.000	14.000	0.000

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		Α	В	С			
From	Α	0.00	0.08	0.92			
From	В	0.66	0.00	0.34			
	С	0.90	0.10	0.00			

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		Α	В	С			
From	Α	1.000	1.000	1.000			
FIOI	В	1.000	1.000	1.000			
	С	1.000	1.000	1.000			

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		Α	В	С			
From	Α	0.000	0.000	0.000			
From	В	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	С	0.000	0.000	0.000			



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.11	8.07	0.12	А
C-A	-	-	-	-
С-В	0.03	6.39	0.03	А
A-B	-	-	-	-
A-C	-	-	-	-

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	37.64	37.33	0.00	514.70	0.073	0.08	7.536	А
C-A	91.85	91.85	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	10.54	10.47	0.00	586.35	0.018	0.02	6.251	А
A-B	5.27	5.27	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	63.24	63.24	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	44.95	44.88	0.00	509.06	0.088	0.10	7.754	Α
C-A	109.68	109.68	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	12.59	12.57	0.00	583.25	0.022	0.02	6.307	Α
A-B	6.29	6.29	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	75.51	75.51	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	55.05	54.95	0.00	501.27	0.110	0.12	8.064	А
C-A	134.32	134.32	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	15.41	15.39	0.00	578.96	0.027	0.03	6.387	Α
A-B	7.71	7.71	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	92.49	92.49	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	55.05	55.05	0.00	501.27	0.110	0.12	8.067	А
C-A	134.32	134.32	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	15.41	15.41	0.00	578.96	0.027	0.03	6.387	Α
A-B	7.71	7.71	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	92.49	92.49	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	44.95	45.05	0.00	509.05	0.088	0.10	7.760	А
C-A	109.68	109.68	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	12.59	12.61	0.00	583.25	0.022	0.02	6.310	Α
A-B	6.29	6.29	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	75.51	75.51	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	37.64	37.72	0.00	514.67	0.073	0.08	7.551	А
C-A	91.85	91.85	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	10.54	10.56	0.00	586.35	0.018	0.02	6.254	Α
A-B	5.27	5.27	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	63.24	63.24	0.00	-	-	-	-	-


Junctions 8

PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Priory Rd Access - Sc2- post dev - design year.arc8 Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc2 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:42:40

- « Scenario 2 Post Dev Des Year Scenario 2, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM				PM					
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	
		Sc	enari	o 2 -	Post Dev -	v - Des Year - Scenario 2					
Stream B-AC	0.12	8.11	0.11	A		0.04	6.86	0.04	A		
Stream C-A	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream C-B	0.01	6.33	0.01	А	А	0.04	6.65	0.04	А	А	
Stream A-B	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Stream A-C	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 2, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30 "D2 - Scenario 2, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:42:39



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Priory Road/Development Access
Site Number	N/A
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	Caim
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	
Description	Priory Road Access will serve the South-western side of the proposed Farrankelly development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold	
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)	
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00	

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Des Year - Scenario 2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Des Year	In Scenario 2 both the site accesses are open to the traffic. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 2, AM	Scenario 2	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Major Road Direction	Arm Order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Priory Road Junction	T-Junction	Two-way	A,B,C	7.89	А

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm Type
Α	Priory Road		Major
В	Priory Road		Minor
С	Priory Road		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Width of kerbed central reserve (m)	Has right turn bay	Width For Right Turn (m)	Visibility For Right Turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking Queue (PCU)
С	6.00		0.00		2.20	49.00		

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor Arm Type	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (Left) (m)	Lane Width (Right) (m)	Width at give-way (m)	Width at 5m (m)	Width at 10m (m)	Width at 15m (m)	Width at 20m (m)	Estimate Flare Length	Flare Length (PCU)	Visibility To Left (m)	Visibility To Right (m)
в	One lane	2.75										49	49

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
Α	None
В	None
С	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
1	B-A	504.721	0.092	0.232	0.146	0.332
1	B-C	638.395	0.098	0.247	-	-
1	C-B	602.340	0.233	0.233	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
Α	ONE HOUR	~	100.00	100.000
в	ONE HOUR	~	50.00	100.000
С	ONE HOUR	~	143.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То						
F		Α	В	С			
	Α	0.000	7.000	93.000			
FIOI	В	33.000	0.000	17.000			
	С	136.000	7.000	0.000			

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То				
From		Α	В	С	
	Α	0.00	0.07	0.93	
	В	0.66	0.00	0.34	
	С	0.95	0.05	0.00	

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		Α	В	С			
F	Α	1.000	1.000	1.000			
FIOI	В	1.000	1.000	1.000			
	С	1.000	1.000	1.000			

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То					
From		Α	В	С		
	Α	0.000	0.000	0.000		
	в	0.000	0.000	0.000		
	С	0.000	0.000	0.000		



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.11	8.11	0.12	А
C-A	-	-	-	-
С-В	0.01	6.33	0.01	А
A-B	-	-	-	-
A-C	-	-	-	-

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	37.64	37.33	0.00	513.24	0.073	0.08	7.559	А
C-A	102.39	102.39	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	5.27	5.23	0.00	584.77	0.009	0.01	6.211	Α
A-B	5.27	5.27	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	70.02	70.02	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	44.95	44.88	0.00	507.33	0.089	0.10	7.784	Α
C-A	122.26	122.26	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	6.29	6.29	0.00	581.36	0.011	0.01	6.259	А
A-B	6.29	6.29	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	83.61	83.61	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	55.05	54.95	0.00	499.15	0.110	0.12	8.102	А
C-A	149.74	149.74	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	7.71	7.70	0.00	576.64	0.013	0.01	6.326	А
A-B	7.71	7.71	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	102.39	102.39	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	55.05	55.05	0.00	499.15	0.110	0.12	8.106	Α
C-A	149.74	149.74	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	7.71	7.71	0.00	576.64	0.013	0.01	6.326	Α
А-В	7.71	7.71	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	102.39	102.39	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	44.95	45.05	0.00	507.33	0.089	0.10	7.790	А
C-A	122.26	122.26	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	6.29	6.30	0.00	581.36	0.011	0.01	6.262	А
A-B	6.29	6.29	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	83.61	83.61	0.00	-	-	-	-	-

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-AC	37.64	37.72	0.00	513.23	0.073	0.08	7.571	А
C-A	102.39	102.39	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
С-В	5.27	5.28	0.00	584.77	0.009	0.01	6.211	Α
A-B	5.27	5.27	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
A-C	70.02	70.02	0.00	-	-	-	-	-



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 2 - Sc1- post dev- base year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:49:02

- « Scenario 1 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 1, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM			
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS
		Sc	enari	o 1 -	Post Dev -	Base Year - S	cenario 1			
Arm 1	0.32	2.31	0.24	А		0.57	2.91	0.36	А	
Arm 2	0.83	4.09	0.46	А	٥	0.65	3.90	0.40	А	•
Arm 3	0.64	2.86	0.39	А	A	0.86	3.22	0.46	А	A
Arm 4	0.44	3.93	0.31	Α		0.77	5.41	0.44	А	

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:49:01



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Kilcoole Roundabout
Site Number	2
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Kilcoole Roundabout is accessed from the north by Kilcoole Road, directly connected to Kilcoole Road access.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 1 only the Kilcoole Road access is open. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Site 2 - Kilcoole roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3,4			3.29	А

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description
1	R774	
2	R761	
3	R774 - Farrankelly Road	
4	R761 - Kilcoole road	

Roundabout Geometry

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	6.30	8.40	12.10	35.70	66.00	23.00	
2	3.30	8.60	19.70	227.00	66.00	35.00	
3	6.80	8.20	10.90	101.90	66.00	35.00	
4	3.40	8.30	14.70	22.50	66.00	34.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
1	None
2	None
3	None
4	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.654	2424.151
2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.566	1913.611
3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.646	2412.866
4		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.528	1733.833

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	ONE HOUR	✓	458.00	100.000
2	ONE HOUR	~	670.00	100.000
3	ONE HOUR	~	736.00	100.000
4	ONE HOUR	✓	365.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То		
		1	2	3	4
	1	0.000	116.000	293.000	49.000
From	2	169.000	0.000	234.000	267.000
	3	346.000	175.000	27.000	188.000
	4	65.000	194.000	106.000	0.000

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То		
		1	2	3	4
	1	0.00	0.25	0.64	0.11
From	2	0.25	0.00	0.35	0.40
	3	0.47	0.24	0.04	0.26
	4	0.18	0.53	0.29	0.00

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То		
		1	2	3	4
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
From	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То		
		1	2	3	4
	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
From	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.24	2.31	0.32	А
2	0.46	4.09	0.83	А
3	0.39	2.86	0.64	А
4	0.31	3.93	0.44	А

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	344.81	344.06	376.79	0.00	2177.70	0.158	0.19	1.962	А
2	504.41	502.75	356.72	0.00	1711.64	0.295	0.42	2.974	Α
3	554.10	552.74	363.97	0.00	2177.61	0.254	0.34	2.213	Α
4	274.79	273.86	538.36	0.00	1449.56	0.190	0.23	3.057	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	411.73	411.53	450.93	0.00	2129.21	0.193	0.24	2.095	Α
2	602.32	601.74	426.76	0.00	1671.98	0.360	0.56	3.362	Α
3	661.65	661.22	435.61	0.00	2131.31	0.310	0.45	2.449	Α
4	328.13	327.83	644.10	0.00	1393.73	0.235	0.31	3.377	Α

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	504.27	503.93	552.07	0.00	2063.05	0.244	0.32	2.309	Α
2	737.68	736.60	522.56	0.00	1617.74	0.456	0.83	4.080	Α
3	810.35	809.58	533.25	0.00	2068.20	0.392	0.64	2.859	Α
4	401.87	401.35	788.58	0.00	1317.44	0.305	0.44	3.928	Α

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	504.27	504.26	552.71	0.00	2062.64	0.244	0.32	2.309	Α
2	737.68	737.67	522.98	0.00	1617.50	0.456	0.83	4.091	Α
3	810.35	810.34	533.99	0.00	2067.72	0.392	0.64	2.862	Α
4	401.87	401.87	789.42	0.00	1316.99	0.305	0.44	3.933	Α



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	411.73	412.06	451.92	0.00	2128.56	0.193	0.24	2.099	Α
2	602.32	603.39	427.44	0.00	1671.60	0.360	0.57	3.375	Α
3	661.65	662.41	436.74	0.00	2130.58	0.311	0.45	2.452	Α
4	328.13	328.64	645.41	0.00	1393.04	0.236	0.31	3.385	Α

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	344.81	345.01	378.30	0.00	2176.71	0.158	0.19	1.966	Α
2	504.41	505.00	357.86	0.00	1710.99	0.295	0.42	2.985	Α
3	554.10	554.54	365.54	0.00	2176.60	0.255	0.34	2.219	A
4	274.79	275.09	540.27	0.00	1448.55	0.190	0.24	3.070	Α



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 2 - Sc1- post dev-design year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 13:53:58

- « Scenaio 1 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 1, AM
- » Junction Network
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM			
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS
	Scenaio 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1									
Arm 1	0.38	2.44	0.28	А		0.72	3.26	0.42	А	
Arm 2	1.09	4.78	0.52	А	٥	0.88	4.57	0.47	А	٨
Arm 3	0.77	3.15	0.44	А	A	1.19	3.89	0.55	А	А
Arm 4	0.51	4.27	0.34	Α		0.95	6.36	0.49	А	

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 13:53:57



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Kilcoole Roundabout
Site Number	2
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Kilcoole Roundabout is accessed from the north by Kilcoole Road, directly connected to Kilcoole Road access.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenaio 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenaio 1 - Post Dev - Base Year			100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Site 2 - Kilcoole roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3,4			3.68	A

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown



Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description
1	R774	
2	R761	
3	R774 - Farrankelly Road	
4	R761 - Kilcoole road	

Roundabout Geometry

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	6.30	8.40	12.10	35.70	66.00	23.00	
2	3.30	8.60	19.70	227.00	66.00	35.00	
3	6.80	8.20	10.90	101.90	66.00	35.00	
4	3.40	8.30	14.70	22.50	66.00	34.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

Arm	Crossing Type
1	None
2	None
3	None
4	None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.654	2424.151
2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.566	1913.611
3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.646	2412.866
4		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.528	1733.833

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	ONE HOUR	✓	515.00	100.000
2	ONE HOUR	~	753.00	100.000
3	ONE HOUR	~	804.00	100.000
4	ONE HOUR	✓	396.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

			То		
		1	2	3	4
	1	0.000	131.000	332.000	52.000
From	2	187.000	0.000	266.000	300.000
	3	388.000	175.000	31.000	210.000
	4	68.000	212.000	116.000	0.000

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		1	2	3	4		
	1	0.00	0.25	0.64	0.10		
From	2	0.25	0.00	0.35	0.40		
	3	0.48	0.22	0.04	0.26		
	4	0.17	0.54	0.29	0.00		

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3	4			
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
From	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
	4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3	4			
	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
From	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.28	2.44	0.38	А
2	0.52	4.78	1.09	А
3	0.44	3.15	0.77	А
4	0.34	4.27	0.51	А

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	387.72	386.85	400.75	0.00	2162.03	0.179	0.22	2.027	Α
2	566.90	564.89	398.75	0.00	1687.84	0.336	0.50	3.200	Α
3	605.29	603.73	404.40	0.00	2151.48	0.281	0.39	2.324	Α
4	298.13	297.08	586.33	0.00	1424.24	0.209	0.26	3.191	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	462.97	462.73	479.63	0.00	2110.44	0.219	0.28	2.184	Α
2	676.93	676.16	477.05	0.00	1643.51	0.412	0.70	3.717	Α
3	722.78	722.25	484.03	0.00	2100.02	0.344	0.52	2.613	Α
4	356.00	355.64	701.52	0.00	1363.41	0.261	0.35	3.572	Α

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	567.03	566.61	587.16	0.00	2040.10	0.278	0.38	2.443	Α
2	829.07	827.50	584.11	0.00	1582.90	0.524	1.09	4.756	Α
3	885.22	884.24	592.39	0.00	2029.97	0.436	0.77	3.139	Α
4	436.00	435.36	858.78	0.00	1280.37	0.341	0.51	4.258	Α

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	567.03	567.02	587.94	0.00	2039.59	0.278	0.38	2.444	Α
2	829.07	829.04	584.64	0.00	1582.60	0.524	1.09	4.777	Α
3	885.22	885.21	593.43	0.00	2029.30	0.436	0.77	3.145	Α
4	436.00	436.00	859.88	0.00	1279.79	0.341	0.51	4.266	Α



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	462.97	463.38	480.83	0.00	2109.65	0.219	0.28	2.188	Α
2	676.93	678.49	477.89	0.00	1643.04	0.412	0.71	3.737	A
3	722.78	723.75	485.60	0.00	2099.00	0.344	0.53	2.621	A
4	356.00	356.63	703.21	0.00	1362.52	0.261	0.36	3.583	Α

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	387.72	387.97	402.46	0.00	2160.91	0.179	0.22	2.030	Α
2	566.90	567.69	400.08	0.00	1687.09	0.336	0.51	3.220	Α
3	605.29	605.83	406.32	0.00	2150.24	0.282	0.39	2.333	Α
4	298.13	298.49	588.57	0.00	1423.05	0.210	0.27	3.204	Α



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 4 - Sc1- post dev- base year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 14:02:10

- « Scenario 1 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 1, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM		PM							
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	
		Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1									
Junction 1 - Arm 1	0.79	9.22	0.45	Α		1.00	8.32	0.50	А	A	
Junction 1 - Arm 2	1.03	8.20	0.51	А	С	1.69	11.32	0.63	В		
Junction 1 - Arm 3	7.11	28.52	0.89	D		0.25	4.10	0.20	А		
Junction 2 - Arm 1	0.75	5.00	0.43	Α		0.21	3.51	0.18	А		
Junction 2 - Arm 2	0.98	10.28	0.50	В	В	1.47	11.85	0.60	В	А	
Junction 2 - Arm 3	3.47	18.07	0.78	С		0.92	7.59	0.48	А		

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 14:02:07



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Killincarrig Cross Roads
Site Number	4
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Killincarrig Cross Roadst is the greater affected roundabout by the proposed development. It will be accessed from the south by Kilcoole Road, directly connected to Kilcoole Road access.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

12L

AT 2 AT 2	
	20.00 m
Text overlays show original input turning counts (PCUIhr). They do NOT indicate junction performance. Time Segment: (08:00-08:15)	
Time Segment. (00.00-00.15) Showing Analysis Set "A1 - Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year "; Demand Set "D1 - Scenario 1, AM "	

The junction diagram reflects the last run of ARCADY.

Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Linked Roundabout	Junction 1 - Arm 3	If the distance between linked junctions is small, results should be treated with caution. The linked junctions will be modelled as separate junctions, but the real behaviour may be that of a complex system with interactions that cannot be modelled.
Warning	Linked Roundabout	Junction 2 - Arm 1	If the distance between linked junctions is small, results should be treated with caution. The linked junctions will be modelled as separate junctions, but the real behaviour may be that of a complex system with interactions that cannot be modelled.

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 1 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 1 only the Kilcoole Road access is open. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	



Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		Varies by Arm	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Northern Roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3			19.63	С
2	Southern Roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3			11.96	В

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Arms

Arms

Junction	Arm	Name	Description
1	1	R762	
1	2	R761 -North arm	
1	3	R761 -South arm	
2	1	R761	
2	2	R762 - Mil Road	
2	3	R761 - Kilcoole Road	

Roundabout Geometry

Junction	Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	1	3.20	3.50	19.90	26.20	20.00	66.00	
1	2	3.10	4.20	5.50	39.84	20.00	71.00	
1	3	3.40	3.70	4.30	38.00	20.00	24.00	
2	1	3.30	4.20	7.90	63.95	18.00	15.00	
2	2	2.70	3.50	6.80	11.68	18.00	74.00	
2	3	3.10	3.60	5.06	42.60	18.00	31.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

Junction Arn		Crossing Type	
1	1	None	
1	2	None	
1	3	None	
2	1	None	
2	2	None	
2	3	None	



Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Junction	Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1	1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.471	936.585
1	2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.484	1007.806
1	3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.565	1153.090
2	1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.610	1302.511
2	2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.422	807.777
2	3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.544	1078.098

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~

Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Junction	Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	1	ONE HOUR	✓	285.00	100.000
1	2	ONE HOUR	✓	415.00	100.000
1	3	Linked Arm		N/A	
2	1	Linked Arm		N/A	
2	2	ONE HOUR	~	314.00	100.000
2	3	ONE HOUR	✓	650.00	100.000

Linked Arm Data

Junction	Arm	From Junction ID	From Arm ID	Link Type	Flow Source	Uniform Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Multiplier (%)	Internal Storage Space (PCU)
1	3	2	1	Simple (vertical queueing)	Normal	0.00	100.00	
2	1	1	3	Simple (vertical queueing)	Normal	0.00	100.00	

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То					
		1	2	3			
From	1	0.000	75.000	210.000			
FIOM	2	131.000	0.000	284.000			
	3	418.000	450.000	0.000			



Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То				
		1	2	3		
From	1	0.00	0.26	0.74		
FIOI	2	0.32	0.00	0.68		
	3	0.48	0.52	0.00		

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 2 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3				
From	1	0.000	253.000	241.000				
From	2	276.000	0.000	38.000				
	3	592.000	58.000	0.000				

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 2 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3				
From	1	0.00	0.51	0.49				
FIOM	2	0.88	0.00	0.12				
	3	0.91	0.09	0.00				

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То							
		1	2	3					
From	1	1.000	1.000	1.000					
FIOI	2	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000					

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То							
		1	2	3					
From	1	0.000	0.000	0.000					
FIOM	2	0.000	0.000	0.000					
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000					

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 2 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3				
From	1	1.000	1.000	1.000				
From	2	1.000	1.000	1.000				
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000				



Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 2 (for whole period)

		То						
		1	2	3				
From	1	0.000	0.000	0.000				
FIOI	2	0.000	0.000	0.000				
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000				

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	1	0.45	9.22	0.79	А
1	2	0.51	8.20	1.03	А
1	3	0.89	28.52	7.11	D
2	1	0.43	5.00	0.75	А
2	2	0.50	10.28	0.98	В
2	3	0.78	18.07	3.47	С

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	214.56	213.06	333.09	0.00	779.64	0.275	0.38	6.337	Α
1	2	312.43	310.44	156.99	0.00	931.75	0.335	0.50	5.775	Α
1	3	648.14	642.50	97.99	0.00	1097.70	0.590	1.41	7.816	Α
2	1	369.43	367.81	43.31	0.00	1276.10	0.290	0.40	3.956	Α
2	2	236.40	234.51	179.44	0.00	732.09	0.323	0.47	7.207	Α
2	3	489.35	485.32	206.13	0.00	966.06	0.507	1.01	7.431	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	256.21	255.65	401.07	0.00	747.60	0.343	0.52	7.310	Α
1	2	373.08	372.36	188.38	0.00	916.54	0.407	0.68	6.607	Α
1	3	777.63	773.62	117.54	0.00	1086.66	0.716	2.41	11.350	В
2	1	443.20	442.69	51.94	0.00	1270.84	0.349	0.53	4.344	Α
2	2	282.28	281.60	215.97	0.00	716.68	0.394	0.64	8.261	Α
2	3	584.34	582.04	247.52	0.00	943.56	0.619	1.58	9.894	A



Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	313.79	312.73	483.57	0.00	708.73	0.443	0.78	9.066	Α
1	2	456.92	455.55	230.43	0.00	896.17	0.510	1.02	8.144	Α
1	3	948.16	932.75	143.80	0.00	1071.81	0.885	6.27	23.599	С
2	1	542.18	541.33	63.23	0.00	1263.95	0.429	0.74	4.975	A
2	2	345.72	344.42	264.09	0.00	696.38	0.496	0.97	10.189	В
2	3	715.66	708.65	302.74	0.00	913.54	0.783	3.34	17.001	С

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	313.79	313.73	493.44	0.00	704.08	0.446	0.79	9.219	Α
1	2	456.92	456.89	231.17	0.00	895.81	0.510	1.03	8.200	Α
1	3	955.15	951.79	144.22	0.00	1071.58	0.891	7.11	28.522	D
2	1	543.84	543.81	63.81	0.00	1263.60	0.430	0.75	5.001	Α
2	2	345.72	345.68	265.30	0.00	695.87	0.497	0.98	10.276	В
2	3	715.66	715.12	303.84	0.00	912.94	0.784	3.47	18.067	С

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	256.21	257.24	417.51	0.00	739.86	0.346	0.54	7.477	Α
1	2	373.08	374.42	189.55	0.00	915.97	0.407	0.70	6.663	Α
1	3	787.96	805.33	118.19	0.00	1086.29	0.725	2.77	13.529	В
2	1	445.78	446.60	52.78	0.00	1270.33	0.351	0.54	4.374	Α
2	2	282.28	283.54	217.88	0.00	715.88	0.394	0.66	8.352	Α
2	3	584.34	591.51	249.23	0.00	942.63	0.620	1.68	10.453	В

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	214.56	215.16	342.88	0.00	775.02	0.277	0.39	6.438	Α
1	2	312.43	313.18	158.54	0.00	931.00	0.336	0.51	5.833	Α
1	3	656.40	661.37	98.86	0.00	1097.22	0.598	1.52	8.352	Α
2	1	372.86	373.37	43.89	0.00	1275.75	0.292	0.42	3.991	Α
2	2	236.40	237.10	182.15	0.00	730.94	0.323	0.48	7.299	Α
2	3	489.35	491.88	208.41	0.00	964.82	0.507	1.05	7.651	Α



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 4 - Sc1- post dev- design year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc1 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 14:09:44

- « Scenario 1 Post Dev Design Year Scenario 1, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM		PM								
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS		
		Scenario 1 - Post Dev Design Year - Scenario 1										
Junction 1 - Arm 1	1.05	10.81	0.52	В		1.19	9.12	0.55	А			
Junction 1 - Arm 2	1.39	9.84	0.59	А	E	2.27	13.97	0.70	В	В		
Junction 1 - Arm 3	20.64	70.79	1.00	F		0.25	4.13	0.20	А			
Junction 2 - Arm 1	0.94	5.55	0.49	А		0.22	3.53	0.18	А			
Junction 2 - Arm 2	1.31	12.27	0.57	В	С	1.80	13.45	0.65	В	A		
Junction 2 - Arm 3	6.38	31.21	0.88	D		1.32	9.46	0.57	А			

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 1, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 1, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 14:09:42



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Killincarrig Cross Roads
Site Number	4
Date	13/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Killincarrig Cross Roads is the greater affected roundabout by the proposed development. It will be accessed from the south by Kilcoole Road, directly connected to Kilcoole Road access.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

ЭЛ

AT 2 AT 2	
Text overlays show original input turning counts (PCU/hr). They do NOT indicate junction performance.	20.00 m
Time Segment: (08:00-08:15) Showing Analysis Set "A1 - Scenario 1 - Post Dev Design Year "; Demand Set "D1 - Scenario 1, AM "	

The junction diagram reflects the last run of ARCADY.

Scenario 1 - Post Dev Design Year - Scenario 1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Linked Roundabout	Junction 1 - Arm 3	If the distance between linked junctions is small, results should be treated with caution. The linked junctions will be modelled as separate junctions, but the real behaviour may be that of a complex system with interactions that cannot be modelled.
Warning	Linked Roundabout	Junction 2 - Arm 1	If the distance between linked junctions is small, results should be treated with caution. The linked junctions will be modelled as separate junctions, but the real behaviour may be that of a complex system with interactions that cannot be modelled.

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 1 - Post Dev Design Year	In Scenario 1 only the Kilcoole Road access is open. The year considered is the base year post development.		100.000	



Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 1, AM	Scenario 1	AM		Varies by Arm	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	North Roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3			43.45	E
2	South Roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3			18.28	С

Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Arms

Arms

Junction	Arm	Name	Description
1	1	R762	
1	2	R761 -North arm	
1	3	R761 -South arm	
2	1	R761	
2	2	R762 - Mil Road	
2	3	R761 - Kilcoole Road	

Roundabout Geometry

Junction	Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	1	3.20	3.50	19.90	26.20	20.00	66.00	
1	2	3.10	4.20	5.50	39.84	20.00	71.00	
1	3	3.40	3.70	4.30	38.00	20.00	24.00	
2	1	3.30	4.20	7.90	63.95	18.00	15.00	
2	2	2.70	3.50	6.80	11.68	18.00	74.00	
2	3	3.10	3.60	5.06	42.60	18.00	31.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

Junction	Arm	Crossing Type
1	1	None
1	2	None
1	3	None
2	1	None
2	2	None
2	3	None



Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Junction	Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1	1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.471	936.585
1	2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.484	1007.806
1	3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.565	1153.090
2	1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.610	1302.511
2	2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.422	807.777
2	3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.544	1078.098

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	~	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~

Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Junction	Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	1	ONE HOUR	✓	321.00	100.000
1	2	ONE HOUR	✓	469.00	100.000
1	3	Linked Arm		N/A	
2	1	Linked Arm		N/A	
2	2	ONE HOUR	\checkmark	354.00	100.000
2	3	ONE HOUR	✓	711.00	100.000

Linked Arm Data

Junction	Arm	From Junction ID	From Arm ID	Link Type	Flow Source	Uniform Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Multiplier (%)	Internal Storage Space (PCU)
1	3	2	1	Simple (vertical queueing)	Normal	0.00	100.00	
2	1	1	3	Simple (vertical queueing)	Normal	0.00	100.00	

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То						
		1	2	3			
From	1	0.000	85.000	236.000			
From	2	149.000	0.000	320.000			
	3	465.000	496.000	0.000			



Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	0.00	0.26	0.74	
	2	0.32	0.00	0.68	
	3	0.48	0.52	0.00	

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 2 (for whole period)

	То					
		1	2	3		
From	1	0.000	287.000	269.000		
From	2	313.000	0.000	41.000		
	3	648.000	63.000	0.000		

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 2 (for whole period)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	0.00	0.52	0.48	
	2	0.88	0.00	0.12	
	3	0.91	0.09	0.00	

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 2 (for whole period)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	



Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 2 (for whole period)

		То								
		1	2	3						
	1	0.000	0.000	0.000						
FIOI	2	0.000	0.000	0.000						
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000						

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	1	0.52	10.81	1.05	В
1 2		0.59	9.84	1.39	А
1	3	1.00	70.79	20.64	F
2 1		0.49	5.55	0.94	А
2	2	0.57	12.27	1.31	В
2	3	0.88	31.21	6.38	D

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	241.67	239.84	366.15	0.00	764.06	0.316	0.46	6.844	Α
1	2	353.09	350.63	176.33	0.00	922.38	0.383	0.61	6.271	Α
1	3	716.86	709.41	111.40	0.00	1090.13	0.658	1.86	9.284	Α
2	1	415.57	413.64	46.98	0.00	1273.86	0.326	0.48	4.176	Α
2	2	266.51	264.21	200.13	0.00	723.36	0.368	0.58	7.802	Α
2	3	535.28	530.24	233.61	0.00	951.12	0.563	1.26	8.457	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	288.57	287.82	440.12	0.00	729.20	0.396	0.65	8.142	Α
1	2	421.62	420.64	211.61	0.00	905.29	0.466	0.86	7.413	Α
1	3	859.89	852.73	133.64	0.00	1077.56	0.798	3.65	15.522	С
2	1	498.61	497.96	56.32	0.00	1268.17	0.393	0.64	4.670	Α
2	2	318.24	317.32	240.92	0.00	706.16	0.451	0.81	9.236	Α
2	3	639.17	635.65	280.57	0.00	925.60	0.691	2.14	12.263	В



Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	353.43	351.94	516.81	0.00	693.07	0.510	1.02	10.505	В
1	2	516.38	514.31	258.75	0.00	882.45	0.585	1.38	9.721	Α
1	3	1043.02	1001.33	163.39	0.00	1060.74	0.983	14.07	43.434	E
2	1	609.66	608.53	68.07	0.00	1261.01	0.483	0.93	5.509	Α
2	2	389.76	387.83	294.42	0.00	683.59	0.570	1.29	12.090	В
2	3	782.83	768.17	342.92	0.00	891.71	0.878	5.81	26.442	D

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	353.43	353.31	531.42	0.00	686.18	0.515	1.05	10.807	В
1	2	516.38	516.31	259.76	0.00	881.96	0.585	1.39	9.841	Α
1	3	1055.91	1029.63	164.03	0.00	1060.38	0.996	20.64	70.793	F
2	1	612.03	611.99	69.16	0.00	1260.34	0.486	0.94	5.552	Α
2	2	389.76	389.68	296.09	0.00	682.89	0.571	1.31	12.268	В
2	3	782.83	780.52	344.55	0.00	890.82	0.879	6.38	31.213	D

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	288.57	289.96	486.56	0.00	707.32	0.408	0.70	8.655	Α
1	2	421.62	423.65	213.18	0.00	904.53	0.466	0.89	7.519	А
1	3	880.32	942.70	134.59	0.00	1077.02	0.817	5.05	34.174	D
2	1	502.23	503.33	58.07	0.00	1267.10	0.396	0.66	4.719	А
2	2	318.24	320.12	243.52	0.00	705.06	0.451	0.84	9.399	А
2	3	639.17	655.34	283.05	0.00	924.25	0.692	2.34	14.116	В

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	1	241.67	242.57	381.91	0.00	756.63	0.319	0.47	7.017	Α
1	2	353.09	354.12	178.34	0.00	921.41	0.383	0.63	6.356	Α
1	3	728.08	739.95	112.50	0.00	1089.50	0.668	2.08	10.627	В
2	1	419.95	420.62	47.79	0.00	1273.37	0.330	0.50	4.224	Α
2	2	266.51	267.49	203.50	0.00	721.94	0.369	0.59	7.940	Α
2	3	535.28	539.36	236.51	0.00	949.54	0.564	1.32	8.861	A



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 6 - Sc2- post dev - base year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc2 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 14:40:28

- « Scenario 2 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 2, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM				PM					
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	
Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 2											
Arm 1	0.07	3.99	0.07	А		0.11	3.84	0.10	А		
Arm 2	0.10	4.46	0.09	А	٥	0.19	4.60	0.16	А		
Arm 3	0.13	4.58	0.11	А	A	0.15	4.87	0.13	А	А	
Arm 4	0.25	3.72	0.20	Α		0.08	3.22	0.07	А		

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 2, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 2, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 14:40:28



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Eden Gate roundabout
Site Number	
Date	14/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Eden gate roundabout is going to be affected in Scenario 2 by the opening of Priory Road access. It will be accessed from the north and the year considered for the analysis is the base year post development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description		Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 2 both the site accesses are open to the traffic. The year considered is the design year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 2, AM	Scenario 2	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Eden Gate roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3,4			4.06	А


Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description
1	Eden Centre access	
2	Eden Gate south	
3	L52027 - Priory Road	
4	Eden Gate north	

Roundabout Geometry

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	3.20	4.20	4.20	15.90	14.00	36.00	
2	3.00	3.50	2.10	33.00	14.00	48.00	
3	2.40	5.20	5.35	18.90	14.00	63.00	
4	3.70	4.20	3.10	29.80	14.00	24.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

ArmCrossing Type1None2None3None4None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.532	1103.411
2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.498	952.007
3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.468	921.822
4		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.588	1266.083

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	✓	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	ONE HOUR	~	61.00	100.000
2	ONE HOUR	~	76.00	100.000
3	ONE HOUR	~	92.00	100.000
4	ONE HOUR	~	217.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То									
		1	2	3	4					
	1	0.000	38.000	19.000	4.000					
From	2	40.000	0.000	12.000	24.000					
	3	31.000	20.000	0.000	41.000					
	4	8.000	120.000	89.000	0.000					

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То							
		1	2	3	4			
	1	0.00	0.62	0.31	0.07			
From	2	0.53	0.00	0.16	0.32			
	3	0.34	0.22	0.00	0.45			
	4	0.04	0.55	0.41	0.00			

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То								
		1	2	3	4					
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
From	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То									
		1	2	3	4						
From	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000						
	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000						
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000						
	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000						



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.07	3.99	0.07	А
2	0.09	4.46	0.10	А
3	0.11	4.58	0.13	А
4	0.20	3.72	0.25	А

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	45.92	45.73	171.74	0.00	1012.02	0.045	0.05	3.725	Α
2	57.22	56.95	84.00	0.00	910.20	0.063	0.07	4.218	Α
3	69.26	68.93	50.96	0.00	897.97	0.077	0.08	4.339	A
4	163.37	162.76	68.18	0.00	1226.00	0.133	0.15	3.384	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	54.84	54.79	205.71	0.00	993.95	0.055	0.06	3.832	Α
2	68.32	68.26	100.61	0.00	901.94	0.076	0.08	4.318	Α
3	82.71	82.63	61.08	0.00	893.23	0.093	0.10	4.441	Α
4	195.08	194.93	81.74	0.00	1218.03	0.160	0.19	3.518	Α

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	67.16	67.10	251.90	0.00	969.37	0.069	0.07	3.989	A
2	83.68	83.59	123.20	0.00	890.69	0.094	0.10	4.460	Α
3	101.29	101.19	74.79	0.00	886.81	0.114	0.13	4.582	Α
4	238.92	238.70	100.09	0.00	1207.24	0.198	0.25	3.716	Α

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	67.16	67.16	252.13	0.00	969.25	0.069	0.07	3.990	Α
2	83.68	83.68	123.31	0.00	890.64	0.094	0.10	4.460	Α
3	101.29	101.29	74.87	0.00	886.77	0.114	0.13	4.582	Α
4	238.92	238.92	100.19	0.00	1207.18	0.198	0.25	3.717	Α



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	54.84	54.90	206.10	0.00	993.74	0.055	0.06	3.836	Α
2	68.32	68.41	100.80	0.00	901.84	0.076	0.08	4.319	Α
3	82.71	82.81	61.21	0.00	893.17	0.093	0.10	4.444	Α
4	195.08	195.30	81.91	0.00	1217.93	0.160	0.19	3.522	Α

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	45.92	45.97	172.56	0.00	1011.59	0.045	0.05	3.727	Α
2	57.22	57.28	84.40	0.00	910.00	0.063	0.07	4.221	Α
3	69.26	69.34	51.25	0.00	897.83	0.077	0.08	4.345	Α
4	163.37	163.52	68.58	0.00	1225.76	0.133	0.15	3.391	Α



Junctions 8

ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.3.332 [14595,13/11/2013]

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Site 6 - Sc2- post dev - design year.arc8

Path: P:\Proj\2016\16146\16146-14-CALCS\Traffic\Farrankelly Additional Site\Junctions 8 Calculations\All developments considered\Picady & Arcady analysis\Post Development Sc2 Report generation date: 19/02/2019 14:43:06

- « Scenario 2 Post Dev Base Year Scenario 2, AM
- **» Junction Network**
- » Arms
- » Traffic Flows
- » Entry Flows
- **» Turning Proportions**
- » Vehicle Mix
- » Results

Summary of junction performance

		AM					PM			
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction LOS
		Sce	enario	o 2 -	Post Dev -	Base Year - S	cenario 2			
Arm 1	0.08	4.09	0.08	А		0.12	3.92	0.11	А	
Arm 2	0.12	4.58	0.11	А	٥	0.22	4.74	0.18	А	
Arm 3	0.15	4.68	0.13	А	A	0.18	5.04	0.15	А	А
Arm 4	0.29	3.86	0.22	А		0.10	3.31	0.09	А	

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demandweighted averages.

"D1 - Scenario 2, AM " model duration: 08:00 - 09:30

"D2 - Scenario 2, PM" model duration: 17:00 - 18:30

Run using Junctions 8.0.3.332 at 19/02/2019 14:43:06



File summary

File Description

Title	Farrankelly
Location	Eden Gate roundabout
Site Number	
Date	14/02/2019
Version	
Status	
Identifier	
Client	Cairn
Jobnumber	16.146
Enumerator	E.
Description	Eden gate roundabout is going to be affected in Scenario 2 by the opening of Priory Road access. It will be accessed from the north and the year considered for the analysis is the base year post development.

Analysis Options

Vehicle Length	Do Queue	Calculate Residual	Residual Capacity Criteria	RFC	Average Delay Threshold	Queue Threshold
(m)	Variations	Capacity	Type	Threshold	(s)	(PCU)
5.75			N/A	0.85	36.00	20.00

Units

Distance Units	Speed Units	Traffic Units Input	Traffic Units Results	Flow Units	Average Delay Units	Total Delay Units	Rate Of Delay Units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	S	-Min	perMin

Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year - Scenario 2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

Name	Description	Locked	Network Flow Scaling Factor (%)	Reason For Scaling Factors
Scenario 2 - Post Dev - Base Year	In Scenario 2 both the site accesses are open to the traffic. The year considered is the design year post development.		100.000	

Demand Set Details

Name	Scenario Name	Time Period Name	Description	Traffic Profile Type	Model Start Time (HH:mm)	Model Finish Time (HH:mm)	Model Time Period Length (min)	Time Segment Length (min)	Single Time Segment Only	Locked
Scenario 2, AM	Scenario 2	AM		ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	90	15		

Junction Network

Junctions

Name	Junction Type	Arm Order	Grade Separated	Large Roundabout	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Eden Gate roundabout	Roundabout	1,2,3,4			4.18	А



Junction Network Options

Driving Side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description
1	Eden Centre access	
2	Eden Gate south	
3	L52027 - Priory Road	
4	Eden Gate north	

Roundabout Geometry

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit Only
1	3.20	4.20	4.20	15.90	14.00	36.00	
2	3.00	3.50	2.10	33.00	14.00	48.00	
3	2.40	5.20	5.35	18.90	14.00	63.00	
4	3.70	4.20	3.10	29.80	14.00	24.00	

Pedestrian Crossings

ArmCrossing Type1None2None3None4None

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Enter slope and intercept directly	Entered slope	Entered intercept (PCU/hr)	Final Slope	Final Intercept (PCU/hr)
1		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.532	1103.411
2		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.498	952.007
3		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.468	921.822
4		(calculated)	(calculated)	0.588	1266.083

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

Default Vehicle Mix	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn	Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry	Vehicle Mix Source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	Default Turning Proportions	Estimate from entry/exit counts	Turning Proportions Vary Over Time	Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn	Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry
		~	✓	HV Percentages	2.00				~	~



Entry Flows

General Flows Data

Arm	Profile Type	Use Turning Counts	Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr)	Flow Scaling Factor (%)
1	ONE HOUR	✓	67.00	100.000
2	ONE HOUR	~	88.00	100.000
3	ONE HOUR	~	102.00	100.000
4	ONE HOUR	✓	244.00	100.000

Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То									
		1	2	3	4					
	1	0.000	42.000	20.000	5.000					
From	2	45.000	0.000	16.000	27.000					
	3	35.000	21.000	0.000	46.000					
	4	9.000	135.000	100.000	0.000					

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

	То							
		1	2	3	4			
	1	0.00	0.63	0.30	0.07			
From	2	0.51	0.00	0.18	0.31			
	3	0.34	0.21	0.00	0.45			
	4	0.04	0.55	0.41	0.00			

Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То								
		1	2	3	4					
	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
From	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

		То								
		1	2	3	4					
From	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.08	4.09	0.08	А
2	0.11	4.58	0.12	А
3	0.13	4.68	0.15	А
4	0.22	3.86	0.29	А

Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	50.44	50.23	191.97	0.00	1001.26	0.050	0.05	3.785	А
2	66.25	65.94	93.74	0.00	905.35	0.073	0.08	4.288	А
3	76.79	76.42	57.70	0.00	894.81	0.086	0.09	4.397	А
4	183.70	182.99	75.67	0.00	1221.59	0.150	0.18	3.464	Α

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	60.23	60.18	229.95	0.00	981.05	0.061	0.07	3.909	Α
2	79.11	79.04	112.28	0.00	896.13	0.088	0.10	4.405	Α
3	91.70	91.61	69.16	0.00	889.45	0.103	0.11	4.512	Α
4	219.35	219.18	90.71	0.00	1212.75	0.181	0.22	3.623	Α

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	73.77	73.70	281.58	0.00	953.58	0.077	0.08	4.091	A
2	96.89	96.79	137.49	0.00	883.58	0.110	0.12	4.575	Α
3	112.30	112.18	84.69	0.00	882.18	0.127	0.15	4.675	Α
4	268.65	268.38	111.08	0.00	1200.78	0.224	0.29	3.860	Α

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	73.77	73.77	281.86	0.00	953.43	0.077	0.08	4.092	Α
2	96.89	96.89	137.63	0.00	883.51	0.110	0.12	4.576	A
3	112.30	112.30	84.78	0.00	882.13	0.127	0.15	4.675	A
4	268.65	268.65	111.20	0.00	1200.71	0.224	0.29	3.862	A



Main results: (09:00-09:15)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	60.23	60.30	230.42	0.00	980.80	0.061	0.07	3.910	Α
2	79.11	79.21	112.51	0.00	896.01	0.088	0.10	4.407	Α
3	91.70	91.82	69.31	0.00	889.37	0.103	0.12	4.515	Α
4	219.35	219.61	90.91	0.00	1212.63	0.181	0.22	3.628	Α

Main results: (09:15-09:30)

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Entry Flow (PCU/hr)	Circulating Flow (PCU/hr)	Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	End Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
1	50.44	50.49	192.92	0.00	1000.76	0.050	0.05	3.787	Α
2	66.25	66.32	94.20	0.00	905.13	0.073	0.08	4.291	Α
3	76.79	76.88	58.03	0.00	894.65	0.086	0.09	4.402	Α
4	183.70	183.87	76.12	0.00	1221.33	0.150	0.18	3.469	Α

Prepared by Roughan & O'Donovan Arena House, Arena Road, Sandyford, Dublin 18 Tel: +353 1 2940800 Fax: +353 1 2940820 Email: info@rod.ie www.rod.ie





Proposed Residential Development at Farrankelly, Greystones

Development Access & Street DMURS Design Report



August 2019





Proposed Residential Development at Farrankelly, Greystones

Development Access and Street DMURS Design Report

Document No:	16.146 DMURS
Author:	EL
Checker:	JB
Approver:	SMG

Document No	Description	Made	Checked	Approved	Date
16.146 DMURS	Draft v1	EL	JB	SMG	19-07-2019
16.146 DMURS	Issue v2	EL	JB	SMG	20-08-2019

Proposed Residential Development at Farrankelly, Greystones

Development Access and Street DMURS Design Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>1.0</u>	INTRODUCTION1
<u>2.0</u>	DMURS JUNCTION VISIBILITY SPLAYS1
<u>3.0</u>	STREETS DESIGN STANDARDS2
<u>3.1</u>	DESIGN PARAMETERS
<u>3.2</u>	FOOTPATHS & PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY
<u>3.3</u>	VERGES4
<u>3.4</u>	CYCLING4
<u>3.5</u>	STREET HIERARCHY, CARRIAGEWAY WIDTH & CROSS SECTION
<u>3.6</u>	DESIGN SPEED, ALIGNMENT AND CURVATURE
<u>3.7</u>	CAR PARKING6
<u>3.8</u>	CUL-DE-SACS7
4.0	SUMMARY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The report described the designs of the access junctions and internal streets for the proposed Farrankelly residential development, and how it complies with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets 2013 (DMURS), published by the DTTAS.

A secondary supplementary reference document adopted in the design is the *Recommendations for Site Development Works for Housing Areas* (1998), published by the Department of Environment and Local Government.

The design proposals are the outcome of an integrated design approach that seeks to provide a sustainable community connected by well-designed streets which deliver safe, convenient and attractive networks within and through the subject lands.

2.0 DMURS JUNCTION VISIBILITY SPLAYS

All visibility splays are designed in accordance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Street (DMURS). Relevant extracts from DMURS are included below.

Design Speed (km/h)	SSD Standard (metres)	Design Speed (km/h)	SSD Standard (metres)
10	7	10	8
20	14	20	15
30	23	30	24
40	33	40	36
50	45	50	49
60	59	60	65

Table 4.2: Reduced SSD standards for application within cities towns and villages. Reduced forward visibility increases driver caution and reduces vehicle speeds.

Figure 1 Extract from DMURS – SSD Standards



Figure 4.63: Forward visibility splays refer to an X and Y value. The X value allows drivers to observe traffic on the intersected arm. The Y value allows the driver of a vehicle to stop safely should an object enter its path, and is based on the SSD value.

Figure 2 Extract from DMURS – Visibility Splays

The R761 Kilcoole Road entrance junction is located at the start on the inside of a curve and there is a crest curve to the south of the proposed entrance. The proposed entrance location as identified so that suitable visibility splays are achieved. The junction also includes the setting back of the site boundary to include the provision of a footpath and a widened verge to accommodate the future Kilcoole Road upgrade.

The proposed main access on Priory Road is on a relative straight section of the road and will provided with suitable visibility splays and the provision of a footpath.

The proposed access junction layouts including the visibility splays are shown on the drawings FK-ROD-ZO-XX-DR-C-0094, FK-ROD-ZO-XX-DR-C-0095 and FK-ROD-ZO-XX-DR-C-0096 included with the application.

3.0 STREETS DESIGN STANDARDS

The purpose of this section is to summarise the proposed design standards adopted for streets within the proposed development. It is based on the DMURS of which relevant extracts are provided below.

3.1 DESIGN PARAMETERS

The first consideration is the Function and Context for the internal streets within the proposed development in accordance with Table 3.1 and Table 4.1 of DMURS:

DM	DMURS Description Roads Act/NRA DMRB		Traffic Management Guidelines	National Cycle Manual				
Arte	Arterial National		Primary Distributor Roads	Distributor				
Link	Regional (see note 1)		District Distributor Local Collector (see Notes 1 and 2)	Local Collector				
Loc	Local Local		Access	Access				
Note	es.							
Note 1: Larger Regional/District Distributors may fall into the category of Arterial where they are the main links between major centres (i.e. towns) or have an orbital function.								
Note shor	Note 2: Local Distributors may fall into the category of Local street where they are relatively short in length and simply link a neighbourhood to the broader street network.							

Table 3.1: Terminology used within this Manual compared with other key publications.

		PEDESTR	IAN PRIORITY	VEHI	CLE PRIORITY				
	ARTERIAL	30-40 KM/H	40-50 KM/H	40-50 KM/H	50-60 KM/H	60-80 KM/H			
TION	LINK	30 KM/H	30-50 KM/H	30-50 KM/H	50-60 KM/H	60-80 KM/H			
FUNC	LOCAL	10-30 KM/H	10-30 KM/H	10-30 KM/H	30-50 KM/H	60 KM/H			
		CENTRE	N'HOOD	SUBURBAN	BUSINESS/ INDUSTRIAL	RURAL FRINGE			
	CONTEXT								

Figure 3 Extracted from DMURS Table 4.1 - Design Speed selection matrix indicating the links between place, movement and speed that need to be taken into account in order to achieve effective and balanced design solutions

All streets within the development have the following function, context as associated design speed range.

- Function: Local
- Context: Neighbourhood
- Speed: 10 30 km/h.

All streets within the development are classified as Local Streets with a range of hierarchy within the development including the local link street that connects through the development between Kilcoole Road,

the other side streets and a number of homezones. These design parameters then lead to the selection of the various elements of the street cross-section and alignment as described below.

3.2 FOOTPATHS & PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY

In Section 4.3.1 (page 86), DMURS defines the footway as the minimum width needed for the wheelchairs to pass each other and it proposes a minimum of 1.8m width. A slightly wider footpath width of 2.0m has been adopted as standard throughout the proposed development. On certain streets a footpath is provided on one side only, where there is no specific pedestrian desire line, with a verge on the other side to cater for street landscaping.

The proposed development includes a greenway along the northern boundary for pedestrians and cyclists that will connect with the existing Mill Lane, providing an attractive and direct route leading towards Greystones Town Centre and the Train Station. A series of shared walk and cycle paths are provided through the green open spaces within the development that will connect the greenway to the Eden Gate development to the south.

The footpaths connect with the existing footpath and pedestrian routes in the surrounds via proposed signalised pedestrian crossings on the Kilcoole Road, with one crossing located immediately south of the proposed vehicular access and a second crossing located at the proposed greenway connecting to Mill Lane.

3.3 VERGES

As regards the verges, DMURS in Section 4.3.1 (pages 87-88) clarifies that there is no minimum requirement for verges on Local Streets, therefore the provision of a verge is optional, and it may be provided on certain streets to suit the overall architectural and landscape design including the provision of street trees. Where a verge is provided it has a minimum width of 1.5m to be effective and to suit maintenance of grass or other planting.

3.4 CYCLING

Section 4.3.5 of DMURS encourages the design of lightly-trafficked/low-speed streets to create Shared Streets where cyclists and motor vehicles share the carriageway. The proposed development is designed with a low speed environment and low traffic volumes, and it is not necessary or appropriate to provide segregated cycling facilities along the streets.

3.5 STREET HIERARCHY, CARRIAGEWAY WIDTH & CROSS SECTION

The DMURS section related to the carriageway width is Section 4.4.1 (pages 101 and 102). The standard carriageway widths on Local Streets should be between 5-5.5m (i.e. with lane widths of 2.5-2.75m).



Figure 4 Extracted figure from DMURS (Figure 4.55 originally) - Carriageway widths

From the DMURS provisions outlined above there are a number of combinations of the various cross-section components that are proposed for the various streets within the proposed development as follows.

<u>Local Street Type 1:</u> The main street into the development from Kilcoole Road and Priory Road, including road objective R08, which is designed as follows:

- 5.5m carriageway
- 2.0m footpaths as required
- Verges as required including green open space

Local Street Type 2: Typical side-street with double footpath and no verges.

- 5.0m carriageway
- 2.0m footpath x 2

Local Street Type 3: Home Zone cul-de-sac serving up to 20 houses.

- 4.8m shared surface
- 1.5m verge x 2
- 7.8m total width

The homezones are designed to promote place and provide maximum pedestrian priority on streets where vehicular movements are low.

3.6 DESIGN SPEED, ALIGNMENT AND CURVATURE

Section 4.4.6 provides information regarding horizontal and vertical alignment and curvatures. Within the proposed development the standards that apply for the alignment design speed are as follows:

- Main Local Streets, Type 1: 30 km/h;
- Typical Side Streets, Type 2: 20 km/h;
- Shared Surface Streets, Type 3: no design speed.

HORIZONTAL CURVATURE							
Design Speed (km/h)	10	20	30	40	50	60	
Minimum Radius with adverse camber of 2.5%	-	11	26	56	104	178	
Minimum Radius with superelevation of 2.5 %	-	-	-	46	82	136	
		VERTICAL	CURVATURE				
Design Speed (km/h)	10	20	30	40	50	60	
Crest Curve K Value	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.6	4.7	8.2	
Sag Curve K Value	N/A	N/A	2.3	4.1	6.4	9.2	

Figure 5 Extracted table from DMURS (Table 4.3 originally) - Carriageway geometry parameters for horizontal and vertical curvature

In relation to the horizonal alignment, a crossfall of 2.5% is generally provided throughout in accordance with Section 4.4.6 of DMURS. Superelevation is not provided on horizontal curves as this would encourage higher traffic speeds.

As regards the vertical alignment, the site is quite hilly, where it falls from the south-west to the north-east of the site, from 58mOD to 30mOD adjacent to Kilcoole Road. The vertical alignment of the circulation roads required careful design to meet the provisions of DMURS, as specified in Figure 5 and 6.



Figure 6 Extracted text from DMURS (page 113)

3.7 CAR PARKING

The proposed street widths and the provision of numerous driveways will generally preclude on-street parking on most of the roads within the development. However, some provision is be made for visitor parking informally at street sections that do not have frontage access, such as on gable ends of houses at the end of a row, or on certain streets adjoining green spaces.

The proposed on-street car parking for the duplex units is provided in accordance with DMURS (pages 120-121), which include the recommended dimensions for a parking space as follows:

- 2.4m wide;
- 6.0m long for parallel parking;
- 4.8m long for perpendicular parking with 0.3m overhang space at the inner end and 0.5m separator strip at the outer end = 5.6m total.



Figure 7 Extract illustrating the layout of a Local Street with a uniform mix of parallel and perpendicular parking

3.8 CUL-DE-SACS

Where cul-de-sacs are longer than 50m turning bays are provided in accordance with the *Recommendations* for Site Development Works for Housing Area Type I & IV as reproduced below, to suit specific site constraints which have occurred. All internal road network and turning bays are assessed using the Autodesk vehicle tracking add on to ensure adequate design for vehicle movements.



Type (iv)



Value of R which permits turning without reversing							
Vehicle Type	R metres						
Private Car	6						
Fire Engine	9						
Refuse Vehicle	10						
Furniture Removal	11						

1m clearance for vehicle overhang shown dashed

NOT TO SCALE

Figure 8 Residential Turning Bays

4.0 SUMMARY

The accesses and streets within the proposed development have been designed to be safe, attractive and comfortable for all users and have been designed in accordance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets.

APPENDIX D – LAND AND SOILS

Ground Investigations Report Farrankelly 29-11-18



Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd., Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co Dublin. Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 | Fax: 01 601 5173 Email: info@gii.ie | Web: gii.ie

Ground Investigations Ireland

Farrankelly

Ground Investigation Report

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Project Title	Farankelly
Engineer	Roughan & O'Donovan Consulting Engineers
Project No	8065-09-18
Document Title	Ground Investigation Report

Rev.	Status	Author(s)	Reviewed By Approved By		Office of Origin	Issue Date
А	Final	D MagLochlainn	C Finnerty	C Finnerty	Dublin	29 November 2018



Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd., Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co Dublin. Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 | Fax: 01 601 5173 Email: info@gii.ie | Web: gii.ie

CONTENTS

1.0	Preamble
2.0	Overview3
2.1.	Background3
2.2.	Purpose and Scope3
3.0	Subsurface Exploration3
3.1.	General3
3.2.	Trial Pits4
3.3.	Soakaway Testing4
3.4.	Cable Percussion Boreholes4
3.5.	Surveying5
3.6.	Groundwater Monitoring Installations5
3.7.	Laboratory Testing
4.0	Ground Conditions
4.1.	General6
4.2.	Groundwater6
4.3.	Laboratory Testing6
5.0	Recommendations & Conclusions8
5.1.	General8
5.2.	Foundations8
5.3.	Excavations8
5.4.	Soakaway Design9

APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Site Location Plan
Appendix 2	Trial Pit Records
Appendix 3	Soakaway Results
Appendix 4	Cable Percussion Borehole Records
Appendix 5	Laboratory Testing

1.0 Preamble

On the instructions of Roughan & O'Donovan Consulting Engineers, a site investigation was carried out by Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd., between September and October 2018 at the site of the proposed housing development in Farankelly Co. Wicklow.

2.0 Overview

2.1. Background

It is proposed to construct a housing development with associated services, access roads and car parking at the proposed site. The site is currently greenfield and is situated south of Delgany off the N11 Co. Wicklow. The proposed construction is envisaged to consist of conventional foundations and pavement make up with some local excavations for services and plant.

2.2. Purpose and Scope

The purpose of the site investigation was to investigate subsurface conditions utilising a variety of investigative methods in accordance with the project specification. The scope of the work undertaken for this project included the following:

- Visit project site to observe existing conditions
- Carry out 3 No. Soakaways to determine a soil infiltration value to BRE digest 365
- Carry out 18 No. Cable Percussion boreholes to a maximum depth of 8.00m BGL
- Installation of 5 No. Groundwater monitoring wells
- Geotechnical & Environmental Laboratory testing
- Report with recommendations

3.0 Subsurface Exploration

3.1. General

During the ground investigation a programme of intrusive investigation specified by the Consulting Engineer was undertaken to determine the sub surface conditions at the proposed site. Regular sampling and insitu testing was undertaken in the exploratory holes to facilitate the geotechnical descriptions and to enable laboratory testing to be carried out on the soil samples recovered during excavation and drilling.

The procedures used in this site investigation are in accordance with Eurocode 7 Part 2: Ground Investigation and testing (ISEN 1997 – 2:2007) and B.S. 5930:2015.

3.2. Trial Pits

The trial pits were excavated using a 3.5T tracked excavator at the locations shown in the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix 1. The locations were checked using a CAT scan to minimise the potential for encountering services during the excavation. The trial pits were sampled, logged and photographed by a Geotechnical Engineer/Engineering Geologist prior to backfilling with arisings. Notes were made of any services, inclusions, pit stability, groundwater encountered and the characteristics of the strata encountered and are presented on the trial pit logs which are provided in Appendix 2 of this Report.

3.3. Soakaway Testing

The soakaway testing was carried out in selected trial pits at the locations shown in the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix 1. These pits were carefully excavated and filled with water to assess the infiltration characteristics of the proposed site. The pits were allowed to drain and the drop in water level was recorded over time as required by BRE Digest 365. The pits were logged prior to completing the soakaway test and were backfilled with arising's upon completion. The soakaway test results are provided in Appendix 3 of this Report.

3.4. Cable Percussion Boreholes

The Cable Percussion Boreholes were drilled using a Dando 2000 drilling rig with regular in-situ testing and sampling undertaken to facilitate the production of geotechnical logs and laboratory testing.

The standard method of boring in soil for site investigation is known as the Cable Percussion method. It consists of using a Shell in non cohesive soils and a clay cutter in cohesive soils, both operated on a wire cable. Very hard soils, boulders and other hard obstructions are broken up by chiselling and the fragments removed with the Shell. Where ground conditions made it necessary, the borehole was lined with 200mm diameter steel casing. While the use of the Cable Percussion method of boring gives the maximum data on soil conditions, some mixing of laminated soil is inevitable. For this reason, thin lenses of granular material may not be noticed. Disturbed samples were taken from the boring tools at suitable depths, so that there is a representative sample at the top of each change in stratum and thereafter at regular intervals down the borehole until the next stratum was encountered. The disturbed samples were then sealed and sent to the laboratory where they were visually examined to confirm the description of the relevant strata. Standard Penetration Tests were carried out in the boreholes. The results of these tests, together with the depths at which the tests were taken are shown on the accompanying borehole records. The test consists of a thick wall sampler tube, 50mm external diameter, being driven into the soil by a monkey weighing 63.5kg and with a free drop of 760mm. For gravels and glacial till the driving shoe was replaced by a solid 60° cone. The Standard Penetration Test number referred to as the 'N' value is the number of blows required to drive the tube 300mm, after an initial penetration of 150mm. The number gives a guide to the consistency of the soil and can also be used to estimate the relative strength/density at the depth of the test and also to estimate the bearing capacity and compressibility of the soil. The cable percussion borehole

logs are provided in Appendix 4 of this Report.

3.5. Surveying

The exploratory hole locations have been recorded using a Trimble R10 GNSS System which records the coordinates and elevation of the locations to ITM or Irish National Grid as required by the project specification. The coordinates and elevations are provided on the exploratory hole logs in the appendices of this Report.

3.6. Groundwater Monitoring Installations

Groundwater Monitoring Installation were installed upon the completion of the boreholes to enable sampling and the determination of the equilibrium groundwater level. The typical groundwater monitoring installation consists of a 50mm HDPE slotted pipe with a pea gravel response zone and bentonite seal installed to the Engineers specification. Where required the standpipe is sealed with a gas tap and finished with a durable steel cover fixed in place with a concrete surround. The installation details are provided on the exploratory hole logs in the appendices of this Report.

3.7. Laboratory Testing

Samples were selected from the exploratory holes for a range of geotechnical and environmental testing to assist in the classification of soils and to provide information for the proposed design. Geotechnical testing consisting of moisture content, Atterberg limits, Particle Size Distribution (PSD), tests were carried out in NMTL's Geotechnical Laboratory in Carlow

Environmental testing, including Waste Acceptance Criteria (Suite I), pH and sulphate testing was carried out by Jones Environmental Laboratory in the UK.

The results of the laboratory testing are included in Appendix 5 of this Report.

4.0 Ground Conditions

4.1. General

The ground conditions encountered during the investigation are summarised below with reference to insitu and laboratory test results. The full details of the strata encountered during the ground investigation are provided in the exploratory hole logs included in the appendices of this report.

The sequence of strata encountered were consistent across the site and are generally comprised;

- Topsoil
- Granular Deposits
- Cohesive Deposits

TOPSOIL: Topsoil was encountered in all the exploratory holes and was present to a maximum depth of 0.3m BGL.

COHESIVE DEPOSITS: Cohesive deposits were encountered beneath the TOPSOIL and were described typically as *brown sandy gravelly CLAY with occasional cobbles and boulders*. The secondary sand and gravel constituents varied across the site and with depth, with granular lenses occasionally present in the glacial till matrix. The strength of the cohesive deposits typically increased with depth and was firm to stiff or stiff below 1.5m BGL in the majority of the exploratory holes. These deposits had some, occasional or frequent cobble and boulder content where noted on the exploratory hole logs.

GRANULAR DEPOSITS: The granular deposits were encountered within the cohesive deposits and were typically described as *brown clayey sandy subrounded to subangular fine to coarse GRAVEL with occasional cobbles and rare boulders* or *Grey brown clayey gravelly SAND*. The secondary sand/gravel and silt/clay constituents varied across the site and with depth while occasional or frequent cobble and boulder content also present where noted on the exploratory hole logs.

Based on the SPT N values the deposits are typically medium dense and become dense with depth.

4.2. Groundwater

No groundwater was noted during the investigation however we would point out that these exploratory holes did not remain open for sufficiently long periods of time to establish the hydrogeological regime and groundwater levels would be expected to vary with the time of year, rainfall, nearby construction and other factors. For this reason, standpipes were installed in BH01, BH04, BH10, BH12 and BH16 to allow the equilibrium groundwater level to be determined.

4.3. Laboratory Testing

The geotechnical testing carried out on soil samples recovered generally confirm the descriptions on the logs with the primary constituent of the cohesive deposits found to be a CLAY of low to intermediate

plasticity. The Particle Size Distribution tests confirm that generally the cohesive deposits are well-graded with percentages of sands and gravels ranging between 19% and 55.1% generally with fines contents of 9.70 to 57.5%. Three samples, BH10 at 1.50m, BH12 at 0.50m and BH18 at 1.50m graded as clayey sandy Gravel with Clay/Silt content ranging between 9.7% to 17.20%, Sand of 19% to 27% and Gravel of 55% to 62.7%.

The pH and sulphate testing carried out indicate that pH results are near neutral and that the water soluble sulphate results is low when compared to the guideline values from BRE Special Digest 1:2005. The samples tested classify the soil as a Design Sulphate Level DS-1.

The results of the Waste Acceptance Criterial Test Suite are presented with the individual parameter limits for "Inert" "Non Hazardous" and "Hazardous" as outlined within European Council Directive 1999 131/EC Article 16 Annex II, "Criteria and procedures for the acceptance of waste at landfills". The intended disposal site should be consulted to ensure compliance with their specific requirements.

The results indicate that the samples tested are below the inert landfill waste acceptance criteria, all spoil disposed of off-site should be sent to a suitably licenced facility. The possibility for contamination, not revealed by the testing undertaken should be borne in mind particularly where Made Ground deposits are present or the previous site use or location indicate a risk of environmental variation.

A waste classification report is recommended to be completed in accordance with the EPA guidelines on the classification of waste (2015) if material is to be disposed of off site to identify the appropriate type of facility.

The results from the completed laboratory testing is included in Appendix 5 of this report.

5.0 Recommendations & Conclusions

5.1. General

The recommendations given and opinions expressed in this report are based on the findings as detailed in the exploratory hole records. Where an opinion is expressed on the material between exploratory hole locations, this is for guidance only and no liability can be accepted for its accuracy. No responsibility can be accepted for conditions which have not been revealed by the exploratory holes. Limited information has been provided at the ground investigation stage and any designs based on the recommendations or conclusions should be completed in accordance with the current design codes, taking into account the variation and the specific details contained within the exploratory hole logs.

5.2. Foundations

An allowable bearing capacity of 100 kN/m² is recommended for conventional strip or pad foundations on the firm to stiff cohesive deposits or medium dense granular deposits at a depth of 1.0m BGL. Where the cohesive deposits are deeper, such as at the locations BH13 and BH17, lean mix trench fill to a depth of 2.0m BGL is recommended to achieve the recommended allowable bearing capacity.

Further investigations consisting of trial pits and dynamic probing is recommended to optimise the allowable bearing capacity recommendations for the residential development.

A ground bearing floor slab is recommended to be based on the firm to stiff cohesive deposits with an appropriate depth of compacted hardcore specified by the consulting engineer and in accordance with the limits and guidelines in SR21:214 -A1:2016 and/or NRA SRW CL808 Type E granular stone fill.

The possibility for variation in the depth of the suitable foundry stratum should be considered and foundation inspections should be carried out. Any soft spots encountered at the proposed foundation depths should be excavated and replaced with lean mix concrete.

In any part of the site, should part of the foundation be on cohesive and granular deposits we would recommend that all the foundations of the unit in question be lowered to the competent stratum to avoid differential settlement.

The pH and sulphate testing completed on samples recovered from the trial holes indicates the pH results are near neutral and the sulphate results are low, when compared to the guideline values from BRE Special Digest 1:2005. No special precautions are required for concrete foundations to prevent sulphate attack.

5.3. Excavations

Short term temporary excavations in the cohesive deposits will remain stable for a limited time only and will require to be appropriately battered or the sides supported if the excavation is below 1.25m BGL or is required to permit man entry.

Excavations in the or soft Cohesive Deposits will require to be appropriately battered or the sides supported due to the low strength of these deposits.

Any excavations which penetrate the granular deposits will require to be appropriately battered or the sides supported and are may require dewatering due to the groundwater seepages noted in the exploratory hole logs in the Appendices of this Report.

The groundwater and stability noted on the trial pit logs should be consulted when determining the most appropriate construction methods for excavations. Generally, where significant excavations are required in water bearing granular deposits a cut-off wall may be more cost effective than extensive dewatering. An assessment by a specialist dewatering contractor is recommended to determine the most cost effective approach to the proposed excavation.

5.4. Soakaway Design

Infiltration rates of 7.686 x 10^{-6} ,3.649 x 10^{-5} and 3.767 x 10^{-5} m/s respectively were calculated for the soakaway locations IT01, IT02 and IT03.

The recommendations provided in this report should be verified in the design of the proposed buildings, using the full details of the loading conditions and taking into consideration the allowable tolerable settlements/movements that the building can accommodate. The founding strata should be inspected and verified by a suitably qualified engineer prior to construction of the building foundations.

APPENDIX 1 - Site Location Plan



APPENDIX 2 - Trial Pit Records

	Grou	nd In	vestigat www.g	ions Ire ii.ie	Site Trial Pit Farankelly IT01				
Machine : 3.5 Tonne Excavator Method : Trial Pit		Dimensions 0.50 x 1.70m			Ground Level (mOD) 46.16		Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-18
		Location 728511 E 710171.5 N			Dates 21/09/2018		Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field R	lecords	Level Depth (mOD) (m) (Thickness		D	escription	Kater Kater
					45.86	(0.30) 0.30	MADE GROUND: Dark br gravelly TOPSOIL with gra	own slightly sandy slightly iss rootlets and plastic fragm	ents
						(0.50)	Firm to stiff light brown slig with rare subangular to su	htly sandy slightly gravelly C brounded cobbles	LAY 6.000
					45.36	0.80	Stiff light reddish brown sli	ghtly sandy slightly gravelly (
						(0.80)			
					44.56	- - - 1.60	Complete at 1.60m		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Plan							Remarks		
					-		Trail pit stable No groundwater encountere	d	
		·			-	•••	mai pit baokinied on comple		
					•				
• • • • • •	· ·		· ·			· ·			
	· ·						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By	Figure No. 8065-09-18.IT01

Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) © all rights reserved

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd							Site Trial Pit Farankelly IT02		
Machine : 3	3.5 Tonne Excavator Trial Pit	Dimensions 0.50 x 1.60m			Ground Level (mOD) 48.09		Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-18
		Location			Dates 21	/09/2018	Project Contractor	Project Contractor	
		728399.8 E 710271.2 N				1	Ground Investigation Ireland		1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field R	ecords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
						(0.30)	Brown slightly sandy slight rootlets	tly gravelly TOPSOIL with gr	ass
					47.79	0.30	Firm light brown slightly sa some subangular to subro	andy slightly gravelly CLAY v unded cobbles and boulder	vith <u>6 7 4</u> s <u>, 9 2 6</u>
						 (0.70)			
						-			0.0 <u>.0</u> .0.0
					47.09	1.00	Loose light brown slightly fine to medium SAND with	slightly gravelly slightly claye silty lenses	ey
						(0.50)			
					46.59	 1.50	Complete at 1.50m		
						-			
						-			
						-			
						- 			
Plan					•	••••	Remarks Trail pit stable		
							No groundwater encountere Trial pit backfilled on comple	d etion	
· ·		•		•	•	· · ·	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.
							1:25	DML	8065-09-18.IT02

Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) © all rights reserved
GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vestigati www.gi	ons Ire ^{i.ie}	Ltd	Site Trial Pit Number Farankelly IT03			
Machine: 3 Method: T	5.5 Tonne Excavator rial Pit	Dimens 0.50 x 1	ions I.50m		Ground Level (mOD) 47.38		Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-18
		Location 728	n 3308.8 E 710366	3.3 N	Dates 21/09/2018		Project Contractor Ground Investigation Irela	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	ecords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
					47.08	(0.30) 0.30 (0.70)	Brown slightly sandy sligh rootlets Firm reddish brown slightly subangular to subrounded	tly gravelly TOPSOIL with gravelly gravelly CLAY with so cobbles and boulders	ass $ \frac{a}{a} = \frac{a}{a} $
					46.38	1.00 (0.50)	Medium dense light brown clayey fine to medium SA	slightly slightly gravelly sligi ND	6-0
Plan					45.88		Complete at 1.50m		
	· ·	•	· ·				Trail pit unstable side wall o No groundwater encountere Trial pit backfilled on comple	ollapse d stion	
 		•	 	•	- ·				
							Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By DML	Figure No. 8065-09-18.IT03

Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) © all rights reserved

Farrankelly Wicklow – Soakaway Photographs











IT02







APPENDIX 3 – Soakaway Testing Records

SA01

REV 01 Final

Soakaway Test to BRE Digest 365 Trial Pit Dimensions: 1.70m x 0.5m 1.60m (L x W x D)

Date	Time	Water level (m bgl)
24/09/2018	0	-0.500
24/09/2018	13	-0.740
24/09/2018	37	-0.800
24/09/2018	62	-0.900
24/09/2018	111	-1.000
24/09/2018	193	-1.100
24/09/2018	321	-1.300

Start depth 0.50	Depth of Pit 1.600		Diff 1.100	75% full 0.775	25%full 1.325
Length of pit (m) 1.700) Width of pit (m) 0.500			75-25Ht (m) 0.550	Vp75-25 (m3) 0.47
Tp75-25 (from g	ıraph) (s)	18600		50% Eff Depth	ap50 (m2)
f =	7.686E-06	m/s		0.000	0.27





SA02

REV 01 Final

Soakaway Test to BRE Digest 365 Trial Pit Dimensions: 1.60m x 0.5m 1.50m (L x W x D)

Time	Water level (m bgl)
0	-0.500
2	-0.600
12	-0.860
36	-1.100
47	-1.150
86	-1.300
98	-1.350
	Time 0 2 12 36 47 86 98

Start depth 0.50	Depth of Pit 1.500	Diff 1.000		75% full 0.75	25%full 1.25
Length of pit (m) 1.600) Width of pit (m) 0.500			75-25Ht (m) 0.500	Vp75-25 (m3) 0.40
Tp75-25 (from g	raph) (s)	3780		50% Eff Depth	ap50 (m2) 2 9
f =	3.649E-05	m/s		0.000	2.0





SA03

REV 01 Final

Soakaway Test to BRE Digest 365 Trial Pit Dimensions: 1.50m x 0.5m 1.50m (L x W x D)

Date	Time	Water level (m bgl)
24/09/2018	0	-0.520
24/09/2018	5	-0.750
24/09/2018	15	-0.850
24/09/2018	30	-1.000
24/09/2018	40	-1.030
24/09/2018	60	-1.120
24/09/2018	70	-1.300

Start depth 0.52	Depth of Pit 1.500		Diff 0.980	75% full 0.765	25%full 1.255
Length of pit (m) 1.500) Width of pit (m) 0.500			75-25Ht (m) 0.490	Vp75-25 (m3) 0.37
Tp75-25 (from g	raph) (s)	3600		50% Eff Depth 0.490	ap50 (m2) 2.71
f =	3.767E-05	m/s			





APPENDIX 4 – Cable Percussion Borehole Records

Machine: D-MDD 2020 Machad : Catler Personality December (MPSP) 228003 9 E 710320 9 N Oreand Lawel (mOD) 1006 A D'Dororan Other Toglin & D'Dororan Jab 0/601 Machad : Catler Personality December 22803 9 E 710320 9 N Dates 2007001 Pojet Contactor Conucl Investigation Inteland Biotect 2007001 Biotect 2007001 Biotect 2007001 Biotect 2007001 December 2007001 Pojet Contactor Conucl Investigation Inteland Biotect 2007001 Biotect 2007001 Biotect 2007001 December 2007001	GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire w.gii.ie	_td	Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH01	
Location (d0P2) Dates Project Contractor Ground investigation interand Sheet Sheet DP(P) Sample / Tests Spipping Shipping	Machine : D	DANDO 2000 Cable Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 3.70m	Ground L 4	-evel (mOD) 1.49	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18
Opp: Sample / Tests Ope: Pield Records Ar80 Ope: Description Lage of B Pield Records Ar80 Characteristic Description Lage of B Pield Records Ar80 Characteristic Description Lage of B Pield Records Ar80 Description Earnor alightly standy stiphtly gravely TOPSOL with nones colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely TOPSOL with nones colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely TOPSOL with none colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body standy stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting from standy gravely CLAY with some colbies and the body stiphtly gravely field to coarse SAND Setting field to coarse SAND			Locatio	n (dGPS 8909.9 E) 710320.9 N	Dates 28/	09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	Sheet 1/1
0.50 a 41.20 0%30 Encon slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOL with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly TOPSOL with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly TOPSOL with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly TOPSOL with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly CLAY with some cobles and slightly clavely for booms sandy clavelly clavely for booms sandy clavelly clavell	Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend S
100 0	0.50 1.00-1.45 1.00	B SPT(C) N=12 B			2,2/2,3,3,4	41.29	(0.20) 0.20 (1.30) (1.30)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Firm to stiff brown sandy CLAY with some cobbles and boulders	
3.00-3.38 BPT(C) 50225 9.12/15.17.18 38.49 - 3.00 Dense greyish brown slightly clayey fine to coarse SAND And the same state of the same state	2.00-2.45	SPT(C) N=15 B			1,2/1,3,5,6		(1.50)	boulders	
Borehole backfilled on completion No groundwater enountered Chiselling from 3.40m to 3.70m for 1.5 hours.	3.00-3.38 3.00	SPT(C) 50/225 B			9,12/15,17,18	38.49	3.00 (0.70) 3.70	Dense greyish brown slightly clayey fine to coarse SAND Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 3.70m	
	Borehole ba No groundw Chiselling fr	ackfilled on completic /ater enountered om 3.40m to 3.70m t	on for 1.5 hou	irs.				Scale (approx 1:50) By DML

	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire w.gii.ie	Ltd	Site Farankelly			Borehole Number BH01A	
Machine : D	DANDO 2000 Cable Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete Omm cas	r ed to 5.40m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		J N 80	l ob lumber 165-09-18
		Locatio Ad	n (dGPS ljacent to) BH01	Dates 28	8/09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		s	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Instr
0.50 1.00-1.45 1.00 1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00 3.00-3.45 3.00 4.00-4.15 4.00 5.00-5.38 5.00	B SPT(C) N=12 B SPT(C) N=15 B SPT(C) N=22 SPT(C) 50/0 B SPT(C) 50/230			2,2/2,3,3,4 1,2/1,3,5,6 2,4/4,6,6,6 25/50 3,7/11,18,21			Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL Firm brown very sandy gravelly CLAY with cobble and boulders Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with some cobbles and boulders Dense greyish brown sligtly clayey gravelly fine to coarse SAND Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 5.40m			
Remarks 50mm slotte seal and rai No groundw Chiselling fr	d standpipe installed sed cover. vater enountered rom 3.90m to 5.40m to	 d from 5.40 for 0.75 ho	0m to 2.0 ours. Chis	0m with pea gravel s elling from 5.40m to	urround, p 5.40m for	⊨ llain pipe instal 1 hour.	lled from 2.00m to ground level with bentonite	Scale (approx) 1:50		ogged Sy DML
								rigure	NU.	B 110.4.4

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH02	
Machine : D. Method : Ca	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 7.00m	Ground	Level (mOD 48.41	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18	
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8811.6 E) 710264.7 N	Dates 02	2/10/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	Description	Kater Kater	
0.50	в				48.11	(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles	10 <u>10 0</u>	
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=16 B			1,2/2,4,5,5				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
1.50	В					(2.70)		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=25 B			1,1/4,6,6,9				0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0	
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=35 B			3,5/5,8,9,13	45.41	3.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=39 B			2,4/6,8,8,17	44.41	4.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
5.00-5.38 5.00	SPT(C) 50/225 B			5,9/13,21,16		(3.00)		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	
6.00-6.30 6.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			7,11/15,35				0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0	
7.00-7.00	SPT(C) 25*/0 50/0 B			25/50	41.41		Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 7.00m	्र <u>िव</u> िक <u>वि</u> टिवे <u>6 - २२</u> ४ -	
Remarks Borehole bac No groundwa Chiselling fro	ckfilled on completio ater enountered om 6.50m to 7.00m fr	n or 1.0 hou	ır.				Scale (approx) 1:50 Figure N 8065-05	DML bo. D-18.BH02	

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	Ltd	Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH03		
Machine : D.	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diameter		Ground		Client	Job	
Method : C	able Percussion	20	0mm cas	ed to 8.00m	Ground	40.81	Roghan & O'Donovan	Number 8065-09-18	
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates		Project Contractor	Sheet	
		72	8714.6 E	710301.2 N	03	8/10/2018	Ground Investigation Ireland	1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend Safe	
					40.51	(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets		
0.50	В							**************************************	
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=17 B			2,3/3,4,5,5		(1.20)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.50	В				39.31	1.50	Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with some cobbles	0 <u>00</u> 0	
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=10 B			1,2/2,2,3,3		(0.80)		0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0 0.0.0	
					38.51		Stiff brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY	**************************************	
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=10 B			1,1/2,2,2,4		(1.70)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=19 B			2,3/3,5,6,5	36.81	4.00	Stiff Brown sandy gravelly CLAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						(1.10)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.00-5.45 5.00	SPT(C) N=36 B			1,4/7,9,10,10	35.71	5.10	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders		
6.00-6.45 6.00	B B			3,6/8,8,13,11					
7 00-7 45	SPT(C) N=50			1 5/7 16 27					
7.00	B			.,,					
					32.81	8.00	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock		
							Complete at 8.00m		
Remarks								Logged	
Borehole bad No groundwa	ckfilled on completio ater enountered	n					(approx)	By DML	
							Figure 1 8065-0	⊥ No. 9-18.BH03	

	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land		Site Farankelly			Borehole Number BH04		
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 8.30m	Ground	Level (37.97	(mOD)	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		J N 80	ob lumber 65-09-18	
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8612.3 E) 710289.1 N	Dates 25 26	5/09/20 ⁻ 5/09/20 ⁻	18- 18	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		S	heet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	De (r (Thic	pth n) kness)	Description	Legend	Water	Instr	
					37.67		(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets				
0.50	В						(4.00)	Firm to stiff brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY		•		
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=12 B			2,2/2,3,3,4			(1.20)					
1.50	В				36.47		1.50	Firm brown sandy gravelly CLAY with some cobbles	0 <u>0</u> 0			
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=15 B			1,3/2,3,4,6	35.67		(0.80)		0 <u>0</u> 0			
							(0.70)	Sum brown gravelly very sandy CLAY	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=16 B			2,1/3,5,5,3	34.97		3.00	Stiff brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY	······································			
							(1.00)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=17 B			1,3/5,4,4,4	33.97		4.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
							(1.10)		**************************************			
5.00-5.45 5.00	SPT(C) N=42 B			3,7/8,8,11,15	32.87		5.10	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders				
							(0.90)					
6.00-6.38 6.00	SPT(C) 50/225 B			1,10/17,12,21	31.97		6.00	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders				
							(1.00)		<u>0</u>			
7.00-7.15 7.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			25/50	30.97		7.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders	<u>- 0</u>			
							(1.30)					
8.00-8.15 8.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			25/50	29.67		8 30					
								Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 8.30m				
Remarks	ater enountered	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			Scale (approx)		ogged	
50mm slotte seal and rais Chiselling fro	d standpipe installed sed cover. om 7.10m to 7.40m f	from 8.30 for 1 hour.	00m to 2.0 Chisellin	00m with pea gravel s g from 7.90m to 8.30r	surround, m for 0.75	plain pi hours.	pe insta	alled from 2.00m to ground level with bentonite	1:50		DML	
									Figure N 8065-0	\o . 9-18	3.BH04	

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire	Ltd	Site Farankelly			
Machine : D	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client	Job	
Method : C	able Percussion	20	0mm cas	ed to 6.80m		45.08	Roghan & O'Donovan	8065-0	09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates	1/09/2018-	Project Contractor	Shee	et
		72	8543.5 E	710238.6 N	05	5/09/2018	Ground Investigation Ireland	1.	/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	Description	Leger	Water
					44.78	(0.30)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with roo Firm brown sandy gravelly CLAY	tlets	
0.50	В					(1.20)		• • • • • • • •	
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=13 B			1,2/2,2,4,5	10.50				
1.50	В				43.58	(0.40) 1.90	Firm to stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=18 B			2,4/4,3,5,6			rounded fine to coarse GRAVEL		<i></i>
						[(1.20)			
3.00-3.45 3.00	00-3.45 SPT(C) N=22 2,4/5,4,5,8					3.10	Stiff brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						(0.90)			
4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=29 B			1,3/6,6,6,11	41.08	4.00	Stiff brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY with angular cobbles and boulders	h Original	<u>10101</u>
						(1.00)			<u> </u>
5.00-5.30 5.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			7,9/21,29	40.08	5.00	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles a boulders	nd	<u>i () ()</u>
									<u> 70101</u>
6.00-6.45 6.00	SPT(C) N=50 B			3,9/7,7,18,18	39.08	(0.80)	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles a boulders	nd Original	<u> 1.013</u>
					38.28	6.80	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock		<u>र</u> ि:
							Complete at 6.80m		
Remarks No groundwa Borehole bao	ater enountered ckfilled on completio	n				<u> </u>	Sc (app	cale Logo brox) By	ged
Chiselling fro	om 1.60m to 1.80m f	or 0.5 hou	rs. Chise	lling from 5.30m to 5.	60m for 0.	.75 hours. Ch	iselling from 6.60m to 6.80m for 1.0 hour.	50 DM	1L
							Fig 80	gure No. 065-09-18.BH	-105

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land	Ltd		Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH06
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 Cable Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete Omm cas	r ed to 8.00m	Ground	Level 42.40	(mOD)	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8604.9 E) 710192.9 N	Dates	4/09/20 5/09/20)18-)18	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Do ((Thic	epth (m) kness)	Description	Legend Safe
0.50 1.00-1.45 1.00	B SPT(C) N=10 B			1,2/2,2,2,4	42.10		(0.30) 0.30 (1.20)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Firm brown sandy gravelly CLAY	
1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00	.45 SPT(C) N=20 .45 SPT(C) N=19 B 3,3/2,3,7,7				40.90		1.50 (1.70)	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY	
3.00-3.45 3.00	-3.45 SPT(C) N=19 3,3/2,3,7,7		3,3/2,3,7,7	39.20		3.20 (0.80)	Stiff brown slightly gravelly very sandy CLAY		
4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=21 B			2,5/5,5,5,6	38.40		4.00 (1.00)	Medium dense brown slightly gravelly clayey fine to coarse SAND	
5.00-5.30 5.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			6,9/17,33	37.40		5.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles	
6.00-6.22 6.00	SPT(C) 50/70 B			12,23/50			(1.80)		
7.00-7.15 7.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			25/50	35.60		6.80	Stiff light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with angular cobbles	
					34.40		8.00	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 8.00m	
Remarks No groundw Borehole ba Chiselling fro	rater enountered ckfilled on completic om 7.10m to 8.00m f	on for 1.5 hou	ırs.					Scale (approx 1:50	Logged By DML
								8065-	09-18.BH06

ROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land	Ltd		Site Farankelly		Borehole Number BH07	e
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete Omm cas	r ed to 3.60m	Ground	Leve 47.82	I (mOD)	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-1	18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8475.5 E) 710134.2 N	Dates 24	1/09/2	018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	D (Thie	epth (m) ckness)	Description	1	Legend	Water
0.50	в				47.52		(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with root Stiff brown slightly sandy very gravelly CLAY	tlets		
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=22 B			1,2/4,6,6,6			(1.20)		• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.50	В				46.32		1.50	Dense brown clayey very sandy subangular to subround fine to coarse GRAVEL with cobbles and boulders	ded .		
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=38 B			1,7/12,10,8,8			(2.10)				
3.00-3.38 3.00	SPT(C) 50/225			5,9/11,18,21	44.22		3.60	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 3.60m	cale		
No groundwa Borehole baa Chiselling fro	ater enountered ckfilled on completio om 3.60m to 3.60m f	n or 1.0 hou	ır.					(app	50	By DML	
								Fig	jure No	0.	

		14/14				Site Farankelly		
		VVV	w.gii.ie	1			Biloo	
Machine : DANDO 2000 Method : Cable Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 8.00m	Ground	Level (mOD) 45.08	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18	
	Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates		Project Contractor	Sheet	
	72	8543.5 E	710238.6 N	24	/09/2018	Ground Investigation Ireland	1/1	
Depth (m) Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend Safe	
				44.78	(0.30)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets		
0.50 B					(0.70)		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
1.00-1.45 SPT(C) N=31 1.00 B			3,5/7,7,11,6	44.08	1.00	Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly clayey subangular to subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEL	0 0 0 0 0	
1.50 B					(1.00)			
2.00-2.15 SPT(C) 50/0 2.00 B			25/50	43.08	2.00	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY	2 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
					(1.00)		**************************************	
3.00-3.45 SPT(C) N=28			4,6/5,5,9,9	42.08	3.00	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					(1.00)			
4.00-4.45 SPT(C) N=40 4.00 B			2,7/7,8,11,14	41.08	4.00	Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles and boulders		
5.00-5.45 SPT(C) N=33 5.00 B			4,5/7,10,7,9		(2.30)			
6.00-6.45 SPT(C) N=50 6.00 B			1,5/6,13,17,14	38.78	6.30	Stiff dark brown sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles and boulders		
7.00-7.45 SPT(C) N=50 7.00 B			16,19/50		(1.70)			
8.00-8.00 SPT(C) 25*/0 50/0			25/50	37.08		Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 8.00m		
Remarks No groundwater enountered Borehole backfilled on completio Chiselling from 1.90m to 2.40m	on for 1.5 hou	urs.				Scale (approx) 1:50 Figure I	Logged By DML No.	

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti	gations Ire	land I	_td	Site Farankelly		Borehole Number BH09
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 7.30m	Ground 2	Level (mOD 43.30	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS) 8562.6 E) 710274.4 N	Dates 25	/09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	Description		Legend Safe
0.50	в				43.00	(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with ro Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles and bou	ootlets ulders	
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=19 B			1,3/3,4,6,6				-	
1.50	В							-	
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=33 B			3,5/5,8,9,11				-	<u>860</u> 860 860 800 800 800
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=49 B			6,6/6,10,14,19		(5.30)		-	
4.00-4.30 4.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			1,5/11,39				-	
5.00-5.45 5.00	SPT(C) N=28 B			2,4/6,7,6,9	37.70	5.60	Stiff dark brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAX w	with	
6.00-6.30 6.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			7,15/23,27		(1.70)	cobbles and boulders		
7.00-7.15	SPT(C) 50/0			25/50	36.00	7.30	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 7.30m		
Remarks						E		Pagla	
No groundwa Borehole bao Chiselling fro	ater enountered ckfilled on completio om 4.30m to 4.50m f	n or 0.5 hou	ırs. Chise	lling from 7.10m to 7.	30m for 1.0	0 hour.	(ar	pprox)	By
							F	Figure No 8065-09	o. -18.BH09

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire	gations Ireland Ltd w.gii.ie		Site Farankelly		B N F	orehole lumber BH10
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 3.20m	Ground	Level (mOD) 41.22	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Ji N 801	ob Iumber 65-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8468.3 E) 710415.9 N	Dates	4/10/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		S	i heet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Instr
0.50 1.00-1.45 1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00 3.00-3.23 3.00	B SPT(C) N=24 B SPT(C) N=41 SPT(C) 50/75 B			2,4/4,7,5,8 1,3/8,8,11,14 7,15/50	40.92 39.72 39.22 38.02		Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly clayey subangular to subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEI Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles and boulders Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 3.20m			
Remarks No groundwa 50mm slotte seal and rais Chiselling fro	ater enountered d standpipe installed sed cover. om 3.10m to 3.20m f	from 3.20	 0m to 1.0	Om with pea gravel su	urround, p	iain pipe insta	led from 1.00m to ground level with bentonite	Scale (approx) 1:50 Figure I	No.	DML

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti	igations Ireland Ltd ww.gii.ie				Site Farankelly		Borehole Number BH11
Machine : D	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diameter	· · · · g	Ground	l evel	(mOD)	Client		Joh
Method : C	Cable Percussion	20	0mm cas	ed to 3.70m	Cround	50.19	(1100)	Roghan & O'Donovan		Number 8065-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates	1/00/20	118	Project Contractor		Sheet
		72	8422.6 E	710156 N	24	103/20	710	Ground Investigation Ireland		1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	De ((Thic	epth m) kness)	Description		Legend X
					49.89		(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with roo	otlets	
							(0.70)		-	
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=28 B			4,6/7,6,8,7	49.19		1.00	Dense brown slightly clayey sandy subangular to subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEL with some cobbles	;	
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=41 B			8,24/14,10,5,12			(2.70)		-	
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=48 B			8,10/12,12,11,13					-	
					46.49		3.70	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 3.70m		<u>0.°ở</u>
Remarks	ater enountered	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		Sc (apr	cale prox)	Logged By
Chiselling fro	om 3.70m to 3.70m f	or 1 hour.						1:	:50	DML
								Fig	gure N	o.

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land	Lto	ł	Site Farankelly		B N E	oreh umb 3H1	iole er 2
Machine : D	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Leve	el (mOD)	Client		J	ob	
Method : Ca	able Percussion	20	0mm cas	ed to 3.00m		54.8	5	Roghan & O'Donovan		80	65-09	er 9-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates		004.0	Project Contractor		s	heet	
		72	8365 E 7	10088.4 N	21	1/09/2	2018	Ground Investigation Ireland			1/1	I.
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	l (Th	Depth (m) ickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Ins	str
1.00-1.30 1.50 2.00-2.30 2.00 3.00-3.00 3.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B SPT(C) 50/150 B SPT(C) 25*/0 S0/0 B			2,7/18,32 10,15/24,26 25/50	54.55 53.85 53.35 51.85		(0.30) 0.30 (0.70) 1.00 (0.50) 1.50 (1.50) 3.00	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with some cobbles Stiff brown sandy clayey subangular to subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEL with some cobbles Stiff brown slightly sandy very gravelly CLAY with cobbles and boulders Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 3.00m				
Remarks	ater encuntered	1	I		1	<u> </u>		I	Scale	Ļ	ogge	€d
50mm slotted	d standpipe installed	from 3.00	Om to 1.0	m with pea gravel sur	round, pla	ain pij	pe installe	ed from 1.00m to ground level with bentonite seal	(approx)	B	у –	
Chiselling fro	om 1.40m to 1.80m f	or 1 hour.	Chisellin	g trom 2.90m to 3.00r	n tor 1 ho	ur.			1:50 Figure	No.	DML	

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH13
Machine : DA	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 4.60m	Ground	Level (mOD) 56.01	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8309.7 E) 710136.2 N	Dates 19	0/09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Kater Kater
0.50 1.00-1.45 1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00 3.00-3.45 3.00 4.00-4.30 4.00	В SPT(C) N=6 В SPT(C) N=23 SPT(C) N=34 SPT(C) 50/150			3,3/1,1,2,2 1,3/4,4,7,8 3,5/5,9,10,10 5,11/18,32	55.71 54.11 53.01		Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Brown sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles Stiff brown very sandy clayey subangular to subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEL with some cobbles and boulders Stiff light brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY with some cobbles Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 4.60m	
Remarks No groundwa Borehole bac Chiselling fro	ater enountered ckfilled on completio om 4.30m to 4.60m f	n or 1 hour.					Scale (approx 1:50 Figure 8065-) Logged By DML • No. 09-18.BH13

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti ww	gations Ire /w.gii.ie	ns Ireland Ltd			Site Farankelly		Boreho Numbe BH1	ole er 4
Machine : D	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Level	(mOD)	Client		Job	
Method : C	able Percussion	20	0mm cas	ed to 4.20m		50.66		Roghan & O'Donovan		Numbe 8065-09	ər)-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates			Project Contractor		Sheet	
		72	8348.4 E	710226.9 N	19	9/09/20	18	Ground Investigation Ireland		1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	De (I (Thicl	epth m) kness)	Description		Legend	Water
Depth 0.50 1.00-1.45 1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00 3.00-3.15 3.00	Sample / Tests B SPT(C) N=14 B SPT(C) N=50 B SPT(C) 50/0 B	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records 1,3/2,3,5,4 4,9/9,14,10,17 25/50	(möğ) 50.36 49.16 46.46		(0.30) (0.30) (1.20) (1.20) (2.70) (2.70)	Description Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with r Firm to stiff brown sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles Stiff brown slightly sandy very gravelly CLAY with col Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 4.20m	bbles	Legend Natoral Carlonal Carlona	Water
Remarks No groundw Borehole bar Chiselling fro	ater enountered ckfilled on completio om 3.40m to 3.20m f	n or 1 hour.						(2	Scale approx) 1:50	Logge By DML	d
										0.	

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire w.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Farankelly	Borehole Number BH15
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 6.50m	Ground	Level (mOD) 50.96	Client Roghan & O'Donovan	Job Number 8065-09-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8271.6 E) 710253.9 N	Dates 20)/09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Kater S
0.50	B SPT(C) N=21			2,3/3,5,5,8	50.66 49.96	(0.30) 0.30 (0.70) 1.00	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlet Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with cobble Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles	s <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u> <u>s</u>
1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00)-2.45 SPT(C) N=37 B)-3.38 SPT(C) 50/225 B		1,4/6,9,9,13		(1.70)			
3.00-3.38 3.00	10-3.38 SPT(C) 50/225 7,12/ 0 B		7,12/16,19,15	48.26		Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with cobbles and boulders		
4.00-4.15 4.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			11,21/50				
5.00-5.15 5.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			25/50				
6.00-6.15 6.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			18,7/50	44.46		Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 6.50m	
Remarks No groundw Borehole ba	ater enountered ckfilled on completio	n for 0.75 bc		elling from 6.40m to	6 50m for	1 hour	Scale (appro	x) By
Crusening fro	ວາກ ວ.ວບm to 3.70m f	UI U.75 NC	ours. Chis	ening nom 6.40m to	U.SUM TOP	i nour.	1:50	
							Figur 8065	-09-18.BH15

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Grou	nd In	vesti wv	gations Ire	land I	_td	Site Farankelly		B N F	oreh lumb 3H1	iole er 16
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 Cable Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 5.40m	Ground	Level (mOD) 56.78	Client Roghan & O'Donovan		J N 80	ob lumb 65-09)er 9-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS 8240.8 E) 710182.6 N	Dates 19 20	/09/2018- /09/2018	Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		S	heet 1/1	:
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Ins	str
					56.48	(0.30)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with rootlets Stiff brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY				
0.50	В						with cobbles	<u>0.0.0</u>	•		
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=13 B			1,2/2,3,3,5		(1.70)		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•		
1.50	В							0 <u>0</u> 000	•		
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N=15 B			2,2/4,3,4,4	54.78	2.00	Dense brown clayey gravelly fine to coarse SANE with cobbles				30 4.25 05 05 05 4.25 5 80 998 05 25 55 80 998 0
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=31 B			3,6/6,7,8,10		(2.20)					<u></u>
4.00-4.34 4.00	SPT(C) 50/185 B			5,8/13,14,22,1	52.58	4.20	Stiff brown very sandy gravelly CLAY with cobble and boulders	s Color	V. 6. V. (. V. 6. 8. 1. 1.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>లో లాక్రింతో పెట్టా లక్ష్మంతో లక్ష్</u> 2 కార్టర్లో లోలు లక్ష్మంతో కార్ లో లాక్ష్ 2 కార్టర్లో లాక్ట్రంతో కార్ లో లాక్ట్రంతో
5.00-5.20 5.00	SPT(C) 50/50 B			6,18/50	51 38	(1.20)			- VI - VE VI -		4.55 of 050 0425
Remarks No groundw	rater enountered				51.38		Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock Complete at 5.40m	Scale			E#20
50mm slotte seal and rais Chiselling fro	rater enountered d standpipe installed sed cover. om 5.20m to 5.40m f	l from 5.4	0m to 1.0	0m with pea gravel su	urround, pl	ain pipe instal	led from 1.00m to ground level with bentonite	(approx) 1:50	B	DML	_
								Figure N	No. 9-18		16

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND	Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd							Site Farankelly		Borehole Number BH17	
Machine : D Method : C	ANDO 2000 able Percussion	Casing 20	Diamete 0mm cas	r ed to 8.00m	Ground Level (mOD) 49.67			Client Roghan & O'Donovan		Job Number 8065-09-18	
		Location (dGPS) 728328.2 E 710306.8 N			Dates 08/10/2018			Project Contractor Ground Investigation Ireland		Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	De (r (Thick	pth n) (ness)	Description		Kater Kater	
0.50 1.00-1.45 1.00 1.50 2.00-2.45 2.00	B SPT(C) N=9 B B SPT(C) N=11 B			1,1/2,2,2,3	49.37		(0.30) 0.30 (1.70) 2.00 (0.80)	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with root Medium dense reddish brown very clayey gravelly fine t medium SAND with some cobbles	tlets to m		
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT(C) N=18 B			1,3/3,5,5,5	46.87		2.80	Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with some cobb	bles		
4.00-4.45 4.00	SPT(C) N=43 B			2,5/8,8,10,17					-		
5.00-5.30 5.00	SPT(C) 50/150 B			7,13/19,31			(5.20)		-		
6.00-6.15 6.00	SPT(C) 50/0 B			25/50					-		
7.00-7.00 7.00	SPT(C) 25*/0 50/0 B			25/50	44.07				-		
					41.67		0.00	Complete at 8.00m			
Remarks No groundwa Borehole bad	ater enountered ckfilled on completio	n	I					Sc (app	cale prox)	Logged By	
								1:: Fig	gure N	DML	
								80	065-09	-18.BH17	

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd							Site Farankelly		Borehole Number BH18	
Machine : D.	ANDO 2000	Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client		Job	-
Method : Cable Percussion		20	0mm cas	ed to 2.70m		47.02	Roghan & O'Donovan		8065-09-	-18
		Locatio	n (dGPS)	Dates	5/10/2018	Project Contractor		Sheet	
		72	8339.1 E	710381.1 N		, 10,2010	Ground Investigation Ireland		1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	Description		Legend	Water
					46.72	(0.30) 0.30	Brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly TOPSOIL with Stiff brown sandy very gravelly CLAY with cobbles	h rootlets		
0.50	В					(0.70)	boulders			
1.00-1.45 1.00	SPT(C) N=24 B			2,4/4,5,7,8	46.02	1.00	Medium dense brown sandy very clayey fine to co subangular to subrounded GRAVEL	arse		
1.50	В					(1.00)			00	
2.00-2.23 2.00	SPT(C) 50/75 B			6,17/50	45.02	2.00	Dense brown sandy very clayey fine to coarse sub to subrounded GRAVEL	angular	<u>, </u>	
					44.32	(0.70) 2.70	Obstruction due to presumed boulder or Rock	Г	<u>;;;</u> 0,0,0	
							Complete at 2.70m			
						=				
						E- E-				
						E				
						E-				
Remarks	ater enountered					 		Scale	Logged	1
Borehole bac Chiselling fro	ckfilled on completio om 2.40m to 2.70m f	n or 1.5 hou	Irs.					1.50	-,	
								Figure N		
								8065-09	9-18.BH18	3

APPENDIX 5 – Laboratory Testing



Ground Investigations Ireland Catherinestown House

Hazelhatch Road

Newcastle Co. Dublin Ireland

Exova Jones Environmental

Registered Office: Exova Environmental UK Limited, 10 Lower Grosvenor Place, London, SW1W 0EN. Reg No. 11371415

Unit 3 Deeside Point Zone 3 Deeside Industrial Park Deeside CH5 2UA

Tel: +44 (0) 1244 833780 Fax: +44 (0) 1244 833781



Attention :	Conor Finnerty
Date :	16th November, 2018
Your reference :	8065-09-18
Our reference :	Test Report 18/17808 Batch 1
Location :	Farankelly
Date samples received :	5th November, 2018
Status :	Final report
Issue :	1

Five samples were received for analysis on 5th November, 2018 of which five were scheduled for analysis. Please find attached our Test Report which should be read with notes at the end of the report and should include all sections if reproduced. Interpretations and opinions are outside the scope of any accreditation, and all results relate only to samples supplied.

All analysis is carried out on as received samples and reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Results are not surrogate corrected.

Where Waste Acceptance Criteria Suite (EC Decision of 19 December 2002 (2003/33/EC)) has been requested, all analyses have been performed using the relevant EN methods where they exist.

Compiled By:

illaumed.

Lucas Halliwell Project Co-ordinator

Exova Jones Environmental

Client Name:	Ground In	vestigatior	ns Ireland				Report : Solid							
Reference:	Farankell	10												
Contact:	Conor Fin	nertv					Solius: v=	oug voc jai	i, J=250g gi	lass jar, ⊺=µ				
JE Job No.:	18/17808	inerty												
J E Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20									
Sample ID	BH01	BH03	BH09	BH10	BH16									
Depth	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00						Plaasa sa	o attachad n	otos for all	
COC No / misc											abbrevi	ations and a	cronyms	
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT									
Sample Date	28/09/2018	04/10/2018	25/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018									
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil									
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1								Mark a d	
Date of Receipt	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018						LOD/LOR	Units	No.	
Antimony	<1	1	1	<1	<1						<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
Arsenic [#]	8.1	9.9	12.6	8.7	9.9						<0.5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
Barium [#]	38	69	53	38	73						<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
Cadmium [#]	0.6	2.2	0.9	0.8	0.8						<0.1	ma/ka	TM30/PM15	
Chromium [#]	36.5	50.2	33.7	23.7	39.4						<0.5	ma/ka	TM30/PM15	
Copper [#]	9	10	11	27	11						<1	ma/ka	TM30/PM15	
Lead [#]	10	23	24	14	17						<5	ma/ka	TM30/PM15	
Mercup/#	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1						<0.1	ma/ka	TM30/PM15	
Melvbdonum #	1.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.2						<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
Niekol#	24.1	22.1	21.0	22.0	20.5						<0.7	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
Colorium #	24.1	1	31.0	1	29.0						<0.7	mg/kg	TM20/DM15	
	50	74	2	55	07						-5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15	
ZINC	52	74	09		01						<5	ilig/kg	TWISO/FWITC	
PAH MS														
Naphthalene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Acenaphthylene	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03						<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Acenaphthene #	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Fluorene [#]	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Phenanthrene [#]	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03						<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Anthracene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Fluoranthene#	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03						<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Pyrene #	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03						<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(a)anthracene #	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06						<0.06	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Chrysene [#]	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02						<0.02	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(bk)fluoranthene #	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07						<0.07	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(a)pyrene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Indeno(123cd)pyrene#	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(ghi)perylene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Coronene	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04						<0.04	ma/ka	TM4/PM8	
PAH 6 Total [#]	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22						<0.22	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
PAH 17 Total	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64						<0.64	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02						<0.02	mg/kg	TM4/PM8	
Benzo(j)fluoranthene	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1						<1	ma/ka	TM4/PM8	
PAH Surrogate % Recovery	113	115	116	117	110						<0	%	TM4/PM8	
,,	-	-	-											
Mineral Oil (C10-C40)	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30						<30	ma/ka	TM5/PM8/PM16	
												33		
						l								

Exova Jones Environmental

Client Name:	Ground In	vestigatior	ns Ireland			Report : Solid							
Reference:	8065-09-1	18											
Location:	Farankell	y .				Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub							
Contact:	Conor Fin	inerty											
JE JOD NO.:	18/17808			-		 -	-	-					
J E Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20								
Sample ID	BH01	BH03	BH09	BH10	BH16								
Depth	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00					Please se	e attached n	otes for all	
COC No / misc										abbrevia	ations and a	cronyms	
Containers	VIT	VIT	VIT	VIT	VIT								
Samula Data	00/00/2010	04/40/2040	05/00/0040	04/40/2040	04/40/2040								
Sample Date	28/09/2018	04/10/2018	25/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018								
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil							1	
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1					LOD/LOR	Units	Method	
Date of Receipt	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018							NO.	
TPH CWG													
Aliphatics													
>C5-C6 #	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>C6-C8 *	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>C8-C10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>C10-C12#	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2					<0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>C12-C16 #	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4					<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>C16-C21#	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>C21-C35#	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>C35-C40	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
Total aliphatics C5-40	<26	<26	<26	<26	<26					<26	mg/kg	TM5/TM38/PM8/PM12/Pf	
>C6-C10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>C10-C25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10					<10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>C25-C35	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10					<10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
Aromatics													
>C5-EC7#	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>EC7-EC8*	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>EC8-EC10 [#]	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>EC10-EC12#	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2					<0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>EC12-EC16#	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4					<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>EC16-EC21 #	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>EC21-EC35 #	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>EC35-EC40	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
Total aromatics C5-40	<26	<26	<26	<26	<26					<26	mg/kg	TM5/TM38/PM8/PM12/P#	
Total aliphatics and aromatics(C5-40)	<52	<52	<52	<52	<52					<52	mg/kg	TM5/TM38/PM8/PM12/PM	
>EC6-EC10#	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM1	
>EC10-EC25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10					<10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
>EC25-EC35	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10					<10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM1	
											-		
MTBE *	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
Benzene [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
Toluene #	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
Ethylbenzene #	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
m/p-Xylene #	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
o-Xylene #	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM31/PM1	
PCB 28 [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 52#	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 101 #	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 118 [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 138 [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 153 [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	
PCB 180 [#]	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM	
Total 7 PCBs [#]	<35	<35	<35	<35	<35					<35	ug/kg	TM17/PM8	

Exova Jones Environmental

Client Name: Reference:	Ground In 8065-09-1	vestigatior	ns Ireland				Report : Solid Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub							
Location: Contact: JE Job No.:	Farankelly Conor Fin 18/17808	y inerty												
J E Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20						1			
Sample ID	BH01	BH03	BH09	BH10	BH16									
Danéh	0.50	4.00	0.50	4.00	4.00									
	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00						Please se abbrevi	e attached n ations and a	otes for all cronyms	
COC NO / MISC													-	
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT									
Sample Date	28/09/2018	04/10/2018	25/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018									
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil							1	1	
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1						LOD/LOR	Units	Method	
Date of Receipt	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018								No.	
Natural Moisture Content	14.5	16.6	22.5	13.2	22.0						<0.1	%	PM4/PM0	
Moisture Content (% Wet Weight)	12.7	14.3	18.4	11.6	18.0						<0.1	%	PM4/PM0	
Hexavalent Chromium #	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3						<0.3	mg/kg	TM38/PM20	
Sulphate as SO4 (2:1 Ext) *	0.0061	0.0020	0.0047	<0.0015	0.0109						<0.0015	g/l	NONE/NONE	
	30.5	50.2	33.7	23.7	35.4						<0.5	ilig/kg	NONEMONE	
Total Organic Carbon *	0.35	0.51	0.48	0.65	0.41						<0.02	%	TM21/PM24	
рН#	8.42	6.94	8.04	7.84	8.08						<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM11	
Mass of raw test portion	0.1041	0.1032	0.1071	0.1039	0.1142							kg	NONE/PM17	
Mass of dried test portion	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09							kg	NONE/PM17	
Client Name:														

Reference:														
Location:														
Contact:														
JE Job No.:														

Ground Investigations Ireland 8065-09-18 Farankelly Conor Finnerty 18/17808

Report : CEN 10:1 1 Batch

Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub

J E Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20					
Sample ID	BH01	BH03	BH09	BH10	BH16					
Depth	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00			Please se	e attached n	otes for all
COC No / misc								abbrevi	ations and a	cronyms
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT					
Sample Date	28/09/2018	04/10/2018	25/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018					
Oample Date	20/09/2010	04/10/2018	23/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018					
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil					
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1			LOD/LOR	Units	Method
Date of Receipt	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018					INO.
Dissolved Antimony [#]	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Antimony (A10) #	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02			<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Arsenic [#]	<0.0025	<0.0025	<0.0025	<0.0025	<0.0025			<0.0025	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Arsenic (A10)#	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025			<0.025	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Barium #	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003			<0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Barium (A10) #	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03			<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Cadmium [#]	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005			<0.0005	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Cadmium (A10) #	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			<0.005	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Chromium [#]	<0.0015	<0.0015	<0.0015	<0.0015	<0.0015			<0.0015	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Chromium (A10) *	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015			<0.015	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Copper [#]	<0.007	<0.007	<0.007	<0.007	<0.007			<0.007	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Copper (A10)*	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07			<0.07	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Lead#	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			<0.005	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Lead (A10)*	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05			<0.05	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Molybdenum*	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Molybdenum (A10)*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02			<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Nickel [#]	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Nickel (A10) *	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02			<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Selenium *	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	<0.003			< 0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Selenium (A10) "	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03			<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Zinc "	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003			<0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Zinc (A10)"	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03			<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Mercury Dissolved by CVAF "	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001			<0.0001	mg/i	TM61/PM0
Mercury Dissolved by CVAF	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001			<0.0001	mg/kg	
Phenol	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01			<0.01	mg/l	TM26/PM0
Phenol	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1			<0.1	mg/kg	TM26/PM0
Fluoride	0.4	0.5	0.5	<0.3	0.4			<0.3	ma/l	TM173/PM0
Fluoride	4	5	5	<3	4			<3	ma/ka	TM173/PM0
i luondo				10				10		
Sulphate as SO4 #	0.42	0.25	1 21	0.09	2 42			<0.05	ma/l	TM38/PM0
Sulphate as SO4 [#]	4.2	2.5	12.1	0.9	24.2			<0.5	ma/ka	TM38/PM0
Chloride [#]	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	0.6			<0.3	ma/l	TM38/PM0
Chloride [#]	<3	<3	<3	<3	6			<3	ma/ka	TM38/PM0
	10	10	10	10				10		11100,11110
Dissolved Organic Carbon	2	3	2	5	2			<2	mg/l	TM60/PM0
Dissolved Organic Carbon	20	30	20	50	20			<20	mg/kg	TM60/PM0
pH	8.09	7.17	7.77	8.02	7.60			<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM0
Total Dissolved Solids [#]	59	37	68	104	71			<35	ma/l	TM20/PM0
Total Dissolved Solids	590	370	680	1040	710			<350	mg/ka	TM20/PM0
									33	

Exova Jones Envir	onment	al													
Client Name: Reference: Location: Contact:	Ground In 8065-09-1 Farankelly Conor Fin	ivestigatior 18 / nerty	ns Ireland			Report : Solids: V=	EN12457 _ 60g VOC ja	_ 2 r, J=250g gl	ass jar, T=p	lastic tub					
JE Job No.:	18/17808									1					
J E Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20										
Sample ID	BH01	BH03	BH09	BH10	BH16										
Depth	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00								Ploaso so	o attached p	otos for all
COC No / misc										Ì			abbrevi	ations and ac	cronyms
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT										
Sample Date	28/09/2018	04/10/2018	25/09/2018	04/10/2018	04/10/2018										
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil										
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1										
Batch Number	1	1			1					Inert	Stable Non- reactive	Hazardous	LOD LOR	Units	Method No.
Date of Receipt	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018	05/11/2018										
Total Organic Carbon #	0.35	0.51	0.48	0.65	0.41					3	5	6	<0.02	%	TM21/PM24
Sum of BTEX	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025					6	-	-	<0.025	mg/kg	TM31/PM12
Sum of 7 PCBs	<0.035	<0.035	<0.035	<0.035	<0.035					1	-	-	<0.035	mg/kg	TM17/PM8
Mineral Oil	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30					500	-	-	<30	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
PAH Sum of 6 #	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22					-	-	-	<0.22	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
PAH Sum of 17	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64	<0.64					100	-	-	<0.64	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
CEN 10:1 Leachate															
Arsonic #	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025					0.5	2	25	<0.025	ma/ka	TM30/PM17
Barium #	< 0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03					20	100	300	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Cadmium "	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005					0.04	1	5	<0.005	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Chromium #	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015					0.5	10	70	<0.015	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Copper *	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07					2	50	100	<0.07	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Mercury*	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001					0.01	0.2	2	<0.0001	mg/kg	TM61/PM0
Molybdenum "	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02					0.5	10	30	<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Nickel"	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02					0.4	10	40 50	<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Antimonv [#]	<0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02					0.06	0.7	5	<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Selenium "	< 0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	< 0.03					0.1	0.5	7	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Zinc "	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03					4	50	200	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Total Dissolved Solids #	590	370	680	1040	710					4000	60000	100000	<350	mg/kg	TM20/PM0
Dissolved Organic Carbon	20	30	20	50	20					500	800	1000	<20	mg/kg	TM60/PM0
Mana di santa di si	0.40.00	0.4000	0.4074	0.4000	0.4110										
Dry Matter Content Ratio	0.1041	87.0	8/1 1	86.7	78.7					-	-	-	<0.1	кg %	NONE/PM17
Leachant Volume	0.886	0.887	0.883	0.886	0.876					-	-	-	~0.1	70 I	NONE/PM17
Eluate Volume	0.85	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.85					-	-	-		I	NONE/PM17
рН "	8.42	6.94	8.04	7.84	8.08					-	-	-	<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM11
Phenol	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1					1	-	-	<0.1	mg/kg	TM26/PM0
Fluoride	4	5	5	<3	4					-	-	-	<3	mg/kg	TM173/PM0
Sulphate as SO4 #	4.2	2.5	12.1	0.9	24.2					1000	20000	50000	<0.5	mg/kg	TM38/PM0
Chloride #	<3	<3	<3	<3	6					800	15000	25000	<3	mg/kg	TM38/PM0
															ĺ

				^	
ΝЛ	241	'IV		50	
IVI	a 11		-	
	~ ~ ~				

Ground Investigations Ireland
8065-09-18
Farankelly
Conor Finnerty

J E Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	J E Sample No.	EPH Interpretation
18/17808	1	BH01	0.50	1-4	No interpretation possible
18/17808	1	BH03	1.00	5-8	No interpretation possible
18/17808	1	BH09	0.50	9-12	No interpretation possible
18/17808	1	BH10	1.00	13-16	No interpretation possible
18/17808	1	BH16	1.00	17-20	No interpretation possible

Client Name:	Ground Investigations Ireland
Reference:	18/09/8065
Location:	Farankelly
Contact:	Conor Finnerty

Note:

Asbestos Screen analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM065 and HSG 248 by Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy using Dispersion Staining Techniques and is covered by our UKAS accreditation. Detailed Gravimetric Quantification and PCOM Fibre Analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM131 and HSG 248 using Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy and Phase Contrast Optical Microscopy (PCOM). Samples are retained for not less than 6 months from the date of analysis unless specifically requested.

Opinions, including ACM type and Asbestos level less than 0.1%, lie outside the scope of our UKAS accreditation.

Where the sample is not taken by a Jones Environmental Laboratory consultant, Jones Environmental Laboratory cannot be responsible for inaccurate or unrepresentative sampling.

Signed on behalf of Jones Environmental Laboratory:

Ryan Butterworth Asbestos Team Leader

J E Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	J E Sample No.	Date Of Analysis	Analysis	Result
18/17808	1	BH01	0.50	3	13/11/2018	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	soil.stones
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Type	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Level Screen	NAD
18/17808	1	BH03	1.00	7	13/11/2018	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	soil.stones
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Type	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Level Screen	NAD
18/17808	1	BH09	0.50	11	13/11/2018	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	soil.stones
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Type	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Level Screen	NAD
18/17808	1	BH10	1.00	15	13/11/2018	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	soil.stones
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Type	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Level Screen	NAD
18/17808	1	BH16	1.00	19	13/11/2018	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	soil.stones
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Type	NAD
					13/11/2018	Asbestos Level Screen	NAD

Client Name:Ground Investigations IrelandReference:8065-09-18

Location: Farankelly

Contact: Conor Finnerty

J E Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	J E Sample No.	Analysis	Reason
18/17808	1	BH01	0.50	1-4	EPH, GRO, PAH, PCB, pH, Sulphate, TOC	Sample holding time exceeded prior to receipt
18/17808	1	BH03	1.00	5-8	EPH, GRO, PAH, PCB, pH, Sulphate, TOC	Sample holding time exceeded prior to receipt
18/17808	1	BH09	0.50	9-12	EPH, GRO, PAH, PCB, pH, Sulphate, TOC	Sample holding time exceeded prior to receipt
18/17808	1	BH10	1.00	13-16	EPH, GRO, PAH, PCB, pH, Sulphate, TOC	Sample holding time exceeded prior to receipt
18/17808	1	BH16	1.00	17-20	EPH, GRO, PAH, PCB, pH, Sulphate, TOC	Sample holding time exceeded prior to receipt

Please note that only samples that are deviating are mentioned in this report. If no samples are listed it is because none were deviating.

Only analyses which are accredited are recorded as deviating if set criteria are not met.

Matrix : Solid

NOTES TO ACCOMPANY ALL SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

JE Job No.: 18/17808

SOILS

Please note we are only MCERTS accredited (UK soils only) for sand, loam and clay and any other matrix is outside our scope of accreditation.

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation has been performed on clay, sand and loam, only samples that are predominantly these matrices, or combinations of them will be within our MCERTS scope. If samples are not one of a combination of the above matrices they will not be marked as MCERTS accredited.

It is assumed that you have taken representative samples on site and require analysis on a representative subsample. Stones will generally be included unless we are requested to remove them.

All samples will be discarded one month after the date of reporting, unless we are instructed to the contrary.

If you have not already done so, please send us a purchase order if this is required by your company.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

All analysis is reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Results are not surrogate corrected. Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C unless otherwise stated. Moisture content for CEN Leachate tests are dried at 105°C ±5°C.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

Where a CEN 10:1 ZERO Headspace VOC test has been carried out, a 10:1 ratio of water to wet (as received) soil has been used.

% Asbestos in Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) is determined by reference to HSG 264 The Survey Guide - Appendix 2 : ACMs in buildings listed in order of ease of fibre release.

Negative Neutralization Potential (NP) values are obtained when the volume of NaOH (0.1N) titrated (pH 8.3) is greater than the volume of HCI (1N) to reduce the pH of the sample to 2.0 - 2.5. Any negative NP values are corrected to 0.

The calculation of Pyrite content assumes that all oxidisable sulphides present in the sample are pyrite. This may not be the case. The calculation may be an overesitimate when other sulphides such as Barite (Barium Sulphate) are present.

WATERS

Please note we are not a UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) Approved Laboratory .

ISO17025 accreditation applies to surface water and groundwater and usually one other matrix which is analysis specific, any other liquids are outside our scope of accreditation.

As surface waters require different sample preparation to groundwaters the laboratory must be informed of the water type when submitting samples.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

DEVIATING SAMPLES

Samples must be received in a condition appropriate to the requested analyses. All samples should be submitted to the laboratory in suitable containers with sufficient ice packs to sustain an appropriate temperature for the requested analysis. If this is not the case you will be informed and any test results that may be compromised highlighted on your deviating samples report.

SURROGATES

Surrogate compounds are added during the preparation process to monitor recovery of analytes. However low recovery in soils is often due to peat, clay or other organic rich matrices. For waters this can be due to oxidants, surfactants, organic rich sediments or remediation fluids. Acceptable limits for most organic methods are 70 - 130% and for VOCs are 50 - 150%. When surrogate recoveries are outside the performance criteria but the associated AQC passes this is assumed to be due to matrix effect. Results are not surrogate corrected.

DILUTIONS

A dilution suffix indicates a dilution has been performed and the reported result takes this into account. No further calculation is required.

BLANKS

Where analytes have been found in the blank, the sample will be treated in accordance with our laboratory procedure for dealing with contaminated blanks.

NOTE

Data is only reported if the laboratory is confident that the data is a true reflection of the samples analysed. Data is only reported as accredited when all the requirements of our Quality System have been met. In certain circumstances where all the requirements of the Quality System have not been met, for instance if the associated AQC has failed, the reason is fully investigated and documented. The sample data is then evaluated alongside the other quality control checks performed during analysis to determine its suitability. Following this evaluation, provided the sample results have not been effected, the data is reported but accreditation is removed. It is a UKAS requirement for data not reported as accredited to be considered indicative only, but this does not mean the data is not valid.

Where possible, and if requested, samples will be re-extracted and a revised report issued with accredited results. Please do not hesitate to contact the laboratory if further details are required of the circumstances which have led to the removal of accreditation.

REPORTS FROM THE SOUTH AFRICA LABORATORY

Any method number not prefixed with SA has been undertaken in our UK laboratory unless reported as subcontracted.

ABBREVIATIONS and ACRONYMS USED

#	ISO17025 (UKAS Ref No. 4225) accredited - UK.
SA	ISO17025 (SANAS Ref No.T0729) accredited - South Africa.
В	Indicates analyte found in associated method blank.
DR	Dilution required.
М	MCERTS accredited.
NA	Not applicable
NAD	No Asbestos Detected.
ND	None Detected (usually refers to VOC and/SVOC TICs).
NDP	No Determination Possible
SS	Calibrated against a single substance
SV	Surrogate recovery outside performance criteria. This may be due to a matrix effect.
W	Results expressed on as received basis.
+	AQC failure, accreditation has been removed from this result, if appropriate, see 'Note' on previous page.
++	Result outside calibration range, results should be considered as indicative only and are not accredited.
*	Analysis subcontracted to an Exova Jones Environmental approved laboratory.
AD	Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C
СО	Suspected carry over
LOD/LOR	Limit of Detection (Limit of Reporting) in line with ISO 17025 and MCERTS
ME	Matrix Effect
NFD	No Fibres Detected
BS	AQC Sample
LB	Blank Sample
N	Client Sample
ТВ	Trip Blank Sample
OC	Outside Calibration Range

Appendix - Methods used for WAC (2003/33/EC)

JE Job No.: 18/17808

	I.S. EN 124E7 2:2002 Specified particle size: water added to L/S ratio: connect: parteted for 24 + 0.5 hours: eluste pattled and
10l/kg; 4mm	filtered over 0.45 um membrane filter.
Eluate analysis	
As	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Ba	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Cd	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Cr total	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Cu	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Hg	I.S. EN 13370 rec. EN 1483 (CVAAS)
Мо	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Ni	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Pb	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Sb	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Se	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Zn	I.S. EN 12506 : EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Chloride	I.S. EN 12506 rec. EN ISO 10304-part 1 (liquid chromatography of ions)
Fluoride	I.S. EN 12506 rec. EN ISO 10304-part 1 (liquid chromatography of ions)
Sulphate	I.S. EN 12506 rec. EN ISO 10304-part 1 (liquid chromatography of ions)
Phenol index	I.S. EN 13370 rec. ISO 6439 (4-Aminoantipyrine spectrometic methods after distillation)* (BY HPLC - Jones Env)
DOC	I.S. EN 1484
TDS	I.S. EN 15216
Compositional	analysis
TOC	I.S. EN 13137 Method B: carbonates removed with acid; TOC by combustion.
BTEX	GC-FID
PCB7**	I.S. EN 15308 analysis by GC-ECD.
Mineral oil	I.S. EN 14039 C10 to C40 analysis by GC-FID.
PAH17***	I.S. EN 15527 PAH17 analysis by GC-MS
Metals	I.S. EN 13657 - Aqua regia digestion: EN ISO 11885 (ICP-OES)
Other	
	I.S. EN 14346 sample is dried to a constant mass in an oven at 105 ± 3 °C: Method B Water content by direct Karl-Fischer
Dry matter	titration and either volumetric or coulometric detection.
LOI	I.S. EN 15169 Difference in mass after heating in a furnace up to 550 \pm 25 °C.
ANC	CEN/TS 15364 Determined by amouns of acid or base needed to cover the pH range

*If not suitable due to LOD, precision, etc., any other suitable method can be used, e.g. AFS, ICP-MS **PCB-28, PCB-52, PCB-101, PCB-118, PCB-138, PCB-153 and PCB-180

***Naphthalene, Acenaphthylene, Acenaphthene, Anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Benzo(g,h,i)perylene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Chrysene, Coronene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Fluorene, Fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene, Phenanthrene and Pyrene.

Method Code Appendix

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465 and BS1377.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270 method for the solvent extraction and determination of 16 PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.			AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270 method for the solvent extraction and determination of 16 PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5	Modified USEPA 8015B method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) with carbon banding within the range C8-C40 GC-FID.	PM16	Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	
TM5	Modified USEPA 8015B method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) with carbon banding within the range C8-C40 GC-FID.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	Yes
TM5	Modified USEPA 8015B method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) with carbon banding within the range C8-C40 GC-FID.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5/TM36	please refer to TM5 and TM36 for method details	PM8/PM12/PM16	please refer to PM8/PM16 and PM12 for method details			AR	Yes
TM17	Modified US EPA method 8270. Determination of specific Polychlorinated Biphenyl congeners by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM20	Modified BS 1377-3: 1990/USEPA 160.3 Gravimetric determination of Total Dissolved Solids/Total Solids	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM21	Modified BS 7755-3:1995, ISO10694:1995 Determination of Total Organic Carbon or Total Carbon by combustion in an Eltra TOC furnace/analyser in the presence of oxygen. The CO2 generated is quantified using infra-red detection. Organic Matter (SOM) calculated as per EA MCERTS Chemical Testing of Soil, March 2012 v4.	PM24	Dried and ground solid samples are washed with hydrochloric acid, then rinsed with deionised water to remove the mineral carbon before TOC analysis.	Yes		AD	Yes

Method Code Appendix

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM26	Determination of phenols by Reversed Phased High Performance Liquid Chromatography and Electro-Chemical Detection.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metal elements by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry). Modified US EPA Method 200.7, 6010B and BS EN ISO 11885 2009	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.			AD	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metal elements by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry). Modified US EPA Method 200.7, 6010B and BS EN ISO 11885 2009	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.	Yes		AD	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metal elements by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry). Modified US EPA Method 200.7, 6010B and BS EN ISO 11885 2009	PM17	Modified method EN12457-2 As received solid samples are leached with water in a 10:1 water to soil ratio for 24 hours, the moisture content of the sample is included in the ratio.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM31	Modified USEPA 8015B. Determination of Methyltertbutylether, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene by headspace GC-FID.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes
TM31	Modified USEPA 8015B. Determination of Methyltertbutylether, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene by headspace GC-FID.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID co-elutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results can be confirmed using GCMS.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID co-elutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results can be confirmed using GCMS.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods 325.2 (Chloride), 375.4 (Sulphate), 365.2 (o-Phosphate), 353.1 (TON), 354.1 (Nitrite), 350.1 (NH4+) comparable to BS ISO 15923-1, 7196A (Hex Cr)	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods 325.2 (Chloride), 375.4 (Sulphate), 365.2 (o-Phosphate), 353.1 (TON), 354.1 (Nitrite), 350.1 (NH4+) comparable to BS ISO 15923-1, 7196A (Hex Cr)	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AD	Yes

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods 325.2 (Chloride), 375.4 (Sulphate), 365.2 (o-Phosphate), 353.1 (TON), 354.1 (Nitrite), 350.1 (NH4+) comparable to BS ISO 15923-1, 7196A (Hex Cr)	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM60	TC/TOC analysis of Waters by High Temperature Combustion followed by NDIR detection. Based on the following modified standard methods: USEPA 9060, APHA Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater 5310B, ASTM D 7573, and USEPA 415.1.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
TM61	Modified US EPA methods 245.7 and 200.7. Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour Atomic Fluorescence.	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM65	Asbestos Bulk Identification method based on HSG 248.	PM42	Solid samples undergo a thorough visual inspection for asbestos fibres prior to asbestos identification using TM065.	Yes		AR	
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 and 9045D and BS1377:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 and 9045D and BS1377:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM11	Extraction of as received solid samples using one part solid to 2.5 parts deionised water.	Yes		AR	No
TM173	Analysis of fluoride by ISE (Ion Selective Electrode) using modified ISE method 340.2	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	NONE	No Method Code			AR	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	PM17	Modified method EN12457-2 As received solid samples are leached with water in a 10:1 water to soil ratio for 24 hours, the moisture content of the sample is included in the ratio.				
NONE	No Method Code	PM17	Modified method EN12457-2 As received solid samples are leached with water in a 10:1 water to soil ratio for 24 hours, the moisture content of the sample is included in the ratio.			AR	

Method Code Appendix

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
NONE	No Method Code	PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465 and BS1377.			AR	

























National Materials Testing Laboratory Ltd.

				Particle			Index Pro	perties	Bulk	Cell	Undrained Tria:	xial Tests	Lab	
BH/TP	Depth	sample	Moisture	Density	<425um	LL	PL	PI	Density	Presssure	Compressive	Strain at	Vane	Remarks
No	m	No.	%	Mg/m3	%	%	%	%	Mg/m3	kPa	Stress kPa	Failure %	kPa	
BH01	1.00	В	13.7		33.8	32	21	11						
BH02	1.00	В	15.0		64.9	29	18	11						
BH03	1.50	В	17.8		63.7	38	21	17						
BH05	1.00	В	20.8		69.3	34	23	11						
BH07	2.00	В	10.3		38.8	35	22	13						
BH09	1.50	В	14.6		41.9	48	13	18						
BH10	1.50	В	8.6		13.4	36	22	14						
BH11	2.00	В	13.7		27.3	30	20	11						
BH12	3.00	В	8.9		27.2	24	16	8						
BH13	2.00	В	10.1		28.9	32	20	12						
BH16	2.00	В	22.2		78.2	38	18	20						
BH18	1.50	В	12.6		24.1	22	Non Plast	ic						
NMTL		Notes :									Job ref No.	NMTL 2738		Table
			1. All BS te	ests carried	l out using p	preferred	(definitive) r	method u	nless otherw	vise stated.	Location	Farankelly		

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS



APPENDIX E – BIODIVERSITY

Species List

Species List

The nomenclature for vascular plants is taken from the New Flora of the British Isles (Stace, 2010).

Scientific names for mosses comes from A Checklist and Census Catalogue of British and Irish Bryophytes (Hill et al., 2008) while common names are taken from Mossess and Liverworts of Britain and Ireland (Atherton et al. eds., 2010).

Species indicated with an asterisk '*' are known to have been introduced to Ireland by humans.

Hedgerow - WL1		DAFOR
Acer pseudoplatanus*	Sycamore	R
Alliaria petiolata	Garlic Mustard	0
Anthriscus sylvestris	Cow Parsley	0
Arctium minus	Lesser Burdock	R
Brachypodium sylvaticum	False Brome	0
Bromus hordeaceus	Soft-brome	0
Calystegia sepium	Hedge Bindweed	0
Cirsium arvense	Creeping Thistle	0
Crataegus monogyna	Hawthorn	R-F
Fallopia convolvulus	Black-bindweed	R
Fraxinus excelsior	Ash	R-O
Galium aparine	Cleavers	0
Geranium robertianum	Herb-Robert	0
Geum urbanum	Wood Avens	0
Glechoma hederacea	Ground-ivy	R
Hedera helix	Common Ivy	0
Heracleum sphondylium	Hogweed	0
llex aquifolium	Holly	R
Myosotis arvensis*	Field Forget-me-not	R
Petasites fragrans*	Winter Heliotrope	0
Poa annua	Annual Meadow-grass	0
Polystichum setiferum	Soft Shield-fern	0
Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	0
Prunus laurocerasus*	Cherry Laurel	R
Pteridium aquilinum	Bracken	0

Ranunculus repens	Creeping Buttercup	0
Rosa sp.	Roses	0
Rubus fruticosus agg.	Brambles	0
Rumex sanguineus	Wood Dock	0
Sambucus nigra	Elder	0
Smyrnium olusatrum*	Alexanders	0
Symphoricarpos albus*	Snowberry	R
Urtica dioica	Common Nettle	A
Veronica chamaedrys	Germander Speedwell	0
Veronica persica	Common Field-speedwell*	0
Vicia sepium	Bush Vetch	F

Improved agricultural grassland	DAFOR	
Anthoxanthum odoratum	Sweet Vernal-grass	0
Bellis perennis	Daisy	0
Bromus hordeaceus	Soft-brome	F
Cardamine pratensis	Cuckooflower	R
Dactylis glomerata	Cock's-foot	0
Heracleum sphondylium	Hogweed	0
Hieracium sp.	Hawkweed	R
Holcus lanatus	Yorkshire-fog	0
Plantago lanceolata	Ribwort Plantain	F
Poa pratensis	Smooth Meadow-grass	0
Potentilla anserina	Silverweed	0
Potentilla reptans	Creeping Cinquefoil	0
Pteridium aquilinum	Bracken	R
Ranunculus acris	Meadow Buttercup	0
Ranunculus repens	Creeping Buttercup	O-F
Rumex obtusifolius	Broad-leaved Dock	0
Stellaria media	Common Chickweed	0
Taraxacum sp.	Dandelions	0

White Clover	F
Common Nettle	O-F
Germander Speedwell	0
	0
Common Vetch	R
	White Clover Common Nettle Germander Speedwell Common Vetch

Treeline - WL2		DAFOR
Acer pseudoplatanus*	Sycamore	F
Alnus glutinosa	Alder	R
Crataegus monogyna	Hawthorn	R
Fagus sylvatica*	Beech	R-O
Fraxinus excelsior	Ash	0
Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	0
Prunus laurocerasus*	Cherry Laurel	R
Rubus fruticosus agg.	Brambles	F
Salix caprea	Goat Willow	R
Salix fragilis*	Crack-willow	R
Sambucus nigra	Elder	0
Symphoricarpos albus*	Snowberry	R
Ulmus glabra	Wych Elm	R

Eroding River - FW1		DAFOR
Carex pendula	Pendulus Sedge	0
Circaea lutetiana	Enchanter's-nightshade	0
Conocephalum conicum	Great Scented Liverwort	0
Gunnera tinctoria*	Giant-rhubarb	0
Iris pseudacorus	Yellow Iris	0
Nasturtium officinale	Water-cress	0
Oenanthe crocata	Hemlock Water-dropwort	0
Scrophularia nodosa	Common Figwort	0
Veronica beccabunga	Brooklime	0

Scrub - WS1		DAFOR
Conopodium majus	Pignut	0
Filipendula ulmaria	Meadowsweet	0
Galium odoratum	Woodruff	0
Prunus spinosa	Blackthorn	D-O
Rubus fruticosus agg.	Brambles	А
Salix cinerea	Grey Willow	A
Stellaria holostea	Greater Stitchwort	0
Ulex europaeus	Gorse	A

Wetgrassland - GS4		DAFOR
Angelica sylvestris	Wild Angelica	0
Anthriscus sylvestris	Cow Parsley	0
Heracleum sphondylium	Hogweed	0
Iris pseudacorus	Yellow Iris	F
Juncus effusus	Soft-Rush	0
Ranunculus acris	Meadow Buttercup	F
Ranunculus repens	Creeping Buttercup	F

Drainage ditch - FW4		DAFOR
Carex remota	Remote Sedge	0
Mentha aquatica	Water Mint	0
Apium nodiflorum	Fool's-water-cress	A-D

Recolonising bare ground - ED3		DAFOR
Anagallis arvensis	Scarlet Pimpernel	0
Chamerion angustifolium	Rosebay Willowherb	0
Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle	0
Hieracium sp.	Hawkweed	0
Holcus lanatus	Yorkshire-fog	0
Medicago lupulina	Black Medick	0

Myosotis discolor	Chaning Forget-me-not	0
Papaver somniferum*	Opium Poppy	0
Plantago lanceolata	Ribwort Plantain	0
Ranunculus repens	Creeping Buttercup	0
Reseda luteola	Weld	0
Rubus fruticosus agg.	Brambles	0
Rumex crispus	Curled Dock	0
Senecio jacobaea	Common Ragwort	0
Sisymbrium officinale	Hedge Mustard	0
Sonchus asper	Prickly Sowthistle	0
Ulex europaeus	Gorse	0
Urtica dioica	Common Nettle	0
Vicia cracca	Tufted Vetch	0